FACTORS AFFECTING ON THE IMPORTS OF CONSUMPTION GOODS (1969-2010)

*Morteza Nemati and Ghasem Raeisi

Young Researchers and Elite Club, Arsanjan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arsanjan, Iran *Author for Correspondence

ABSTRACT

In the current structure of world economy, import plays an important role in the economic development strategy. This is one of the effective factors on economic growth on the one hand and it is an important item of the country's balance of payments on the other hand. Thus a change that occurs in the import of country would effect on the country's development process. So Suitable policies are important for imports, But choosing the correct strategy for import depends on consider of factors affecting it. So, one of the major issues in the field of import of goods is identify of factors affecting on imports. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is identified factors affecting the imports of consumption goods during the period 1969-2010 in Iran. This study assessed the effects of factors such as gross domestic product, relative price, income inequality and total factor productivity on Iranian imports of consumption goods. Based on the findings, there was a positive relationship between the gross domestic product and income inequality with imports of consumption goods. In addition, relationship between the relative price and total factors productivity with imports of consumption goods are negative and significant.

Keywords: Total Factors Productivity, Income Inequality, Relative Price, Consumption Goods Import Demand

INTRODUCTION

Including the factors that have significant impact on the economic growth of developing countries and have attracted the attention of many economists, reliance on foreign trade. In general, in the process of economic growth in developing countries, foreign trade plays a vital role because these countries are highly dependent on export revenues and foreign exchange for imports. The lack of consideration to this important sector lead to incorrect results obtained in the research related to economic growth in these countries. Therefore Positioning and the constructive role of foreign trade have the particular importance in the economic activities in developing countries, including Iran.

In Iran, the foreign trade sector underdeveloped according to the needs of time and consequently, has emerged various problems, because of like Easy access to sources of foreign exchange from export of crude oil, Crude oil export monopoly of government and doing the bulk of foreign trade by the government and its affiliated monopolies. Incidence of this problems and possible intensification of them because the decreases of foreign exchange earnings from oil exports, has been caused that increase attention of authorities and policy makers to the foreign trade sector (Toufighi and Mehrabian, 2002).

Thus, this study tries to identify the factor affecting on demand of imported consumption goods of Iran. Therefore, we investigate the relationship between imports of consumption goods, GDP, total factor productivity (TFP), relative price and income inequality during the 1969 to 2010 period. The remainder of the paper is as follows: The theoretical model for imports is developed in section 2. Section 3 includes the empirical analysis and discussion. Finally in section 4 conclusions are presented.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Most previous studies on import demand function are considered it as function of real income and relative prices (Ariz and Nippani, 2010, Dilip and Nasirulddin, 2004). A large body of empirical literature has estimated price and income elasticity of imports and much of it focused on trade. Some of recent papers have tried to find evidence of a long run relationship (co-integration) between the levels of imports, income and relative prices (or the real exchange rate). In abroad of Iran, more studies have done in

Research Article

relationship between inequality and import demand. Katsimi and Moutos (2011) by using US data for the 1948–2007 period, find not only that there is a stable long-run relationship between imports, income, relative prices and inequality, but that the influence of inequality is quantitatively very important as well. Their result appears robust both to changes in the level of aggregation of real imports and across alternative methods of estimating co-integration equations. Adam *et al.*, (2008) the empirical importance of changes in inequality on the demand for imports, assessed by examining panel data for 36 developing and developed countries for the 1980-1997 period. They find significant evidence supporting their prediction that inequality has a large influence on the demand for imports. Moreover they find that, in line with the predictions of their theoretical model, this influence is positive for high-income countries and negative for low-income countries. Katsimi and Moutos (2006) find no evidence for the existence of a long run relationship between aggregate imports, income and competitiveness in the US. However, the addition of US income inequality as a determinant of the aggregate demand for imports improves the picture significantly. Another strand of this literature challenges the conventional wisdom by arguing that the standard imports demand function may be misspecified due to the omission of other determinants of a long run imports equation.

But, up to now, no study has done for investigate effect of income inequality on demand for Iran's imported consumption goods. Tashkini and Bastani (2006), Toufighi and Mehrabian (2002), Shahabadi (2005) and Pourmoghim (2000) investigate affecting factors on Iran's import demand function. They consider import demand as dependent of gross domestic product (GDP) and relative price (RP). Their results show that GDP has positive effect and RP has negative effect on Iran's import demand. Abrishami (2001) fail to find evidence for a co-integrating relationship for the 1971-1997 period. Nasrollahi (2004) find evidence for a co-integrating relationship among real imports, real income and relative prices for the 1959-2000.

The main empirical implication of our theoretical model is that inequality may be an important determinant of the demand for imported consumption goods. As a result, omitting the level of inequality may be one reason why most previous studies failed to provide strong evidence of a stable long run imported consumption goods demand function (Katsimi and Moutos, 2011). Our purpose is to enrich the commonly used empirical specification by including a measure of inequality. Specifically, in line with the most recent research in this topic, we use the Johansen (1988, 1991) procedure in order to investigate the existence of a long-run relationship between imported consumption goods, income, relative prices and inequality. We expand on this traditional specification since -unlike our stylized model- international trade is conducted not only in vertically differentiated goods but in horizontally differentiated and homogeneous goods as well.

Our analysis is based on annual data. We consider model of Iran real imported consumption goods (*IM*) as a function of Iran real gross domestic product (*Y*), the relative price (*RP*), income inequality (*IN*) and total factor productivity (*TFP*). To calculate *TFP*, we need to determine the share of capital and labor. Hence, we have estimated the production function with two explanatory variables L and K for the calculation of TFP. To estimate the production function, we need to specify the incidental form of production functions (Shah, 2004). In this study, we have used the Cobb-Douglas production function. Estimation results indicate that the share of labor (*a*) and physical capital (*B*) in GDP is respectively 56 and 44 percent. Thus, total factor productivity is calculated using the following index:

$$Tfp_{t} = \frac{Y_{t}}{L^{a}K^{B}} or, LogTfp = LogY - BLogK - aLogL$$
(1)

that (TFP) is total factor productivity, (Y) is gross domestic product, (K) is Inventory of physical capital, (L) is labor, (a) is GDP elasticity to physical capital, (B) is GDP elasticity to labor and (t) is time. So, according to Katsimi and Moutus (2011), we consider the model in follow:

$$IM = f(Y, RP, IN, TFP)$$

Note that all variables have been used to the logs. We measures inequality, IN with the GINI coefficient, Imported consumption goods, (IM) and real gross domestic product, (Y) have been in 2011 taken from the

(2

© Copyright 2014 / Centre for Info Bio Technology (CIBTech)

Research Article

Federal Reserve Bank of Iran available at Time Series Data Bank (*TSD*). In addition, consumer price index (*CPI*) for Iran and US in order to accounting Iran's relative price (RP), taken from world development indicators (*WDI*) the covers the longest period (1969-2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of unit root test reported in table (1).

Table 1:	Unit root	test
----------	-----------	------

Variable in		ADF test			Test ADF		
level	Constant	Constant & Trend	Difference	Constant	Constant & Trend		
LIM	-1/758*	- 1.853	dLM	-4/885***	-4/462**		
LY	- 1/069	- 1/254	dLY	- 3/362**	- 3/413**		
LRp	- 2/262	- 2/124	dLRp	- 5/918***	- 5/838***		
LGini	- 1/325	- 2/129	dLGini	-4/805***	- 7/382***		
<i>LTfp</i>	-1/974	-1/895	dLTfp	-3/992***	- 4/159***		

Note: * , ** , *** Reject the null of non-stationarity at the 10%, 5%, 1% level

Then we move on to multivariate analysis within the Johansen (1998, 1991) co-integration framework. We take the following steps: (i) Since the Johansen procedure is based on the estimation of a VAR model, At first, we choose the optimal lag length of the VAR. The results of selecting the optimal lag of VAR reported in table (2).

Lag	LogL	LR	(HQ)	(SC)	(AIC)
0	-48/211	NA	- 3/271	3/176	2/956
1*	154/638	338/084*	- 6/463*	- 5/604*	- 6/924*
2	175/419	28/862	- 5/845	-4/270	- 6/689
3	192/638	19/132	- 5/029	-2/738	- 6/257

Table 2: Choosing the Optimal lag of Var model

* represent lag order chosen by the criterion

LR is sequential modified LR test statistic

AIC is Akaike criterion

SC is Schwartz criterion

HQ is Hannan-Quinn criterion

The table (2) shows that according to Akaike and Schwarz and Hannan-Quinn information criterion the optimal lag of VAR is 1. (ii) Then we test for co-integration by using the trace and the maximum eigenvalue statistic. The statistic results of trace and maximum eigenvalue are given in Tables (3) and (4).

Table 3: The result of consumption goods imports Co integration by using Trace Test					
Null	Alternative	Eigenval	Trace	Critical	Result
Hypothesi		ue	Statistic	Value	
S				0/95	
r = 0	$r \ge 1$	0/567	74/978	60/061	fail to reject Null
$r \leq 1$	$r \ge 3$	0/419	43/986	40/174	Hypothesis
$r \leq 2$	$r \ge 3$	0/313	23/863	24/275	fail to reject Null
$r \leq 3$	$r \ge 4$	0/231	9/962	12/320	Hypothesis
$r \leq 4$	r = 5	0/005	0/216	4/129	Reject Null Hypothesis
/ _ 4	7 - 5				Reject Null Hypothesis
					Reject Null Hypothesis

Trace test indicates 2 co-integrating eqn(s) at the 0.05 level. Table 4: Import Co-integration Result by using Maximum Eigenvalue Test

H ₀	Alternativ e	Eigen value	Max-Eigen Statistic	Critical Value 0/95	Result
r = 0	r = 1	0/567	30/992	30/439	fail to reject Null Hypothesis
$r \leq 1$	r = 2	0/419	26/122	24/159	fail to reject Null Hypothesis
$r \leq 2$	<i>r</i> = 3	0/313	13/901	17/797	Reject Null Hypothesis
<i>r</i> ≤ 3	r = 4	0/231	9/745	11/224	Reject Null Hypothesis
$r \leq 4$	<i>r</i> = 5	0/005	0/216	4/129	Reject Null Hypothesis

Max-eigenvalue test indicates 2 co-integrating eqn(s) at the 0.05 level.

As shown in Tables (3) and (4), according to both Trace and Max-Eigen statistic indicates 2 cointegration at 0/05 level. Thus this result confirms existence of a long-run relationship for imported consumption goods equation (one including imported consumption goods, income, relative price and total factors productivity). Then the long-run relationship between the variables in the model is estimated and normalized vector is selected to first endogenous variable. In the choice of the long run vector model variables, it is necessary to note that the normal vector to first endogenous variable must sign of the coefficients according to economic theory and the vector coefficients to be statistically significant.

Variables	Coefficient	Standard Error	T-Ratio
М	1	-	-
LY	0/686	0/162	- 4/234
LRp	0/212	0/079	2/683
LGini	-0/253	0/098	-2/581
LTfp	0/368	0/119	-3/092

Table 5. Estimation of co-integration vector of Iran (long run relationshin)

LM = 0/686LY - 0/212LRp + 0/253LGini - 0/368LTfp

(3

All reported coefficients are significant. In other words, real income, relative price, Gini coefficient as the estimator of income inequality and the total factors productivity explain demand of imported consumption goods and this variable is well described by its lags, according to the diagnostic criteria such as $R^2=0/93$.

© Copyright 2014 / Centre for Info Bio Technology (CIBTech)

Research Article

As are observed in the estimates, the greatest coefficient is 0.68 that related to gross domestic product. Thus, the GDP is one of the determinants of consumption goods imports. Because increasing it, increases imports of consumption goods. In fact, when the real income of the people has increased, imports of consumption goods has also increased directly. The positive and highly significant coefficient for this variable in the demand for imported consumption goods to Iran, expresses which this variable plays an important role to determining the volume of Iran's imports.

After the gross domestic product (Y), the most of effect is relate to total factors productivity (TFP). Coefficient of this variable has obtained 0.36 that is negative and significant. In fact, increase in total factor productivity reduces cost of domestic products in comparison imported goods. It caused to shift in demand from imported goods to domestic product goods. Therefore, the increase in total factor productivity will decrease imported consumption goods. The coefficient of income inequality is 0.25 positive and significant. It can be stated with increasing income inequality and the deterioration in income distribution, imports of consumption goods will increase. It seems that the main weight of imported consumption goods consumed by the wealthy classes. In other words, this group according to their purchasing power and consumption patterns has tnded to use the foreign consumption goods. The result of this part is similar to Katsimi and Motous (2011), Adam *et al.*, (2008) and Katsimi and Motous (2006).

In addition, coefficient of relative price has obtained (0.21) negative that is significant. Therefore, increasing the relative price leads to reduction imports of consumption goods. In other words, by increasing the relative price, domestic currency will weaken, and imports of consumption goods are more expensive. Thus the demand for imported goods will decrease.

Finally, we estimate vector error correction models (VECM). The result of VECM reported in table (6). The error correction coefficients of real imports reported in the last columns of Table (6) are negative and significant under both specifications. This indicates that in the presence of disequilibrium the volume of imports gradually adjusts towards its long-run value. The adjustment speed of short run error towards equilibrium and long run errors is equal to 0.05 and in 5% level is significant.

Variable	Coefficient	Standard errors	t-statistic			
$\Delta LM(-1)$	0.233	0.167	1.391			
$\Delta LY(-1)$	0.783	0.318	2.460			
$\Delta LRp(-1)$	-0.059	0.072	-0.821			
$\Delta LGini(-1)$	-0.713	1.025	-0.696			
$\Delta LTfp(-1)$	-0.808	0.667	-1.211			
<i>ECM</i> (-1)	-0.057	0.030	-1.885			

Table 6: The estimate of vector error correction models	(VECM)
Table 0. The estimate of vector error correction models	

ECM (-1) reports coefficients of the residual of the co-integrating equation in the error-correction model of consumption import

Conclusion

The main purpose of this study is identifying factors affecting on the imports of consumption goods during the period 1969-2010 period. This study assessed the effects of factors such as gross domestic product, relative price, income inequality and total factor productivity on Iranian imports of consumption goods. Based on the findings, there was a positive relationship between the gross domestic product and income inequality with imports of consumption goods.

In addition, relationship between the relative price and total factors productivity with imports of consumption goods are negative and significant. In fact, increase in total factor productivity reduces cost of domestic products in comparison imported goods. It caused to shift in demand from imported goods to

Research Article

domestic product goods and decreases imports. According to previous study, if a country has comparative advantage in the production of the high-quality varieties (high-income, high-productivity countries usually do), the rise in inequality will increase the imports demand and this subject is inversely about the low-income countries that have comparative advantage in the production of the low-quality varieties. The results of evaluation show significance of income inequality as a determining factor in demand equations of imported consumption goods. But as was seen in the estimates, it is not correct in the case of Iran. This means that although Iran is a developing country, but the increase in income inequality that has led to increased imports of consumer goods. Therefore, Iran by reducing income gap between its deciles, such as developed country can comparative advantage in producing high quality varieties, so that responded to consumption needs of people. In fact, The increasing role of knowledge components in the production of the country can be a great help to a wide stratum of society whose only their asset is human capital and caused of more balanced distribution of income and increase the competitiveness of the economy and increase the willingness of society stratums to using of domestic high quality varieties. Finally, It is recommended that policy makers and officials use the youth and skilled labor because use of the young and skilled person, not only reduces the income inequality in society but also increases productivity in different economic sectors and reduces the need to import from other countries.

REFERENCES

Abrishami H (2001). Imports Demand in Iran's Economy with a New Approach. *Iranian Journal of Trade Studies (IJTS)* 20 1-30 (in Persian).

Adam A, Katsimi M and Moutos T (2008). Inequality and the import Demand Function. *CESIFO Working Paper* No. 2196, Category 6: Monetary Policy and International Finance.

Ariz Augustine C and Nippani S (2010). Import Demand Behavior in Africa: Some New Evidence. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 50 254-263.

Dilip D and Nasirulddin A (2004). An Aggregate Import Demand Function for India: A Co-integration Analysis. *Applied Economices Letter* **11**(10) 607-613.

Flam H and Helpman E (1987). Vertical Product Differentiation and North-South Trade, *American Economic Review* 77 810-822.

Johansen S (1988). Statical analysis of cointegration vectors. *Journal of economic Dynamics and Control* 12(2) 231-254.

Katsimi M and Moutos T (2006). Inequality and the US Import Demand Function. *CESIFO Working Paper* No. 1827, Category 6: Monetary Policy and International Finance.

Katsimi M and Moutos T (2011). Inequality and the US Import Demand Function. *Journal of International Money and Finance* **30** 492-506.

Nasrollahi M (2004). Aggregate Import Demand Function of Iran: A Reassessment by Bounds Testing Approach. *Iranian Economic Research* 66 91-112 (in Persian).

Pour Moghim SJ (2000). Time-Series Estimation if Import Demand Function of Iran. *Tahghighat-E Eghtesadi* **56** 113-129 (in Persian).

Shah Abadi A (2004). An Assessment of the Effects of Total Factor Productivity on Competitiveness (Case Study: Iran). *Nameh-Ye-Mofid* **45** 139-177 (in Persian).

Tashkini A and Bastani AR (2006). The Estimation of Import Demand Functions, the Case of Iran (1338-1382). *Iranian Journal of Trade Studies (IJTS)* **40** 205-226 (in Persian).

Toufighi H and Mehrabian A (2002). A Survey on Effective Factors on Demand of Consumption, Capital and Intermediate Goods. *Iranian Economic Research* **13** 57-74 (in Persian).

Economic Research and Policy Department (No Date). Economic Time Series Database, Department of Central Bank of the Islamic Republic of Iran available at http://tsd.cbi.ir (In Persian).

World development indicators (No Date). Available: http://data.worldbank.org.