

Research Article

NATURE OF GENERALIZED ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE $A = \{a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2 / a_i \in F \& G_i \in C(P) = \text{class of algebraic structure}\}$

*Manohar Durge

ANC, Anandwan Warora

*Author for Correspondence

ABSTRACT

This is my sincere efforts towards realization of Unchanging Truth. This work is dedicated to my spiritual teacher Sri Sri Ramakrishana. In the Present work first I proved that $(A, +, \cdot)$ is a non abelian ring. Second I proved $(A, +, *)$ is a commutative ring with unity. Third I proved $(A, +, @)$ is a commutative ring with unity, where $A = \{a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2 / a_i \in F \& G_i \in C(P)\}$ and $C(P) = \text{Class of algebraic Structure}$, where $A = \{a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2 + a_3G_3 / a_i \in F \& G_i \in C(P)\}$, and $C(P) = \text{Class of algebraic Structure}$.

Keywords: Binary Operation, Abelian Group, Ring, Field, Class of Algebraic Structure

INTRODUCTION

Herstein cotes in 1992

Definition: A nonempty set of elements G is said to form a group if in G there is defined a binary operation, called the product and defined by $*$, such that

1 $a, b \in G$ implies that $a*b \in G$

2 $a, b, c \in G$ implies that $(a*b)*c = a*(b*c)$

3 There exist an element $e \in G$ such that $a*e = e*a = a$ for all $a \in G$

4 For every $a \in G$ there exist an element $a^{-1} \in G$ such that $a*a^{-1} = a^{-1}*a = e$

Definition: A group G is said to be abelian (or Commutative) if for every $a, b \in G$,

$$a*b = b*a.$$

Definition: A nonempty set R is said to be an associative ring if in R there are defined two operations, defined by $+$ and $*$ respectively, such that for all a, b, c in R :

1 $a+b$ is in R .

2 $a+b = b+a$.

3 $(a+b)+c = a+(b+c)$.

4 There is an element 0 in R such that $a+0 = a, \forall a \in R$

5 There exist an element $-a$ in R such that $a + (-a) = 0$.

6 $a*b$ is in R

7 $a*(b*c) = (a*b)*c$.

8 $a*(b+c) = a*b + a*c$ and $(b+c)*a = b*a + c*a$.

It may very well happen, or not happen, that there is an element 1 in R such that $a*1 = 1*a = a$ for every a in R ; if there is such we shall describe R as a ring with unit element.

If the multiplication of R is such that $a*b = b*a$ for every a, b in R , then we call R a commutative ring.

DISCUSSION

Let $A = \{a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2 / a_i \in F \& G_i \in C(P) = \text{class of algebraic structure}\}$

And $x = a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2; a_i \in F$

$y = b_0G_0 + b_1G_1 + b_2G_2; b_i \in F$

$z = c_0G_0 + c_1G_1 + c_2G_2; c_i \in F$

$G_0 = 1G_0 + 0G_1 + 0G_2, 1 \in F$

$0 = 0G_0 + 0G_1 + 0G_2, 0 \in F$

Research Article

$$\begin{aligned} -x &= (-a_0)G_0 + (-a_1)G_1 + (-a_2)G_2 \\ x &= (ca_0)G_0 + (ca_1)G_1 + (ca_2)G_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$cx = (ca_0)G_0 + (ca_1)G_1 + (ca_2)G_2, c \in F$$

Here first binary operation + on A defined as

$$x + y = (a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2) + (b_0G_0 + b_1G_1 + b_2G_2)$$

$$= (a_0 + b_0)G_0 + (a_1 + b_1)G_1 + (a_2 + b_2)G_2 \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

$$=> x + y = y + x, \forall x, y, \in A$$

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z, \forall x, y, z \in A$$

$$0 + x = x + 0 = x, \forall x \in A$$

$$x + (-x) = (-x) + x = 0, \forall x \in A$$

$\Rightarrow (A, +)$ is an abelian group.

$\dots\dots\dots(2)$

Case 1:

Second binary operation on A defined as

$$x.y = (a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2).(b_0G_0 + b_1G_1 + b_2G_2)$$

$$= (a_0 + a_1 + a_2)y \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

$$x.y \neq y.x, \forall x, y, z \in A$$

$$x.(y.z) = (x.y).z, \forall x, y, z \in A$$

Hence $(A, .)$ is a non-abelian semi group. $\dots\dots\dots(4)$

Also

$$x.(y + z) = x.y + x.z, \forall x, y, z \in A$$

$$\&(x + y).z = x.z + y.z, \forall x, y, z \in A \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

From (1), (2), (3), (4) & (5) one obtains

$(A, +, .)$ is a non abelian ring.

Case 2:

Second binary operation * on A defined as

$$x * y = (a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2) * (b_0G_0 + b_1G_1 + b_2G_2)$$

$$= (a_0b_0 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)G_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0 + a_2b_2)G_1 + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)G_2$$

$$\dots\dots\dots(6)$$

$$=> x * y = y * x, \forall x, y \in A$$

$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z, \forall x, y, z \in A$$

$$G_0 * x = x * G_0 = G_0, \forall x, y \in A$$

Let $x^{-1} = b_0G_0 + b_1G_1 + b_2G_2$ be the inverse of $x = a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2$

$$\Rightarrow x * x^{-1} = x^{-1} * x = G_0 = 1G_0 + 0G_1 + 0G_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0b_0 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)G_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0 + a_2b_2)G_1 \\ + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)G_2 = 1G_0 + 0G_1 + 0G_2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$a_0b_0 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 = 1 \dots\dots\dots(7)$$

$$a_0b_1 + a_1b_0 + a_2b_2 = 0 \dots\dots\dots(8)$$

$$a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0 = 0 \dots\dots\dots(9)$$

Rewriting equation (8) & (9)

$$a_1b_0 + a_0b_1 + a_2b_2 = 0 \dots\dots\dots(10)$$

$$a_2b_0 + a_1b_1 + a_0b_2 = 0 \dots\dots\dots(11)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{b_0}{\begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_2 \\ a_1 & a_0 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{-b_1}{\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_0 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{b_2}{\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_0 \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{vmatrix}} = \text{constant} = k$$

Research Article

$$\Rightarrow b_0 = k(a_0^2 - a_1a_2) \dots\dots\dots (12)$$

$$b_1 = -k(a_1a_0 - a_2^2) \dots\dots\dots (13)$$

$$b_2 = k(a_1^2 - a_0a_2) \dots\dots\dots (14)$$

Hence

$$k = 1/\{a_0^3 + a_1^3 + a_2^3 - 3a_0a_1a_2\} = \infty \text{ if } a_0 + a_1 + a_2 = 0$$

$\Rightarrow x^{-1}$ does not exist for each of the element in A.

$\Rightarrow (A, *)$ is a commutative monoid.

$\Rightarrow (A, +, *)$ is a commutative ring with unity. (15)

Case: 3

Second binary operation @ on A defined as

$$\begin{aligned} x@y &= (a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2)@(b_0G_0 + b_1G_1 + b_2G_2) \\ &= (a_0b_0)G_0 + [a_1b_0 + (a_1 + a_2)b_1 + a_1b_2]G_1 \\ &\quad + [a_2b_0 + a_1b_1 + (a_0 + a_2)b_2]G_2 \dots\dots\dots (16) \end{aligned}$$

$$x@y = y@x, \forall x, y \in A$$

$$x@(y@z) = (x@y)@z \forall x, y, z \in A$$

$$x@G_0 = G_0@x = x, \forall x \in A$$

Let $x^{-1} = b_0G_0 + b_1G_1 + b_2G_2$ be the inverse of

$$x = a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2 \text{ in } A.$$

\therefore By definition

$$x@x^{-1} = x^{-1}@x = G_0 = 1G_0 + 0G_1 + 0G_2$$

$$a_0 \cdot b_0 = 1 \dots\dots\dots (17)$$

$$a_0b_0 + (a_1 + a_2)b_1 + a_1b_2 = 0 \dots\dots\dots (18)$$

$$a_2b_0 + a_1b_1 + (a_0 + a_2)b_2 = 0 \dots\dots\dots (19)$$

Solving eqⁿ (18) & (19) for b_0, b_1 & b_2 one obtains

$$\frac{b_0}{\begin{vmatrix} (a_1 + a_2) & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 + a_2 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{-b_1}{\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_1 \\ a_2 & (a_0 + a_2) \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{b_2}{\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & (a_1 + a_2) \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{vmatrix}} = k$$

$$b_0 = k\{(a_1 + a_2)(a_0 + a_2) - a_1^2\} \dots\dots\dots (20)$$

$$b_1 = -ka_0a_1 \dots\dots\dots (21)$$

$$b_2 = k\{a_1^2 - a_2^2 - a_1a_2\} \dots\dots\dots (22)$$

$$k = 1/a_0\{a_0a_1 + a_1a_2 + a_0a_2 + a_2^2 - a_1^2\} \dots\dots\dots (23)$$

Substituting the values of k from (23) in equation (21) & (22) one obtains

$$b_1 = -a_1/\{a_0a_1 + a_1a_2 + a_0a_2 + a_2^2 - a_1^2\} \dots\dots\dots (24)$$

$$b_2 = \{a_1^2 - a_2^2 - a_1a_2\}/a_0\{a_0a_1 + a_1a_2 + a_0a_2 + a_2^2 - a_1^2\}$$

..... (25)

Substituting the values of b_0, b_1 & b_2 in LHS of equation (18) & (19) then LHS of equation (18) & (19) does not reduce to zero.

Hence x^{-1} not exist for each $x = a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2$ in A.

$\Rightarrow (A, @)$ is a commutative monoid.

Also

$$x@(y + z) = x@y + x@z \forall x, y, z \in A$$

Research Article

$(x + y)@z = x@z + y@z \forall x, y, z \in A$
 $\Rightarrow (A, +, @)$ is a commutative ring with unity.

Conclusion

From the above discussion, I come to the following conclusions first I proved that $(A, +, .)$ is a non abelian ring. Second I proved $(A, +, *)$ is a commutative ring with unity. Third I proved $(A, +, @)$ is a commutative ring with unity. Where $A = \{a_0G_0 + a_1G_1 + a_2G_2 / a_i \in F \text{ \& } G_i \in C(P)\}$ and $C(P) = \text{Class of algebraic Structure}$.

REFERENCES

Herstein IN (1992). *Topics in Algebra* 2nd edition (Wiley Eastern Limited) 26-256. ISBN: 0 85226 354 6.