EFFECT OF FUNGAL BIOCONTROL AGENTS AGAINST SEED-BORNE PATHOGENS *IN VITRO* AND UNDER GREENHOUSE CONDITIONS

F. A. Abushaala¹, A. R. Ben Ramadanm¹ and M. A. S. Fahej²

¹Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Misurata University, Libya ²Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Elmergib University, Al-khoms, Libya *Author for Correspondence

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to check the efficiency of some biocontrol agents on controlling damping-off disease incited by the tested pathogens both in laboratory and under greenhouse conditions. Results obtained by radial growth technique indicated that all the tested FBA's significantly reduced growth of the seed-born pathogens. The highest reduction rates were obtained by the FBA T. harzianum (86.55%) followed by T. viride (81.33%). F. semitictum was the most sensitive compared with the other tested pathogens (79,77%), whereas M. phaseolina was the least sensitive to FBA treatments, where reduction rate attained (61.11%). T. koningii induced the lowest reduction rates (77.66%). Generally, treatment soil with the tested FBA's significantly reduced TIP values in cantaloupe cvs. T. harzianum was more efficient in reducing TIP than T. viride against all the tested seed-born pathogens, except with F. moniliforme. The highest reduction rates were detected in T. harzianum / F. semitictum in CREDO F1 treatment (81.81%). F. solani was the least sevsitive to FBA soil treatment, compared with the other tested cucurbit seedborne pathogens. In CREDO F1 cv. Reduction rates ranged from 65.62% to 77.27%. Both of FBA's soil treatment significantly reduced PRD values. Moreover, T. harzianum treatment realized the highest PRD reduction rates ranged from 57.14% (F. moniliforme) in CREDO F1 cv. to 83.33% (F. moniliforme) in ISI 54139 F1 cv. In ISI54139 F1 cv., PRD reduction rates were 75.0% in T. harzianum / F. semitictum soil treatment to 83.33% in T. harzianum / F. moniliforme. T. viride was the most efficient in reducing PRD incited by F. semitictum with ANANAS cv., and M. phaseolina with ISI54139 F1 cv. (80.0%). Soil treatment with the tested FBA's, in general, significantly reduced PTD incidence. In addition, T. harzianum was more efficient in reducing PTD by F. semitectum (100%) in CREDO F1 cv., where reduction rates in T. harzianum / F. moniliforme and F. semitictum were 62.38% and 72.62%, respectively compared with control. In T. harzianum / F. solani or M. phaseolina treatments, equal rates were obtained (64.44%). T. viride was the most efficient in reducing PTD values in ISI 54139 F1 cv. inoculated with F. moniliforme (83.33%).

Keywords: Fungal Biocontrol Agent, Green House, Trichoderma

INTRODUCTION

Fungal biocontrol agents (FBA's) for plant diseases are currently being tested as alternatives to synthetic pesticides due to their perceived increased level of safety and minimal environmental impacts. Among the FBA commonly used are fungal agents those related to the genera *Trichoderma* spp.. More investigations are still required to study different aspects concerning application of fungal biocontrol agents against pathogens attacking cucurbitaceous crops, furthermore evaluation of the efficacy of different fungal FBA's, behavior of the antagonists against the target fungal pathogens and biochemical changes characterizing the antagonist-pathogen interactions, especially production of chitinases and changes host proteins. Therefore, the objective of this work was to evaluating the efficacy of some fungal biological control agents against cucurbit seed-borne pathogens both *in vitro* and under greenhouse conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In Vitro Experiments

The bioreaction between the Fungal Bioagents and the Tested Pathogenic Fungi: Three species of Trichoderma (T. viride, T. hamatum and T. harzianum) were kindly obtained from Plant Mycological

Research Article

Center, Assiut University, Egypt to study their effect on growth and development of the tested cucurbits seed-borne pathogens (*F. solani, F. moniliforme, F. semitectum, S. sclerotiorum* and *M. phaseolina*). Petri-dishes (9 cm in diameter) each contains PDA medium were used. All *Trichoderma* spp. and/or tested pathogenic fungi were grown on PDA medium for 7 days at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and then two discs (4mm in diameter) of 7 days old *Trichoderma* spp. were placed at periphery of the plates then, one disc (4 mm in diameter) from each of the pathogenic fungi was placed at the center of each plate. In the control treatments, the plates were inoculated only with each of the pathogenic fungi. Three Petri dishes were used for each particular treatment. All inoculated dishes were incubated at 25° C ± 2 . After the pathogenic fungi was measured in each treatment and percentage of growth reduction due to the presence of different *Trichoderma* spp., Data were obtained or % of growth reduction was calculated according to the above mentioned formula.

In Vivo Experiments

Certified seeds of the tested cantaloupe cultivars were sterilized with dipping in 3% sodium hypochlorite for three minutes, rinsed for several times in sterilized water, then dried with filter paper. Inoculation tests were carried out in 12 cm diameter pots. Pots were sterilized by submerging in 7% formaldehyde solution for a few hours and left for aeration. The soil was autoclaved at 1.5 Kg/cm2 for 90 minutes, and then left to aerate for 7 days before adding the inoculum. Inocula were prepared by growing each of the tested pathogens (*F. solani, F. moniliforme, F. semitectum* and *M. phaseolina*) on PD medium in 250 ml conical flasks, each containing 50 ml of medium and incubated at 25°C for 15 days. After 15 days incubation, fungal mats were collected, blended with sterile water. The inocula were used at rate of 3g/Kg autoclaved soil. On the other hand, *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* were grown on a wheat bran: sawdust: tap water (3 : 1 : 4 v/v), autoclaved for 30 minutes at 121°C on 2 successive days (Hadar *et al.*, 1979 and Elad *et al.*, 1981).

The medium was inoculated then incubated for 10 days. Different combinations from the inocula of the tested pathogens and the antagonistic bioagents were added to the infested soil at the rate of 5 g inoculum of bioagents per Kg soil. Surface sterilized cantaloupe seeds cultivars were sawn each in plastic pot (12 cm) containing 250 gm of infested soil and placed in the greenhouse at approximately 20°C. Four replicates (4 pots) of each treatment were used. Four pots infested with the tested pathogen only and others untreated pots served as controls. Pre- and post-emergence damping-off were calculated out 14 days after planting. The following treatments represented different interactions between cucurbits seed-borne pathogens and biocontrol fungal agents were tested:

1. F. solani alone7. F. semitectum alone2. F. solani and T. viride8. F. semitectum and T. viride3. F. solani and T. harzianum9. F. semitectum and T. harzianum4. F. moniliforme alone10. M. phaseolina alone5. F. moniliforme and T. viride11. M. phaseolina and T. viride6. F. moniliforme and T. harzianum12. M. phaseolina and T. harzianum

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experimental Results

The aim of this investigation was to check the inhibitory effect of three fungal bioagents (*Trichodermaviride, T. harzianum* and *T. koningii*) against the five tested cucurbitaceous seed-borne pathogens, i.e. *F. solani, F. moniliforme, F. semitectum, M. phaseolina* and *S. sclerotiorum* both under laboratory and greenhouse conditions.

In Vitro Experiments

Bioreaction between the fungal bioagents and cucurbitaceous seed-borne pathogens in vitro

According to the method described in detail in the section of "Materials and Methods. Linear growth method was determined for all the tested cucurbitaceous seed-borne pathogens grown in Petri dishes along with the tested fungal bioagents. Data were then statistically analyzed, presented in Table 1.

Research Article

According to the obtained data, generally, it was evident that all the tested fungal bioagents significantly reduced growth of mycelial growth of all the tested cucurbitaceous seed-borne pathogens. However, reduction rates differed according to the bioagent or the pathogen tested.

T. viride

T. viride in vitro was very effective in inhibiting the growth of all the tested pathogens. It was more effective against S. sclerotiorum, F. solani and F. semitectum (86.66%, 85.55% and 85.55%, less than control). Less inhibition rates were induced against M. phaseolina (64.44%). F. solani and F. semitectum were give equal rates (85.55%), while M. phaseolina the least sensitive to the effect of T. viride, compared with control (64.44%).

T. harzianum

The inhibitory efficacy of the bioagent T. harzianum against the tested pathogens was, to great extent, similar to that of T. viride. Both F. solani and F. semitectum were the most sensitive to T. harzianum, realizing higher reduction rates (87.77% and 87.22%, respectively) than obtained in F. moniliforme (84.44%). The equal rates were obtained in S. sclerotiorum and M. phaseolina (86.66%).

T. koningii

The inhibitory efficacy of the bioagent T. koningii against the tested pathogens was similar effect on all tested pathogens (ranged 81.66% to 84.44% less than control) except F. solani. F. solani was the most sensitive to T. koningii, realizing higher reduction rates compared with control (56.11%).

Therefore, from data obtained in Table 1 the following could be concluded:

All tested fungal bioagents significantly reduced the mycelial growth of the tested tuber rot pathogens. Reduction rates compared with control ranged from 56.11% to 87.77%.

Generally, T. harzianum was the most effective among the other tested fungal bioagents in suppressing the mycelial growth of all the tested cucurbitaceous seed-borne pathogens. Moreover, F. solani and F. semitectum were the most sensitive to T. harzianum, where reduction rates compared with control attained 87.77% and 87.22%, respectively.

T. viride bioagent was more inhibitory against S. sclerotiorum, F. solani and F. semitectum (86.66%, 85.55% and 85.55%, less than control, respectively). T. viride was also significantly effective against growth of F. moniliforme and M. phaseolina but at less reduction rates (84.44% and 64.44%, respectively).

B.C.A			Reduction %	, D				
The Tested Pathogen								
	<i>F</i> .	<i>F</i> .	<i>F</i> .	<i>S</i> .	М.	_		
	Solani	Moniliforme	Semitectum	Sclerotiorum	Phaseolina			
T. viride	85.55*	84.44	85.55	86.66	64.44	81.33		
T. harzianum	87.77	84.44	87.22	86.66	86.66	86.55		
T. koningii	56.11	81.66	84.44	82.22	83.88	77.66		
Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Mean	76.48	83.51	85.74	85.18	78.33			

Table 1: Antagonistic Effect of some	Fungal Bioagents	on the Mycelial	Growth of the Tested
Cucurbitaceous Seed-Borne Pathogens			

L.S.D. at 5% for: Bioagent (B) Fungi (F) 1.48 0.934

In Vivo Experiments:

Efficiency of BCA's in controlling damping-off disease in greenhouse

This study aimed to check the effect of soil inoculation with different bioagents, namely: T. viride, T. harzianum for controlling pre- and post-emergence damping-off caused by some cucurbitaceous seed-

Research Article

borne pathogens, i.e. *F. solani*, *F. moniliforme*, *F. semitectum* and *M. phaseolina* on cantaloupe (CEREDO F1, ISI 54139 F1 & ANANAS cultivars) under greenhouse conditions.

In order to achieve such target, pots were inoculated individually with the four tested pre-emergence damping-off (PRD), post-emergence damping-off (PTD) pathogens under greenhouse conditions. The Two tested bioagents were applied through soil inoculation. Data were calculated as percentages, statistically analyzed, and then presented in Tables (2-5).

F. solani (Table 2):

Data in Table (2) indicate the following points:-

(1) Soil treatment with *T. harzianum* significantly reduced PRD incidence (68.75% to %77.77 less than control). The highest PRD suppression was detected in *T. harzianum* x ISI 54139 F1 cv. (77.77%), while, *T. viride* significantly reduced PRD incidence (60.00% to 77.45% less than control), The lowest PRD suppression was detected in *T. viride* x CEREDO F1 cv. (60.00%).

(2) PTD values were significantly higher, in general, compared with inoculated control; however, soil treatment with *T. harzianum*, since it induced the highest reduction in PTD incidence attaining maximum percentages in tested cantaloupe cultivars (60.00%-66.67% less than control). The most effective of *T. viride* treatment came next to *T. harzianum*, particularly on tested cantaloupe cultivars (33.33-66.67% less than control).

(3) In genaraly TIP values in control inoculated with *F. solani*, untreated with any oftested FBA's, i.e. *T. harzianum* and *T. viride*, ranged from (69.71% and 62.13%. respectively). Both FBA's treatments significantly reduced TIP of damping-off incited by *F. solani*. The highest reduction in disease incidence (75.00% in ISI 54139 F1 cv., compared with control) was detected by *T. harzianum*. While, the lower reduction in disease incidence (57.69% in ANANAS cv., compared with control) was detected by *T. viride*.

	Percentage of Seedling Infection (PSI)									
Treatment					Verities					– Mean – Values
		CREDO I	F1	I	SI 54139	F1	А	NANA	S	- TIP
	PRD	PTD	TIP	PRD	PTD	TIP	PRD	PTD	TIP	- 111
F. solani + T. viride	10.0	5.0	15.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	15.0	12.5	27.5	20.83
F. solani + T. harzianum	7.5	5.0	12.5	10.0	5.0	15.0	12.5	10.0	22.5	16.66
Control (1) (<i>F. solani</i> alone)	25.0	15.0	40.0	45.0	15.0	60.0	40.0	25.0	65.0	55.0
Control (2) (Untreated)	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	5.0
L.S.D	2.67	2.45	2.51	2.64	2.29	3.81	1.98	1.87	3.92	

Table 2: Efficiency of some Biocontrol Agents on Controlling Damping-Off of Cantaloupe cvs., Incited by *F. Solani*

 $PRD = Pre \ emergence \ damping-off, \ PTD = Post \ emergence \ damping-off, \ TIP = Total \ infection \ percentage, \ PSI = Percentage \ of seedling \ infection.$

F. moniliforme (Table 3):

(1) PRD incited by *F. moniliforme* significantly reduced as a result of soil treatment with all the tested fungal biocontrol agents, compared with control. Soil treated with *T. harzianum* proved to be the most efficient treatment in reducing PRD incidence by 57.14%, 83.33% and 66.67% less than control in CEREDO F1, ISI 54139 F1 and ANANAS cultivars, respectively. Soil treatment with *T. viride* was also effective in controlling PRD in all tested cultivars, 71.43%, 75.00% and 75.00% less than control in CEREDO F1, ISI 54139 F1 and ANANAS cultivars.

Research Article

(2) PTD values by *F. moniliforme* in the tested treatments. Significant reductions in PTD were obtained CEREDO F1 cv. by *T. harzianum*or *T. viride* (80.00%). On the other hand, ISI 54139 F1 cv. was responsed more actively by *T. viride* (83.33%). In ANANAS cv, significant reductions in PTD values were induced by *T. viride* or *T. Harzianum* (57.14%, less than inoculated control).

(3) Both FBA's treatments significantly reduced TIP of damping-off incited by *F. moniliforme*. The highest reduction in disease incidence by *T. viride* with ISI 54139 F1 and CEREDO F1 cvs, (77.78% and 75.00%, respectively, less than control) While, the lower reduction in disease incidence (53.33% in ANANAS cv., compared with control) was detected by *T. harzianum*.

	Percentage of Seedling Infection (PSI)									
Treatment				1	Verities					- Mean
	C	REDO I	71	IS	[54139]	F1	I	ANANA	S	- Values - TIP
	PRD	PTD	TIP	PRD	PTD	TIP	PRD	PTD	TIP	111
<i>F. moniliforme</i> + <i>T. viride</i>	5.0	2.5	7.5	7.5	2.5	10.0	7.5	7.5	15.0	10.83
F. moniliforme + T. harzianum	7.5	2.5	10.0	5.0	7.5	12.5	10.0	7.5	17.5	13.33
Control (1) (<i>F</i> . <i>moniliforme</i> alone)	17.5	12.5	30.0	30.0	15.0	45.0	30.0	17.5	47.5	40.83
Control (2) (Untreated)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.66
L.S.D	2.41	2.67	2.83	2.54	2.62	3.44	2.88	3.11	3.97	

Table 3: Efficiency of some Biocontrol	Agents on Controlling	g Damping-Off of Cantaloupe cvs.,	,
Incited by F. Moniliforme			

 $PRD = Pre \ emergence \ damping-off, \ PTD = Post \ emergence \ damping-off, \ TIP = Total \ infection \ percentage, \ PSI = Percentage \ of seedling \ infection.$

F. semitectum (Table 4):

(1) All the tested FBA's against PRD in cantaloupe cvs., caused by *F. semitectum*, were significantly efficient in reducing PRD incidence attaining maximum reduction in *T. viride* with ANANAS cv., *T. harzianum* with ISI 54139 F1 and *T. viride* or *T. harzianum* with CEREDO F1 (80.00%, 75.00% and 66.67%, compared with control, respectively).

(2) All PTD values resulted from soil treatment of cantaloupe cvs. with the tested FBA's were significant compared with control. The highest reduction rate was obtained by *T. harzianum* with CEREDO F1 cv. (100%), follwed by, *T. viride* with CEREDO F1 cv. (80.00%), while, reduction of *T. harzianum* or *T. viride* with ISI 54139 F1 cv. was equal rate (75%). On the other hand, the lower reduction rate was obtained by *T. viride* with ANANAS cv. (28.57%).

(3) The highest reductions in TIP values disease incidence was induced by *T. harzianum*, *T. harzianum* significantly reduced TIP in CEREDO F1, ISI 54139 F1 and ANANAS cvs. (81.81%, 75%, and 52.94%, respectively), compared with control, whereas *T. viride* reductions were (72.72%, 66.67% and 58.82, respectively). In generally, rates reduction TIP obtained by *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* (69.62% and 66.07% less than control, respectively).

M. Phaseolina (Table 5):

Data in table (5) suggested the following finding :-

(1) All the tested PRD values in all the tested FBA/cultivars combinations were significant, compared with control inoculated alone with *M. phaseolina*. Moreover, *T. harzianum* was the most effective in reducing PRD values, followed by *T. viride*, since the treatment induced the highest reduction in PRD values in ISI 54139 F1 cv. with *T. harzianum* or *T. viride*, CEREDO F1 cv. with *T. harzianum* and

Research Article

ANANAS cv. with *T. harzianum* or *T. viride* (80.00%, 75.00% and 71.43%, less than control, respectively).

(2) All the tested PTD values in all the tested FBA/cultivars combinations were significant, compared with control inoculated alon with *M. phaseolina*. Significant reduction in PTD values were obtained as a result of soil inoculation with *T. harzianum* x CEREDO F1 cv. or ANANAS cv. or*T. viride* x CEREDO F1 cv. (66.67%).While, the lower reduction in PTD value was obtained by *T. viride* withISI 54139 F1 cv. (40.00%).

(3) Soil treatment with *T. harzianum* gave the most significant reductions TIP in CEREDO F1, ISI 54139 F1 and ANANAS cvs. (71.43%, 75.00% and 69.56% respectively less than control), followed by, *T. viride* (64.28%, 70.00% and 60.87% respectively less than control). In generally, rates reduction TIP obtained by *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* (71.99% and 65.05% less than control, respectively).

Table 4: Efficiency of some Biocontrol A	Agents on Controlling	Damping-Off of Cantaloupe cvs.,
Incited by F. Semitectum		

¥	Percentage of Seedling Infection (PSI)									Mean
Treatment	Verities									- Values
	CRED	O F1		ISI 54	139 F1		ANAN	JAS		- TIP
	PRD	PTD	TIP	PRD	PTD	TIP	PRD	PTD	TIP	111
F. semitectum + T. viride	5.0	2.5	7.5	7.5	2.5	10.0	5.0	12.5	17.5	11.66
F. semitectum + T. harzianum	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	2.5	7.5	10.0	10.0	20.0	10.83
Control (1) (F. semitectumalone)	15.0	12.5	27.5	20.0	10.0	30.0	25.0	17.5	42.5	33.33
Control (2) (Untreated)	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.66
L.S.D	1.92	2.14	2.61	2.30	2.46	2.72	2.22	2.63	2.96	

 $PRD = Pre \ emergence \ damping-off, PTD = Post \ emergence \ damping-off, TIP = Total \ infection \ percentage, PSI = Percentage \ of seedling \ infection.$

Table 5: Efficiency of some Biocontrol Agents on Controlling Damping-Off of Cantaloupe c	vs.,
Incited by M. Phaseolina	

	Percentage of Seedling Infection (PSI)								Mean	
Treatment		Verities								
	C	REDO I	71	ISI 54139 F1			А	NANAS)	Values TIP
	PRD	PTD	TIP	PRD	PTD	TIP	PRD	PTD	TIP	111
M. phaseolina + T. viride	7.5	5.0	12.5	7.5	7.5	15.0	10.0	12.5	22.5	16.66
M. phaseolina + T. harzianum	5.0	5.0	10.0	7.5	5.0	12.5	10.0	7.5	17.5	13.33
Control (1) (<i>M. phaseolina</i> alone)	20.0	15.0	35.0	37.5	12.5	50.0	35.0	22.5	57.5	47.5
Control (2) (Untreated)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	1.66
L.S.D	1.93	1.85	2.38	2.18	2.59	2.55	2.95	3.26	3.53	

 $PRD = Pre \ emergence \ damping-off, \ PTD = Post \ emergence \ damping-off, \ TIP = Total \ infection \ percentage, \ PSI = Percentage \ of seedling \ infection.$

Centre for Info Bio Technology (CIBTech)

Research Article

Discussion

In Vitro:

Fungal biocontrol agents (FBA's) *viz.*, *T. harzianum*, *T. viride*, and *T. koningii* have significantly reduced linear growth of all tested fungi compared to control. In the present work, *T. harzianum* proved to be more effective in reducing growth of the tested damping-off pathogens *in vitro* than other FBA's followed by *T. viride* where they recorded the highest percentages of reduction. Growth of *Fusarium solani* was inhibited by *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *T. koningii* that corroborates well with the results reported by Akrami *et al.*, (2012) and Dwivedi and Dwivedi (2012). Suppression in growth of *F. solani* by *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *T. koningii* during the present studies supports the findings of Haggag and El-Gamal (2012). The varying level of growth inhibition of *F. solani* by the antagonistic. Abushaala (2008). Resuls of experiments were conducted to evaluate the role of fungal bioagent which proved a good antagonistic activates against *F. moniliforme* under laboratory, *T. harzianum*wasmore effect followed by

T. viride, however, the lowest reduction rate was obtained by *T. koningii*. Similar results were obtained by Abushaala (2008).

F. semitectum was the most sensitive among all the pathogens tested to FBA's. Antagonistic capability of *T. harzianum, T. viride,* and*T. koningii,* were tested *in vitro* against *F. semitectum.* The percentage of linear growth of the pathogen was recorded when its growth covered the plate surface in control treatment. Results of this study revealed that all tested FBA's inhibited growth of *F. semitectum. T. harzianum* and *T. viride* gave the greatest percentage of growth inhibition. Such results are in agreement with those reported by Rose *et al.,* (2004). *Trichoderma viride* (isolate no. 17), *T. harzianum* (isolate no. 19) and *Fusarium concolar* (isolate no.4) showed significant percentage of antagonistic fungi against the pathogens through cloning their hyphae around the hyphae of the pathogens to prevent their continued growth (Chu and Wn, 1981; Adekunle *et al.,* 2006) and/or produce antagonistic substance which can play an important role in lyses of cell wall components of the pathogenic fungi to help the antagonists to penetrate the host hyphae and grown on it as hyper parasite (Papavizas *et al.,* 1984). Similar results have been reported by Mathew and Gupta (1998).

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum was high sensitive to tested BCA's, while decrease growth and not produce scloricia. FBA's were inhibited *in vitro* the mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum*. Similar results *in vitro* have been reported by Levy *et al.*, (2004), Rama *et al.*, (2004), Abdullah *et al.*, (2008) and Abushaala (2008). Mohamed and Gomaa (2001) reported that *Trichoderma harzianum* destroyed sclerotia of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* converting them to spores of *T. harzianum* within 12 days under laboratory conditions. *T. viride* isolated from decayed sclerotia caused a high level of decay in *S. sclerotiorum*. On the other hand, Levy *et al.*, (2004) reported that biological control using *Trichoderma harzianum* isolate T39 controlled several foliar pathogens like *B. cinerea*, powdery mildews and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* by inducing the resistance locally or systemically through decreasing the reactive oxygen species (ROS) in uninfected leaf tissue or enhancing the formation of antioxidant enzymes as well as formation of phytohormones.

Growth of *Macrophomina phaseolina* was found to be inhibited by *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *T. koningii*. Similar results have been reported by El-Mougy and Abdel-Kader (2008), Abushaala (2008), Hajieghrari *et al.*, (2008), Bandopadhyay *et al.*, (2011) and Gajera *et al.*, (2012). Ramzan *etal.*, (2014) reported that, growth of *M. phaseolina* was inhibited by 11 fungi *viz.*, *A. fusispora*, *Aspergillus flavus A. funigatus*, *A. niger*, *Drechslera hawaiiensis*, *Emericella nidulans*, *Penicillium chrysogenum*, *P. citrinum*, *S. atra*, *T. harzianum* and *T. virens*, and sevenbacteria *viz.*, *B. cereus*, *B. licheniformis*, *B. megaterium*, *B. pumilus*, *B. subtilis*, *M. varians* and *P. fluorescens*. The growth inhibition of pathogen may be due to production of chitinase and B-1,3-glucanase enzymes which degrade the cell wall of the pathogens (Ahmed and Baker, 1987).

Trichoderma generate many enzymes that are used against cell walls of fungi to utilize the fragment of pathogens (Grosch *et al.*, 2006).

Research Article

In Vivo:

Biocontrol agents (FBA's) including T. harzianum, T. viride and T. koningii, proved to be antagonistic to all the tested pathogens, both in vitro and in vivo. Many researches confirmed the significant role of FBA's in controlling damping-off diseases, particularly T. harzianum (Amer and El-Desouky, 2000; Elad et al., 2000; Aly, 2005; Singh et al., 2007 and Rojo et al., 2007), T. viride (Hamed, 1999), T. koningii (Soltan, 1998). Most of these researches dealt with controlling damping-off diseases incited by R. solani, F. solani, M. phaseolina, P. ultimum, A. alternata, S. sclerotiorum, F. oxysporum, Sclerotium rolfsii, Cladosporium sp., F. moniliforme and F. semitectum. To improve biological control of the disease, antagonistic fungal isolates of T. harzianum, T. viride and T. koningii, with different carriers (talc based powder and wheat bran) were tested on incidence of cantaloupe damping-off caused by of the tested pathogens in greenhouse and field on conditions. Under greenhouse conditions, application of antagonistic FBA's one week before planting showed higher percentage of survival plant of pre and post emergence damping-off compared control. In pre emergence damping-off formulation of isolate T. harzianum gave the highest number of survival plants precentage followed by T. viride. Several researchers have reported that T. viride and T. harzianum were superior as antagonistic fungi against several soil and seed borne plant pathogens (Poddar et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2008; 2011). The potentiality of Trichoderma spp. as biocontrol agents of phytopathogenic fungi in several crops is well known especially to Fusarium spp. The two primary mechanism of action associated with nonpathogenic Fusarium spp. are induced systemic resistance and competition for nutrients in the soil and parasitic competition for infection sites on the roots (Kaur et al., 2010). Under field conditions in the two growing seasons, applied formulations of antagonistic fungi into infested soil with F. semitectum at the time of planting showed the higher percentage of survival plants in the case of pre and post emergence dampingoff than applied two weeks before planting (Sallam et al., 2014). Such results agree with those reported by Lewis and Lumsden (2001). This may be due to that application of biocontrol formulations at the time of planting has avoided the spread of the pathogen in soil. Coley-Smith et al., (1991) reported that formulations of biocontrol against soil-borne fungi were more effective when added at the time of planting compared to those applied two weeks before planting. Lewis et al., (1998) reported that, the ability of a fangal biocontrol agent in formulation to inhibit the spread of the pathogen is perhaps more important than the effectiveness of the formulation to eliminate pathogen propagules evenly distributed in the soil. Also, application of Trichoderma spp. as powder formulation into soil provides nutrient sources for other soil microorganisms such as growth promoting rhizobacteria (Sallam et al., 2008).

Extensive work was carried out on antagonistic mechanisms of *Trichoderma* spp. against phytopathogenic, including (i) competition through rhizosphere competence and replacement of endogenous fungi on the root surface, leading to their suppression and therefore mask their presence (Zangh *et al.*, 1996; Harman, 2000 and 2001 and Howell, 2003), (ii) production of antibiotics such as gliotoxin (Lumsden *et al.*, 1992; Wilhite *et al.*, 1994 and Haraguchi *et al.*, 1996) and gliovirin (Howell and Stipanovic, 1983), which inhibit acetolactate synthase, responsible of catalyzing the production of branched chain aminoacids, (iii) production of enzymes such as chitinase (De La Cruz *et al.*, 1992; Elad and Kapat, 1999 and Metcalf and Wilson, 2001), Glocanase (Migheli *et al.*, 1998), Chitinases and glucanases (Metcalf and Wilson, 2001 and Inglis and Kawchuk, 2002), Proteases (Kapat *et al.*, 1998 and Sharon *et al.*, 2001), (iv) acetaldehyde and other acidic volatiles (Dennis and Webster, 1971 a & b), (v) toxic compounds, such viridian, sesquiterpene, gliocladic acid, heptolidic acid, viridio and valinotricin (Turner, 1971 and Smith *et al.*, 1990).

It is believed that, the modes of action of antibiotics and enzymes against pathogens are scarcely clarified. A better understanding of the mode of action is essential to allow for the prediction of the likelihood of resistance of the target pathogens to the antibiotics. In addition, a better understanding of the mode of action might allow for the development of more effective synthetic antibiotic analogues. Many objectives were realized throughout our study on the application of FBA's in controlling damping-off and root rot pathogens. Some of the tested untraditional FBA's such., *T. harzianum* proved to be more effective than *T. viride*.

Research Article

The important goals of this study was to check the efficiency of some biocontrol agent on controlling damping-off disease incited by the tested pathogens both in laboratory and under greenhouse conditions. Results obtained by radial growth technique indicated that all the tested FBA's significantly reduced growth of the seed-born pathogens. In addition, the highest reduction rates were obtained by the FBA T. harzianum (86.55%) followed by T. viride (81.33%). F. semitictum was the most sensitive compared with the other tested pathogens (79.77%), whereas M. phaseolina was the least sensitive to FBA treatments, where reduction rate attained (61.11%). T. koningii induced the lowest reduction rates (77.66%). Generally, treatment soil with the tested FBA's significantly reduced TIP values in cantaloupe cvs. T. harzianum was more efficient in reducing TIP than T. viride against all the tested seed-born pathogens, except with F. moniliforme. The highest reduction rates were detected in T. harzianum / F. semitictum in CREDO F1 treatment (81.81%). F. solani was the least sevsitive to FBA soil treatment, compared with the other tested cucurbit seed-borne pathogens. In CREDO F1 cv. Reduction rates ranged from 65.62% to 77.27%. Both of FBA's soil treatment significantly reduced PRD values. Moreover, T. harzianum treatment realized the highest PRD reduction rates ranged from 57.14% (F. moniliforme) in CREDO F1 cv. to 83.33% (F. moniliforme) in ISI 54139 F1 cv., In ISI54139 F1 cv., PRD reduction rates were 75.0% in T. harzianum / F. semitictum soil treatment to 83.33% in T. harzianum / F. moniliforme. T. viride was the most efficient in reducing PRD incited by F. semitictum with ANANAS cv., and M. phaseolina with ISI54139 F1 cv.(80.0%). Soil treatment with the tested FBA's, in general, significantly reduced PTD incidence. In addition, T. harzianum was more efficient in reducing PTD by F. semitectum (100%) in CREDO F1 cv., where reduction rates in T. harzianum / F. moniliforme and F. semitictum were 62.38% and 72.62%, respectively compared with control. In T. harzianum / F. solani or M. phaseolina treatments, equal rates were obtained (64.44%). T. viride was the most efficient in reducing PTD values in ISI 54139 F1 cv. Inoculated with F. moniliforme (83.33%).

REFERENCES

Abdullah MT, Ali NY and Suleman P (2008). Biological control of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary with *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. *Crop Protection* 27 1354-1359.

Abushaala FAB (2008). Studies on some cucurbitaceous seed-borne pathogens with special reference on the effect of biolobgical control on their suppression. PhD. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture Alexandria University Egypt 309.

Adekunle AT, Ikotun T, Fiorini DA and Cardwell KF (2006). Field evaluation of selected formulations of *Trichoderma* species as seed treatment to control damping-off of cowpea caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **5** 419-424.

Ahmed JS and Baker R (1987). Competitive saprophytic ability and cellulolytic activity of rhizosphere competent of *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Phytopathology* **77** 358-362.

Akrami M, Khiavi HK, Shikhlinski H and Khoshvaghtei H (2012). Biocontrolling two pathogens of chickpea *Fusarium solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum* by different combinations of *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Trichoderma asperellum* and *Trichoderma virens* under field conditions. *International Journal of Agricultural Science Research* 1(3) 41-45.

Aly AME (2005). Evaluation of potentiality of single or mixed isolates of *Tricoderma* spp. in controlling some disease of strawberry plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 91.

Amer GA and El-Desouky SM (2000). Suppression of bean damping-off caused by *Sclerotinia rolfsii* using *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium* species. *Menofia Journal Of Agricultural Research* 25 921-932.

Bandopadhyay A, Bandopadhyay AK and Samajpati N (2011). *In vitro* antifungal activity of some biocontrol fungi against jute pathogen *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *Indian Phytopathology* **61**(2) 204-211.

Chu FF and Wn WS (1981). Antagonistic action of *Trichoderma* spp. and *Penicillium* spp. on *Rhizoctonia solani. Memoirs of the College of Agriculture, National Taiwan University* **212** 4-18.

Coley-Smith JR, Ridout CJ, Mitchell CM and Lynch JM (1991). Control of bottom rot disease of lettuce (*Rhizoctonia solani*) using preparations of *Trichoderma viride*, *T. harzianum* or tolclofos-methyl. *Plant Pathology* **40** 359-366.

De La Cruz J, Hidalgo-Gallego A and Lora-Benitez T (1992). Isolation and characterization chemistry chitinases from *T. harzianum. European Journal of Biochemistry* 206 859-867.

Dennis C and Webster J (1971a). Antagonistic properties of species groups of *Trichoderma* I. Production of non volatile antibiotics. *Transactions of the British Mycological Societies* **57** 25-39.

Dennis C and Webster J (1971b). Antagonistic properties of species groups of *Trichoderma* II. Production of volatile antibiotics. *Transactions of the British Mycological Societies* **57** 41-48.

Dwivedi SK and Dwivedi N (2012). *In vitro* bio efficacy of some selected fungal antagonists against guava wilt pathogen. *IOSR Journal of Engineering* **2**(5) 1217-1223.

Elad Y and Kapat A (1999). The role of *Trichoderma harzianum* protease in the biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea*. *European Journal Plant Pathology* **105** 177-189.

Elad Y, Katan J, Aharonson N, Cohen E, Rubin B and Matthews GA (2000). Biological control of foliar pathogens by means of *Trichoderma harzianum* potential modes of action. *Crop-Protection* **19** 709-714.

El-Mougy NS and Abdel-Kader MM (2008). Long term activity of bio-priming seed treatment for biological control of faba bean root rot pathogens. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **37** 464- 471.

Gajera HP, Bambharolia RP, Patel SV, Khatrani TJ and Goalkiya BA (2012). Antagonism of *Trichoderma* spp., against *Macrophomina phaseolina*: Evaluation of coiling and cell wall degrading enzymatic activities. *Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology* **3**(7) 1-7.

Grosch R, Scherwinski K, Lottmann J and Berg G (2006). Fungal antagonists of the plant pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani*: selection, control efficacy and influence on the indigenous microbial community. *Mycological Research* **110** 1464-1474.

Haggag KHE and El-Gamal N (2012). *In vitro* study on *Fusarium solani* and *Rhizoctonia solani* isolates causing the damping off and root rot diseases in tomatoes. *Nature and Science* 10(11) 16-25.

Hajieghrari B, Torabi-Giglou M, Mohammadi MZ and Davari M (2008). Biological potential of some Iranian *Trichoderma* isolates in the control of soil-borne plant pathogenic fungi. *African Journal Biotechnology* **7**(8) 967-972.

Hamed HA (1999). Biological control of basal stem rot and wilt of cucumber caused by *Sclerotiniasclerotiorum*, *Pytiumultimum* and *Fusariumoxysporum* f.sp. cucumerinum. *African Journal of Mycology and Biotechnology* 7(1) 81-91.

Haraguchi H, Hamatani Y, Hamada M and Fujii-Tachino A (1996). Effect of gliotoxin on growth and branched-chain amino acid biosynthesis in plants. *Phytochemistry* **42** 645-648.

Harman GE (2000). Myths and dogmas of biocontrol: Changes in perceptions derived from research on *Trichoderma harzianum* T-22. *Plant Disease* 84 377-393.

Harman GE (2001). In *Proceedings of International Symposium on Biological Control of Plant Diseases* for the New Century-Mode of Action and Application Technology, (edition Tzeng, D.D.S. & Huang, J.W.) 71-84 (National Chung Hsing University, Taichung City).

Howell CR (2003). Mechanisms Employed by *Trichoderma* Species in the biological control of plant diseases: The history and evalution of current concepts. *Plant Diseases* **87**(1) 4-10.

Howell CR and Stipanovic RD (1983). Glioviridin, a new antibiotic from *Gliocladium virens* and its role in the biological control of *Pythium ultimum*. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **29** 321-324.

Inglis GD and Kawchuk LM (2002). Comparative degradation of oomycete, ascomycete, and basidiomycete cell walls by mycoparasitic and biocontrol fungi. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **48** 60-70.

Kapat A, Zimand G and Elad Y (1998). Effect of two isolates of *Trichoderma harzianum* on the activity of hydrolytic enzymes produced by *Botrytis cinerea*. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 52 127-137.

Kaur J, Rama S and Singh T (2010). Nonpathogenic *Fusarium* as a biological control agent. *Plant Pathology Journal* 9 79-91.

Lee HM, Khan Z, Kim SG, Baek NI and Kim YH (2011). Evaluation of biocontrol potential of some medicinal plant materials alone and in combination with *Trichoderma harzianum* against *Rhizoctonia*

Research Article

solani AG 2-1. Plant Pathology Journal 27 68-77.

Lee TO, Khan Z, Kim SG and Kim YH (2008). Amendment with peony (*Paeonia suffructicosa*) root bark powder improves the biocontrol efficacy of *Trichoderma harzianum* against *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* **18** 1537-1543.

Levy NO, Elad Y, Korolev N and Katan J (2004). Resistance induced by soil bio-control application and soil solarization for the control of foliar pathogens. *Bulletin- OILB/SROP* 27(1) 171-176.

Lewis JA and Lumsden RD (2001). Biocontrol of damping-off of greenhouse-grown crops caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* with a formulation of *Trichoderma* spp. *Crop Protection* **20** 49-56.

Lewis JA, Larkin RP and Rogers DL (1998). A formulation of *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium* to reduce damping-off caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* and saprophytic growth of the pathogen in soil less mix. *Plant Disease* 82 501-506.

Lumsden RD, Locke JC, Adkins ST, Walter JF and Ridout CJ (1992). Isolation and localization of the antibiotic gliotoxin produced by *Gliocladium virens* from alginate prill in soil and soilless media. *Phytopathogy* 82 230-235.

Mathew KA and Gupta SK (1998). Biological control of root rot of French bean caused by *Rhizoctonia* solani. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology 28 202-205.

Metcalf DD and Wilson CR (2001). The process of antagonism of *Sclerotium cepivorum* in white rot affected onion roots by *Trichoderma koningii*. *Plant Pathology* **50** 249-257.

Migheli Q, Gonzalez-Candelas L, Dealessi L, Camponogara A and Ramon-Vidal D (1998). Transformants of *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* overexpressing the β -1,4-endoglucanasegene egl1 show enhanced biocontrol of *Pythium ultimum* on cucumber. *Phytopathology* **88** 673-677.

Mohamed FG and Gomaa AO (2001). Effect of some agricultural and biological treatments on the productivity of *Centaurea* spp.: plants and controlling the sclerotinia rot disease. *Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research* 28(5) 839-867.

Papavizas GC, Dunn MT, Lewis JA and Beagle- Ristaino J (1984). Liquid fermentation technology for experimental production of biocontrol fungi. *Phytopathology* **74** 1171-1175.

Poddar RK, Singh DV and Dubey SC (2004). Integrated application of *Trichoderma harzianum* mutants and carbendazim to Manage chickpea wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*). *Indian Journal of Agriculture Science* **74**(6) 346-348.

Rama SS, Mann SS, Asthajaspal K and Ramandeep K (2004). Variation in antagonistic Potentiality of *T. harzianum* isolates against *S. sclerotiorum* causing head rot of sunflower. *Indian Phytopathology* **57**(2) 185-188.

Ramzan N, Noreen N and Shahzad S (2014). Inhibition of *in vitro* growth of soil-borne pathogens by compost-inhabiting indigenous bacteria and fungi. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **46**(3) 1093-1099.

Rojo FG, Reynoso MM, Marcela F, Chulze SN and Torres AM (2007). Biological control by *Trichoderma* species of *Fusarium solani* causing peanut brown root rot under field conditions. *Crop Protection* **26**(4) 549-555.

Rose S, Yip R and Punja ZK (2004). Biological control of Fusarium and Pythium root rots on greenhouse cucumbers grown in rock wool. *Acta Horticulturae* (ISHS) 635(XXVI) 73-78.

Sallam NM, Riad SN, Mohamed MS and Seef Eleslam A (2014). Biocontrol of cantaloupe dampingoff disease caused by *Fusarium semitectum* by using formulations of antagonistic fungi. *Journal of Phytopathology and Pest Management* 1(1) 5-15.

Sallam NM, Riad SN, Mohamed MS and Seef Eleslam A (2014). Biocontrol of cantaloupe dampingoff disease caused by *Fusarium semitectum* by using formulations of antagonistic fungi. *Journal of Phytopathology and Pest Management* 1(1) 5-15.

Sallam NMA, Abo-Elyousr KAM and Hassan MAE (2008). Evaluation of *Trichoderma* species as biocontrol agent for damping-off and wilt diseases of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. and efficacy of suggested formula. *Egyptian Journal of Phytopathology* **36**(2) 81-93.

Sharon E, Bar-Eyal M, Chet I, Herra-Estrella A, Kleifeld O and Spiegel Y (2001). Biological control of the root-rot nematode Meloidogyne javanica by *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Phytopathogy* **91** 687-693.

Research Article

Singh A, Srivastava S and Singh HB (2007). Effect of substrates on growth and shelf life of *Trichoderma harzianum* and its use in biocontrol of diseases. *Bioresource Technology* **98**(2) 470-473.

Smith VL, Wilox WF and Harman GE (1990). Potential for biological control of Phytophthora root-rot and crown rots of apple by *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium* sp. *Phytopathology* **80** 880-885.

Soltan HHM (1998). Studies on some postharvest diseases of tomato fruits. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Egypt 101.

Turner WB (1971). Fungal Metabolites, (Academic Press, London, New York,) 446.

Wilhite SE, Lumsden RD and Straney DC (1994). Mutational analysis of gliotoxin production by the biocontrol fungus *Gliocladium virens* in relation to suppression of Pythium damping-off. *Phytopathology* 84 816-821.

Zangh W, Dick WA and Hoitink HAJ (1996). Compost induced systemic aquired resistance in cucumber to Pythium root rot and antrachnose. *Phytopathology* 86 1066-1070.