

Research Article

NEW PROPERTIES OF THE NATARAJAN METHOD OF SUMMABILITY FOR DOUBLE SEQUENCES

*P N Natarajan

Old No. 2/3, New No. 3/3, Second Main Road, R.A. Puram, Chennai 600 028, India

*Author for Correspondence

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study some properties of the $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ method of summability introduced earlier by the author in (Natarajan (to appear)).

Keywords: Double Sequence, Double Series, $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ Method (or the Natarajan Method) of Summability, Cauchy Product, Inclusion, Equivalence, Iteration Product

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

To make the paper self-contained, we recall the following (Natarajan, 2014):

Definition 1.1:

Let $\{x_{m,n}\}$ be a double sequence. We say that

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x,$$

if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the set

$$\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : |x_{m,n} - x| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

is finite, $\mathbb{N}$ being the set of positive integers. In such a case, x is unique and x is called the limit of the double sequence $\{x_{m,n}\}$. We also say that $\{x_{m,n}\}$ converges to x .

Definition 1.2:

Let $\{x_{m,n}\}$ be a double sequence. We say that

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} x_{m,n} = s,$$

if

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} s_{m,n} = s,$$

where,

$$s_{m,n} = \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{m,n} x_{k,\ell}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In such a case, we say that the double series $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} x_{m,n}$ converges to s .

Remark 1.3:

If $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x$, then the double sequence $\{x_{m,n}\}$ is bounded.

It is easy to prove the following results.

Theorem 1.4:

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x,$$

if and only if

$$(i) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

and

(iii) for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

Research Article

$|x_{m,n} - x| < \epsilon, \quad m, n \geq N,$
 which we write as

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x$$

(Note that this is Pringsheim's definition of limit of a double sequence).

Theorem 1.5:

If the double series $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} x_{m,n}$ converges, then,

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = 0.$$

However, the converse is not true.

Definition 1.6:

$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} x_{m,n}$ is said to converge absolutely, if $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |x_{m,n}|$ converges.

Note that if $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} x_{m,n}$ converges absolutely, it converges. However, the converse is not true.

Some Properties of the $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ Method or the Natarajan Method

Definition 2.1:

Given a 4-dimensional infinite matrix $A = (a_{m,n,k,\ell})$, $m, n, k, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and a double sequence $\{x_{k,\ell}\}$, $k, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, by the A-transform of $x = \{x_{k,\ell}\}$, we mean the sequence $A(x) = \{(Ax)_{m,n}\}$, where,

$$(Ax)_{m,n} = \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty, \infty} a_{m,n,k,\ell} x_{k,\ell}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

assuming that the double series on the right converge. If $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_{m,n} = s$, we say that the double sequence

$x = \{x_{k,\ell}\}$ is A-summable or summable A to s, written as,

$$x_{k,\ell} \rightarrow s(A).$$

If $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_{m,n} = s$, whenever $\lim_{k+\ell \rightarrow \infty} x_{k,\ell} = s$, we say that the 4-dimensional infinite matrix A is "regular".

The following important theorem on the regularity of a 4-dimensional infinite matrix was proved by Natarajan (2014).

Theorem 2.2 (Silverman-Toeplitz):

The 4-dimensional infinite matrix $A = (a_{m,n,k,\ell})$ is regular if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{m,n \geq 0} \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{m,n,k,\ell}| < \infty;$$

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n,k,\ell} = 0, \quad k, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty, \infty} a_{m,n,k,\ell} = 1;$$

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n,k,\ell}| = 0, \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n,k,\ell}| = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(Natarajan (to appear)) introduced the $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ method for double sequences and extended some of the results of the (M, λ_n) method for simple sequences.

Research Article

Definition 2.3:

Let $\{\lambda_{m,n}\}$ be a double sequence such that $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |\lambda_{m,n}| < \infty$. The $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ method is defined by the 4-dimensional infinite matrix $(a_{m,n,k,\ell})$, where,

$$a_{m,n,k,\ell} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{m-k,n-\ell}, & 0 \leq k \leq m, 0 \leq \ell \leq n; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.4:

We say that $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ is included in $(M, \mu_{m,n})$ (or $((M, \mu_{m,n})$ includes $(M, \lambda_{m,n}))$, written as, $(M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, \mu_{m,n})$ (or $(M, \mu_{m,n}) \supseteq (M, \lambda_{m,n})$),

If $s_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \sigma(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ implies that $s_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \sigma(M, \mu_{m,n})$ too.

The methods $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$, $(M, \mu_{m,n})$ are said to be equivalent if $(M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, \mu_{m,n})$ and vice versa.

It is easy to prove the following result.

Theorem 2.5: (see Natarajan (to appear))

The method $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ is regular if and only if

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} \lambda_{m,n} = 1.$$

Analogous to Theorem 176 of Hardy (1949), we have the following result in the context of double sequences and double series.

Theorem 2.6:

If $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n} = 0$ and $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |b_{m,n}| < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} c_{m,n} = 0,$$

where,

$$c_{m,n} = \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{m,n} a_{m-k,n-\ell} b_{k,\ell}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof.

Since $\{a_{m,n}\}$, $\{b_{m,n}\}$ are convergent, they are bounded and so,

$$(2.7) \quad |a_{m,n}| \leq M, \quad |b_{m,n}| \leq M, \quad M > 0, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |b_{m,n}| < \infty$, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist positive integers M_1, N_1 such that

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{m > M_1, n > N_1}^{\infty, \infty} |b_{m,n}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}.$$

Since, for fixed $k, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m-k,n-\ell} = 0,$$

we can choose positive integers $M_2 > M_1, N_2 > N_1$ such that for $m > M_2, n > N_2$, we have,

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq M_1 \\ 0 \leq \ell \leq N_1}} |a_{m-k,n-\ell}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M};$$

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq M_1 \\ N_1+1 \leq \ell \leq n}} |a_{m-k,n-\ell}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M};$$

and

Research Article

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{\substack{M_1+1 \leq k \leq m \\ 0 \leq \ell \leq N_1}} |a_{m-k, n-\ell}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}.$$

Then, for $m > M_2$, $n > N_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_n| &= \left| \sum_{k, \ell=0}^{m, n} a_{m-k, n-\ell} b_{k, \ell} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq M_1 \\ 0 \leq \ell \leq N_1}} a_{m-k, n-\ell} b_{k, \ell} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq M_1 \\ \ell > N_1}} a_{m-k, n-\ell} b_{k, \ell} + \sum_{\substack{k > M_1 \\ 0 \leq \ell \leq N_1}} a_{m-k, n-\ell} b_{k, \ell} + \sum_{\substack{k > M_1 \\ \ell > N_1}} a_{m-k, n-\ell} b_{k, \ell} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq M_1 \\ 0 \leq \ell \leq N_1}} |a_{m-k, n-\ell}| |b_{k, \ell}| + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq M_1 \\ \ell > N_1}} |a_{m-k, n-\ell}| |b_{k, \ell}| + \sum_{\substack{k > M_1 \\ 0 \leq \ell \leq N_1}} |a_{m-k, n-\ell}| |b_{k, \ell}| + \sum_{\substack{k > M_1 \\ \ell > N_1}} |a_{m-k, n-\ell}| |b_{k, \ell}| \\ &< M \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} + M \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} + M \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} + M \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} \\ &= \varepsilon, \quad \text{using (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11).} \end{aligned}$$

It now follows that

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} c_{m, n} = 0,$$

completing the proof of the theorem. $\square$

We now have the following results on the cauchy multiplication of $(M, \lambda_{m, n})$ -summable double sequences and double series.

Theorem 2.7:

If $\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{m, n}| < \infty$ and $\{b_{m, n}\}$ is $(M, \lambda_{m, n})$ -summable to B, then $\{c_{m, n}\}$ is $(M, \lambda_{m, n})$ -summable to AB, where,

$$c_{m, n} = \sum_{k, \ell=0}^{m, n} a_{m-k, n-\ell} b_{k, \ell}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty, \infty} a_{m, n} = A.$$

Proof.

Let, $\{t_{m, n}\}$, $\{\tau_{m, n}\}$ be the $(M, \lambda_{m, n})$ -transforms of $\{b_{m, n}\}$, $\{c_{m, n}\}$ respectively,

$$\text{i.e., } t_{m, n} = \sum_{k, \ell=0}^{m, n} \lambda_{m-k, n-\ell} b_{k, \ell},$$

$$\tau_{m, n} = \sum_{k, \ell=0}^{m, n} \lambda_{m-k, n-\ell} c_{k, \ell}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We can work to see that

$$\tau_{m, n} = \sum_{k, \ell=0}^{m, n} a_{m-k, n-\ell} (t_{k, \ell} - B) + B \left(\sum_{k, \ell=0}^{m, n} a_{k, \ell} \right), \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where, $\lim_{k+\ell \rightarrow \infty} t_{k, \ell} = B$. Since $\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{m, n}| < \infty$ and $\lim_{k+\ell \rightarrow \infty} (t_{k, \ell} - B) = 0$, using Theorem 2.6, it follows that

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{k, \ell=0}^{m, n} a_{m-k, n-\ell} (t_{k, \ell} - B) \right] = 0,$$

so that

Research Article

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{m,n} = B \left(\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} a_{m,n} \right) \\ = AB,$$

i.e., $\{c_{m,n}\}$ is $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ -summable to AB , completing the proof of the theorem. $\square$

It is easy to prove the following result on similar lines.

Theorem 2.8:

If $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{m,n}| < \infty$, $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} b_{m,n}$ is $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ -summable to B , then $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} c_{m,n}$ is $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ -summable to AB , where,

$$c_{m,n} = \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{m,n} a_{m-k,n-\ell} b_{k,\ell}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} a_{m,n} = A.$$

As in the case of the Natarajan method (M, λ_n) for simple sequences (Natarajan, 2013), we can prove the following result, using Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.9:

Let $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$, $(M, \mu_{m,n})$ be regular methods. Then, $(M, \lambda_{m,n}) (M, \mu_{m,n})$ is also regular, where, we define, for $x = \{x_{m,n}\}$,

$$((M, \lambda_{m,n}) (M, \mu_{m,n}))(x) = (M, \lambda_{m,n}) ((M, \mu_{m,n})(x)).$$

We can prove the following results too.

Theorem 2.10:

For given regular methods $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$, $(M, \mu_{m,n})$ and $(M, t_{m,n})$,

$$(M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, \mu_{m,n})$$

if and only if

$$(M, t_{m,n}) (M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, t_{m,n}) (M, \mu_{m,n}).$$

In view of Theorem 3.6 of (Natarajan (to appear)), we can reformulate Theorem 2.10 as follows:

Theorem 2.11:

Given the regular methods $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$, $(M, \mu_{m,n})$ and $(M, t_{m,n})$, the following statements are equivalent:

$$(i) (M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, \mu_{m,n});$$

$$(ii) (M, t_{m,n}) (M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, t_{m,n}) (M, \mu_{m,n});$$

and

$$(iii) \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |k_{m,n}| < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} k_{m,n} = 1,$$

where,

$$\frac{\mu(x)}{\lambda(x)} = k(x) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} k_{m,n} x^m y^n;$$

$$\lambda(x) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} \lambda_{m,n} x^m y^n;$$

and

$$\mu(x) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} \mu_{m,n} x^m y^n.$$

REFERENCES

Hardy GH (1949). *Divergent Series*. (Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK).

Research Article

Natarajan PN (2013). Iteration product of Natarajan methods of summability. *International Journal of Physics and Mathematical Sciences* **3** 25-29.

Natarajan PN (2014). A new definition of convergence of a double sequence and a double series and Silverman-Toeplitz theorem. *Commentationes Mathematicae (Prace Matematyczne)* **54** 129-139.

Natarajan PN (No Date). Natarajan method of summability for double sequences and series. *An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi Math.* (to appear).