

ON NANO REGULAR GENERALIZED STAR b-CLOSED SET IN NANO TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

***Smitha M.G. and Indirani K.**

Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

**Author for Correspondence*

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new class of nano generalized closed sets namely, Nano regular generalized star b-closed sets in Nano topological spaces and some of its basic properties are analyzed.

Keywords: Nano rg^* -closed set, Nano rg^* -open set and nano rg^* b-closed set

INTRODUCTION

In 1970, Levine introduced the concept of generalized closed sets as a generalization of closed sets in topological spaces. Ahmed and Mohd (2009) studied the class of generalized b-closed sets. Sindhu and Indirani (2013) introduced the concept of regular generalized star b-closed sets in topological spaces.

The notion of Nano topology was introduced by Lellis which was defined in terms of approximations and boundary regions of a subset of an universe using an equivalence relation on it and also defined Nano closed set, Nano interior and Nano closure.

The aim of this paper is to continue the study of nano generalized closed sets in nano topological space. In particular, we introduce a new class of nano sets on Nano topological spaces called Nano regular generalized star b-closed sets and obtain their characteristics with counter examples.

Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [8]: Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as indiscernibility relation. Then U is divided into disjoint equivalence classes. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U, R) is said to be the approximation space.

Let $X \subseteq U$. Then,

- The lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and is denoted by $L_R(X)$.

$$L_R(X) = \bigcup \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X, x \in U\}$$

where $R(x)$ denotes the equivalence class determined by $x \in U$.

- The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and is denoted by $U_R(X)$.

$$U_R(X) = \bigcup \{R(x) : R(x) \cap X \neq \phi, x \in U\}$$

- The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be classified neither as X nor as not- X with respect to R and is denoted by $B_R(X)$.

$$B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$$

Property 2.2 [8]: If (U, R) is an approximation space and $X, Y \subseteq U$, then

- 1) $L_R(X) \subseteq X \subseteq U_R(X)$
- 2) $L_R(\phi) = U_R(\phi) = \phi$
- 3) $L_R(U) = U_R(U) = U$
- 4) $U_R(X \cup Y) = U_R(X) \cup U_R(Y)$
- 5) $U_R(X \cap Y) \subseteq U_R(X) \cap U_R(Y)$
- 6) $L_R(X \cup Y) \supseteq L_R(X) \cup L_R(Y)$
- 7) $L_R(X \cap Y) = L_R(X) \cap L_R(Y)$
- 8) $L_R(X) \subseteq L_R(Y)$ and $U_R(X) \subseteq U_R(Y)$ whenever $X \subseteq Y$

Review Article

$$9) U_R(X^c) = [L_R(X)]^c \text{ and } L_R(X^c) = [U_R(X)]^c$$

$$10) U_R(U_R(X)) = L_R(U_R(X)) = U_R(X)$$

$$11) L_R(L_R(X)) = U_R(L_R(X)) = L_R(X)$$

Definition 2.3 [8]: Let U be the universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then by property 2.2 $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms:

- i) U and $\phi \in \tau_R(X)$.
- ii) The union of the elements of any sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
- iii) The intersection of all elements of any finite sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

Then $\tau_R(X)$ is a topology on U called the Nano topology on U with respect to X , $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called the Nano topological space.

Elements of the Nano topology are known as Nano open sets in U . Elements of $[\tau_R(X)]^c$ are called Nano closed sets with $[\tau_R(X)]^c$ being called dual Nano topology of $\tau_R(X)$.

Remark 2.4 [8]: If $\tau_R(X)$ is the Nano topology on U with respect to X , then the set $B = \{U, L_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ is the basis for $\tau_R(X)$.

Definition 2.5 [8]: If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a Nano topological space with respect X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$, then

- The Nano interior of a set A is defined as the union of all Nano open subsets contained in A and is denoted by $NInt(A)$. $NInt(A)$ is the largest Nano open subset of A .
- The Nano closure of a set A is defined as the intersection of all Nano closed sets containing A and is denoted by $NCl(A)$. $NCl(A)$ is the smallest Nano closed set containing A .

Definition 2.6 [8]: Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a Nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$. Then A is said to be

- Nano semi open if $A \subseteq NCl(NInt(A))$
- Nano pre open if $A \subseteq NInt(NCl(A))$
- Nano α open if $A \subseteq NInt[NCl(NInt(A))]$
- Nano regular open if $A = NInt(NCl(A))$

$NSO(U, X)$, $NPO(U, X)$, $\tau_R^\alpha(X)$ and $NRO(U, X)$ respectively denote the families of all Nano semi open, Nano pre open, Nano α open, and Nano regular open subsets of U .

Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a Nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$, A is said to be nano semi-closed, nano pre-closed, nano α -closed and nano regular closed if its complement is respectively Nano semi open, Nano pre open, Nano α open, and Nano regular open.

Definition 2.7 [3], [4]: A subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called

- (i) Nano b-closed set (briefly Nb-closed) if $NCl(NInt(A)) \cap NInt(NCl(A)) \subseteq A$.
- (ii) Nano generalized closed set (briefly Ng-closed) if $NCl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
- (iii) Nano generalized b-closed set (briefly Ngb-closed) if $NbCl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
- (iv) Nano regular generalized b-closed set (briefly Nrgb-closed) if $NbCl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nano regular open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Nano Regular Generalized Star b-closed Set

Definition 3.1: Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$. Then A is said to be regular generalized star closed set (briefly Nrg*-closed) if $Ncl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ U is nano regular open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a Nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$, A is said to be nano regular generalized star open set (briefly Nrg*-open) if its complement is Nrg*-closed.

Review Article

Definition 3.2: Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$. Then A is said to be regular generalized star b-closed set (briefly $\text{Nrg}^*\text{b-closed}$) if $\text{Nbcl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ U is Nrg^* -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

$\text{Nrg}^*\text{O}(U, X)$ denotes the family of all nano rg^* -open subsets of U.

Example 3.3: Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U / R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\{a\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c\}, U, \phi\}$. The nano closed sets are $U, \phi, \{b, c, d\}, \{d\}$ and $\{a, d\}$. Then $\text{Nrg}^*\text{O}(U, X) = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, U, \phi\}$. Then $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$ sets are $\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, U$ and ϕ .

Theorem 3.4: Every nano closed set is nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$.

Proof: Let A be a nano closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and G be nano rg^* -open such that $A \subseteq G$. Since every nano closed set is nano b-closed, $\text{Nbcl}(A) \subseteq \text{Ncl}(A) = A \subseteq G$. Therefore A is nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$.

Remark 3.5: Converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.6: Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U / R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\{a\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c\}, U, \phi\}$.

Then the sets $\{b, c\}$ and $\{b, d\}$ are $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$, but not closed.

The following theorems can also be proved in a similar way.

Theorem 3.7: Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$. Then

- (i) Every nano semi-closed set is nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$.
- (ii) Every nano pre-closed set is nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$.
- (iii) Every nano α -closed set is nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$.
- (iv) Every nano regular closed set is nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$.

Remark 3.8: Reverse implications of the theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.9: Let $U = \{x, y, z, w\}$ with $U / R = \{\{x, y\}, \{z\}, \{w\}\}$ and $X = \{x, z\}$. Then the nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\{z\}, \{x, y\}, \{x, y, z\}, U, \phi\}$. Here the sets $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ are nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$, but not nano semi-closed. The set $\{z\}$ is nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$, but not nano pre-closed.

Example 3.10: Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U / R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\{a\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c\}, U, \phi\}$. The sets $\{b\}$ and $\{b, c\}$ are $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$, but not nano α -closed. Also the sets $\{b, c\}$ and $\{a, d\}$ are $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$, but not nano regular closed.

Theorem 3.11: Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$. Then

- (i) Every nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$ set is nano rgb-closed .
- (ii) Every nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$ set is nano gb-closed .

Proof: Let A be a nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$ set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and G be nano regular open such that $A \subseteq G$. Since every nano regular open set is nano rg^* -open, G is nano rg^* -open. Since A is nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$, $\text{Nbcl}(A) \subseteq G$. Therefore A is nano rgb-closed .

(ii) The proof is similar.

Remark 3.12: The converse of the theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.13: Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U / R = \{\{a, e\}, \{b, d\}, \{c\}\}$ and $X = \{c, d\}$. Then the nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\{c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, U, \phi\}$. Here the set $\{b, c\}$ is nano rgb-closed , but not nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$ and the set $\{a, b, c\}$ is nano gb-closed , but not nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$.

Remark 3.14: The nano $\text{rg}^*\text{b-closed}$ sets are independent of the nano closed sets like nano g-closed sets and nano rg^* -closed sets as shown by the following example.

Review Article

Example 3.15: Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U / R = \{\{a, e\}, \{b, d\}, \{c\}\}$ and $X = \{c, d\}$. Then the nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\{c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, U, \phi\}$. Here the set $\{b, c\}$ is nano rg^* -closed, but not nano rg^*b -closed. The set $\{b\}$ is nano rg^*b -closed, but not nano rg^* -closed.

The set $\{a, b, c\}$ is nano g -closed, but not nano rg^*b -closed. The set $\{b, d\}$ is nano rg^*b -closed, but not nano g -closed.

Theorem 3.16: The union of two nano rg^*b -closed sets need not be nano rg^*b -closed as seen from the following example.

Example 3.17: Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U / R = \{\{a, e\}, \{b, d\}, \{c\}\}$ and $X = \{c, d\}$. Then the nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\{c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, U, \phi\}$. Here the sets $\{b\}$ and $\{c\}$ are nano rg^*b -closed, but their union $\{b\} \cup \{c\} = \{b, c\}$ not nano rg^*b -closed.

Theorem 3.18: Let A be a nano rg^*b -closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then $Nbcl(A) - A$ has no non-empty nano rg^* -closed set.

Proof: Let A be a nano rg^*b -closed. Let F be a nano rg^* -closed set in $Nbcl(A) - A$. That is, $F \subseteq Nbcl(A) - A \Rightarrow F \subseteq Nbcl(A) \cap A^c$. $F \subseteq A^c \Rightarrow A \subseteq F^c$, where F^c is a nano rg^* -open set. Since A is nano rg^*b -closed, $Nbcl(A) \subseteq F^c$. That is, $F \subseteq (Nbcl(A))^c$. Thus $F \subseteq Nbcl(A) \cap (Nbcl(A))^c = \phi$. Therefore $F = \phi$.

Theorem 3.19: Let A be nano rg^*b -closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then A is nano b -closed iff $Nbcl(A) - A$ is nano rg^* -closed.

Proof: Let A be a nano rg^*b -closed set. If A is nano b -closed, we have $Nbcl(A) - A = \phi$, which is nano rg^* -closed.

Conversely let $Nbcl(A) - A$ is nano rg^* -closed. Then by theorem 3.18, $Nbcl(A) - A = \phi$, which implies $Nbcl(A) = A$. Therefore A is nano b -closed.

Theorem 3.20: If A is nano rg^*b -closed set and B is any set such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq Nbcl(A)$, then B is nano rg^*b -closed.

Proof: Let $B \subseteq G$, where G is a nano rg^* -open set. Since A is nano rg^*b -closed and $A \subseteq G$, $Nbcl(A) \subseteq G$. Also $Nbcl(B) \subseteq Nbcl(Nbcl(A)) = Nbcl(A) \subseteq G$. Thus $Nbcl(B) \subseteq G$ and hence B is nano rg^*b -closed.

Theorem 3.21: If A is nano rg^* -open and nano rg^*b -closed, then A is nano b -closed.

Proof: Let A be nano rg^* -open and nano rg^*b -closed. Then $Nbcl(A) \subseteq A$, but always $A \subseteq Nbcl(A)$. Therefore $A = Nbcl(A)$. Hence A is nano b -closed.

REFERENCES

- Andrijevic D (1996).** On b -open sets. *Matematički Vesnik* **48** 59-64.
- Ahmad Al-Omari and Mohd. Salmi Md. Noorani (2009).** On Generalized b -closed sets. *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society* (2) **32**(1) 19-30.
- Dhanis Arul Mary A and Arockiarani I (2015).** On Nano gb -Closed sets in Nano Topological spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Archieve* **6** 54-58.
- Dhanis Arul Mary A and Arockiarani I (2015).** On Characterizations of Nano rgb -Closed sets in Nano Topological spaces. *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research* **5** 68-75.
- Indirani K and Sindhu G (2013).** On Regular Generalized star b -closed set. *International Journal of Mathematical Archieve* **4**(10) 85-92.
- Levine N (1970).** Generalized close sets in topology. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo* **19**(2) 89-96.

Review Article

Lellis Thivagar M and Carmel Richard (No Date). Note on Nano topological spaces, Communicated.

Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard (2013). On Nano forms of weakly open sets, topological spaces.
International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention **1**(1) 31-37.