

NATURE OF SEQUENCE $\left\{\frac{n}{n+2}\right\}$

*Manohar Durge

Anand Niketan College, Anandwan, Warora Maharashtra

*Author for Correspondence

ABSTRACT

This is my sincere efforts towards realization of Unchanging Truth. This work is dedicated to my spiritual teacher Sri SriRamakrishana. In the Present work first I proved that Any sequence monotonic and bounded need not be a Convergent sequence. Second I proved that Any sequence S is convergent then its reciprocal $(1 / S)$ need not be a convergent sequence. Third I proved that Any sequence T is divergent though it is a finite monotonic and bounded then its reciprocal $(1 / T)$ be a convergent sequence. Fourth I proved that $\left\{\frac{n}{n+2}\right\}$ is not a Cauchy Sequence, $\left\{\frac{n}{n+2}\right\}$ is not a convergent Sequence. Lastly I proved that reciprocal of every Convergent sequence need not be a Cauchy sequence though it is a finite monotonic and bounded.

Keywords: Cauchy Sequence, Convergent Sequence, Monotone Sequence, Bounded Sequence

INTRODUCTION

Kreyszig in 2007 cotes that 1.4-1 Definition (Convergence of a sequence, limit).

A sequence (x_n) in a metric space $X = (X, d)$ is said converge or to be convergent if there is an $x \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0.$$

X is called the limit of (x_n) and we write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x.$$

Or, simply,

$$X_n \rightarrow x$$

Convergence of sequences and related concepts in normed spaces follow readily from the corresponding definitions 1.4-1 and 1.4-3 for metric spaces and the fact that now

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\|:$$

(i) A sequence (x_n) in s normed space X is convergent if X
 $\lim \|x_n - x\| = 0.$

$$X \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then we write $x_n \rightarrow x$ and call x the limit of (x_n) .

(1) A sequence (x_n) in a normed space X is Cauchy if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N such that $\|x_m - x_n\| < \epsilon$ for all $m, n > N$.

Simmons cotes in 2008 that

We say that $\{x_n\}$ is convergent if there exists a point x in X such that either

(1) for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

$n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$; or equivalently,

(2) for each open sphere $S_\epsilon(x)$ centered on x , there exists a positive integer n_0 such that x_n is in $S_\epsilon(x)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Karade and Bendre () cotes in that

Limit of a sequence

Definition. A real number l is said to be a limit of a real sequence $s = \langle s_n \rangle$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a positive number M depending on ϵ such that

Research Article

$$n > M \Rightarrow |s_n - \int| < \varepsilon \quad (3.1)$$

We write

$$\int = \lim s \text{ or } \int = \lim \langle s_n \rangle \text{ or } \lim s_n = \int \text{ or } s_n \rightarrow \int.$$

$$n \rightarrow \infty$$

Convergent sequence. If the limit of a sequence exists, the sequence is said to be *convergent*. If the sequence has no limit it is *divergent*.

Theorem 17. (Monotone convergence theorem)

A monotone sequence of real numbers is convergent if and only if it is bounded.

Cauchy sequence

A sequence $\langle s_n \rangle$ is called a Cauchy sequence if for any $\varepsilon > 0, \exists a M \in N$ such that

$$|s_m - s_n| < \varepsilon, \forall m, n \geq M. \quad (6.1)$$

Theorem 21. Every convergent sequence of real numbers is a Cauchy sequence.

Walter Rudin cotes in 1976

3.1 Definition A sequence $\{p_n\}$ in a metric space X is said to converge if there is a point $p \in X$ with the following property: For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer N such that $n \geq N$ implies that $d(p_n, p) < \varepsilon$. (Here d denotes the distance in X .)

In this case we also say that $\{p_n\}$ converges to p , or that p is the limit of (p_n) [see Theorem 3.2(b)], and we write $p_n \rightarrow p$, or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = p.$$

if $\{p_n\}$ does not converge, it is said to *diverge*.

Cauchy Sequences

3.8 Definition A sequence $\{p_n\}$ in a metric space x is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer N such $d(p_n, p_m) < \varepsilon$ if $n \geq N$ and $m \geq N$.

In our discussion of Cauchy sequences, as well as in other situations which will arise later, the following geometric concept will be useful.

3.14 Theorem Suppose $\{s_n\}$ is monotonic. Then $\{s_n\}$ is converges if and only if it is bounded.

Hardy cotes in 2010

The meaning of the above equation, expressed roughly, is that by adding more and more of the u 's together we get nearer and nearer to the limit s . More precisely, if any small positive number ∂ is chosen, we can choose $n_0(\partial)$ so that the sum of the first $n_0(\partial)$ terms, or of any greater number of terms, lies between

$s - \partial$ and $s + \partial$; or in symbols

$$s - \partial < s_n < s + \partial,$$

if $n \geq n_0(\partial)$. In these circumstances we shall call the series

$$u_1 + u_2 + \dots$$

A convergent infinite series, and we shall call s the sum of the series, or the sum of all the terms of the series.

Khanna cotes in 1995

2.7 Limit of a Sequence:

Definition. Assume $\langle s_n: n \in N \rangle$ is a sequence of real numbers. Then s_n approaches the limit ' \int ' as n approaches infinity, if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer m such

that $n \geq m \Rightarrow |s_n - \int| < \epsilon$

We observe that $|s_n - \int| < \epsilon$ means

$$\int - \epsilon < s_n < \int + \epsilon$$

or equivalently s_n belongs to the open interval $[\int - \epsilon, \int + \epsilon]$ containing ' \int '.

If s_n approaches the limit ' \int ', we write

Research Article

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \int \\ \text{or } n \rightarrow \infty &\Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow \int \end{aligned}$$

2.11. Divergent Sequences:

Definition. Assume $\langle s_n \rangle$ is a sequence of real numbers.

Then $\langle s_n \rangle$ is said to diverge to ∞ or is said to be divergent to ∞ if for a real number $r > 0$ there exists a positive integer $m(\epsilon)$ such that

$$n \geq m \Rightarrow s_n > r$$

In this case, we write $n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow \infty$

(i1) A sequence $\langle s_n \rangle$ is said to diverge to $-\infty$ if for a real number $r < 0$, there exists a positive integer $m(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$n \geq m \Rightarrow s_n < r$$

We then write $n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow -\infty$.

Theorem 11. (Monotone Convergence Theorem).

A monotone sequence which is bounded is convergent.

Equivalently, a necessary and sufficient condition for convergence of a monotone sequence is that it is bounded (Bihar 1980).

2.21 Cauchy (Fundamental Sequence).

Definition. Assume $\langle s_n \rangle$; $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sequence of real numbers. Then $\langle s_n \rangle$ is called a Cauchy sequence if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer p such that

$$m, n \geq p \Rightarrow |s_m - s_n| < \epsilon.$$

Roughly, $\langle s_n \rangle$ is Cauchy if s_m and s_n are close together when m and n are large.

DISCUSSION

(A) $\left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is not a Cauchy Sequence

$$\text{Let } S_n = \frac{n}{n+2}, S_m = \frac{m}{m+2}$$

$$S_m - S_n = \frac{m}{m+2} - \frac{n}{n+2}$$

$$= \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+2)(n+2)}$$

$$|S_m - S_n| = \left| \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+2)(n+2)} \right| = \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+2)(n+2)}$$

$$\text{Let } |S_m - S_n| < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+2)(n+2)} \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+2)(n+2)} < \epsilon$$

$$\text{Assume } m = M+2$$

$$n = M+1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{(M+4)(M+3)} < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(M+4)(M+3)}{2} > 1/\epsilon$$

Research Article

$$\Rightarrow (M+4)(M+3) > 2/\epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow M^2 + 7M + (12 - 2/\epsilon) > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow M = \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{49 - 4.2(6 - \frac{1}{\epsilon})}}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow M = \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{49 - 8(6 - \frac{1}{\epsilon})}}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow M = \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{\epsilon}}}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow M = \frac{-7 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{\epsilon}}}{2} \text{ OR } \frac{-7 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{\epsilon}}}{2}$$

From all the references I has a right to assume $M = +ve \text{ integer} = +1$

$$\text{i.e. } \sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{\epsilon}} \geq 9$$

$$\text{i.e. } 1 + \frac{8}{\epsilon} \geq 81$$

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{8}{\epsilon} \geq 81 - 1$$

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{8}{\epsilon} \geq 80$$

$$\text{i.e. } \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{10}$$

$$M = \left\{ \frac{-7 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{\epsilon}}}{2} \right\}$$

$$8/\epsilon = 48 \rightarrow M = 0 \rightarrow \epsilon = 1/6$$

$$8/\epsilon = 35 \rightarrow M = -1/2 \rightarrow \epsilon = 8/35$$

$$8/\epsilon = 24 \rightarrow M = -1 \rightarrow \epsilon = 1/3$$

$$8/\epsilon = 15 \rightarrow M = -3/2 \rightarrow \epsilon = 8/15$$

$$8/\epsilon = 8 \rightarrow M = -2 \rightarrow \epsilon = 1$$

$$8/\epsilon = 3 \rightarrow M = -5/2 \rightarrow \epsilon = 8/3$$

$$8/\epsilon = 0 \rightarrow M = -3 \rightarrow \epsilon = 8/0 = \infty$$

\Rightarrow for ϵ (echelon) belongs to $(1/6, \infty)$, +ve integer M doesnot exist.

\Rightarrow Definition fails

Hence $\left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is not a Canchy Sequence.

(B) $\left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is not a Convergent Sequence

$$\text{Let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{n+2} \right) = 1$$

\therefore By definition, For $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a +ve integer M Such that $|S_n - 1| < \epsilon, \forall n > M$

Research Article

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{n}{n+2} - 1 \right| < \epsilon, \forall n > M$$

$$1 - \epsilon < \frac{n}{n+2} < 1 + \epsilon$$

$$\text{Case (01)} \quad 1 - \epsilon < \frac{n}{n+2}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{n}{n+2} > 1 - \epsilon$$

$$\text{i.e. } 1 + \frac{2}{n} < \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{2}{n} < \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} - 1$$

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{2}{n} < \left\{ \frac{1-(1-\epsilon)}{1-\epsilon} \right\} = \frac{\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{n}{2} > \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}$$

$$n > \frac{2(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon}$$

If ϵ is in between 1 to ∞ then $\frac{2(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon}$ is negative.

Hence $\epsilon \in (1, \infty) \rightarrow n < -ve$ i.e. +ve integer M not exist----(1)

$$\text{Case (02)} \quad \frac{n}{n+2} < 1 + \epsilon$$

$$\frac{n+2}{n} > \frac{1}{1+\epsilon}$$

$$1 + \frac{2}{n} > \frac{1}{1+\epsilon}$$

$$\frac{2}{n} > \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} - 1$$

$$\frac{2}{n} > \frac{-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$$

$$\frac{n}{2} < -\frac{(1+\epsilon)}{\epsilon}$$

For all $\epsilon > 0, n < 0$.

Hence No +ve integer M exists ----- (2)

From (1), & (2),

We get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{n+2} \right) \neq 1$

$\Rightarrow \left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is not a Convergent.

(C) $\left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is monotonic

Research Article

$$\text{Let } S_n = \frac{n}{n+2}, S_{n+1} = \frac{n+1}{n+3}$$

$$S_{n+1} - S_n = \frac{n+1}{n+3} - \frac{n}{n+2} = \frac{2}{(n+3)(n+2)} \geq 0, \forall n \in N$$

$\left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is monotonic

(D) $\left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is Bounded

$$\text{Here } \left| \frac{n}{n+2} \right| \leq 1, \forall n \in N$$

$$|S_n| \leq 1, \forall n \in N;$$

Hence $\left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is bounded.

(E) $\left\{ \frac{n+2}{n} \right\}$ Is Convergent.

By definitions accepted in introduction, For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a +ve integer M Such that $|S_n - 1| < \epsilon$, $\forall n > M$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{n+2}{n} - 1 \right| < \epsilon, \forall n > M$$

$$1 - \epsilon < \frac{n+2}{n} < 1 + \epsilon, \text{ that is } n \text{ is greater than } (2 / \epsilon) = M$$

Hence M is exist, this gives $\left\{ \frac{n+2}{n} \right\}$ Is Convergent.

But $\left\{ 1 / \left(\frac{n+2}{n} \right) \right\} = \left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is divergent.

Hence Reciprocal of Convergent sequence is divergent though it is finite, monotonic and bounded

Conclusion

From the discussion (A), (B), (C), (D), (E) and method of Counter example in mathematical analysis I come to the following conclusions

first I proved that Any sequence monotonic and bounded need not be a Convergent sequence.

Second I proved that if any sequence S is convergent then its reciprocal (1 / S) need not be a convergent sequence.

Third I proved that if any sequence T is divergent every terms are finite monotonic and bounded then its reciprocal (1 / T) be a convergent sequence.

Fourth I proved that $\left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is not a Cauchy Sequence, $\left\{ \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$ is not a convergent Sequence.

Lastly I proved that reciprocal of every Convergent sequence need not be a Cauchy sequence though it is a finite monotonic and bounded.

REFERENCES

Hardy GH (2010). *A Course of Pure Mathematics* (Cambridge University Press) 146. ISBN -13 – 978-0-521-17014-7.

Karade TM & Bendre Maya S (No Date). *Differential Equation and Analysis* (SONU NILU) 91, 118, 124. ISBN 978-81-88763-34-4

Research Article

Khanna ML & Varshney LS (1995). *Real Analysis* (Jai Prakash Nath & Co. Meerut 250002 India) 23,33,39, 60.

Kreyszig (2007). *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications* (Wiley Student Edition) 25, 67. ISBN: 978-81-265-1191-4.

Rudin Walter (1976). *Principles of Mathematical Analysis* 3rd edition (McGraw-Hill International Editions) 47, 52, 55. ISBN 0-07- 054234 – X.

Simmons GF (2008). *Introduction to Topology and Modern Analysis* (Tata McGraw-Hill Edition) 8th Reprint 70-71. ISBN – 13: 978-0-07-059784-6, ISBN – 10: 0-07-07-059784-7.