

**Research Article**

## **DETERMINATION OF OPTIMAL ORDER QUANTITY OF INTEGRATED AN INVENTORY MODEL USING YAGER RANKING METHOD**

**\*W. Ritha<sup>1</sup> and Sr. A. Sagayarani SSA<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Holy Cross College, Tiruchirapalli, India*

<sup>2</sup>*Sacred Heart Girls High School, Bangalore, India*

*\*Author for Correspondence*

### **ABSTRACT**

The integrated vendor – buyer production inventory models are gaining much significance in recent times. Several methods such as classical differential calculus, cost difference rate comparison approach are employed to determine the optimal lot size with the assumption that the demand and the costs involved are deterministic in nature, which is not possible in this world of uncertainty. This leads to the transformation of deterministic parameters to fuzzy parameters. In this paper the demand and the associated costs are taken as fuzzy variables. To determine the optimal inventory policies the Yager's ranking methods for fuzzy numbers is utilized. A set of numerical data is employed to analyse the characteristics of the proposed model.

**Key Words:** *Inventory, Integrated Vendor Buyer, Fuzzy Number, Yager Ranking Method*

### **INTRODUCTION**

In the past decades the inventory models were devised for vendor and the buyer separately, but during the last few years the concept of integrated vendor buyer inventory model has earned favour of the top management of the business concern as it minimize the total costs. As co-operation, the main backbone of the successful functioning of the production sector, the phenomena of integrated vendor-buyer inventory model has great welcome among the enterprise manager. The researchers who have wide range of interest in integrated models to determine the optimal order quantity. A brief literature review of their contributions is given by Chun-Jen Chung. To mention a few Yang *et al.*, (2007); Minner (2007) and Teng (2009). To these integrated models the concept of backordering is merged to have better cost control of the inventory system. One common point that has to be noted in all the earlier models is that the nature of demand and the cost are deterministic which is quite impossible at all times. The reasons for the cause of such situations are inflation, sudden rise and fall in the economy of the mighty nations and so on. The effects are, the fluctuations of demand and costs which pave way for fuzzy parameters.

In accordance to it integrated inventory problems are addressed under fuzzy environment to determine the optimal order quantity. For instance Park (1987) discusses the EOQ model with fuzzy cost coefficients. Ishii and Kunno (1998), Petrovic *et al.*, (1996) and Kao and Hsu (2002) investigate the newsboy inventory model with fuzzy cost coefficients and demands respectively. Roy and Maiti (1997), Chang (2009) construct a fuzzy EOQ model with fuzzy defective rate and fuzzy demand. Most of the paper directly supposes the model parameters as a triangular fuzzy number and then finds their optimal solutions. Yao and Chiang (2003); Lee and Yao (1998) and Chang and Yao (1998) develop the EOQ model with fuzzy ordering quantities. In this paper a fuzzy integrated vendor buyer production model is discussed.

The structure of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the preliminaries are given. In Section 3, the total inventory cost of the integrated problem under deterministic nature is discussed. In Section 4, fuzzy optimal ordering quantity is determined using Yager's ranking (1981) method. Finally the characteristics of proposed models will be illustrated and some conclusions will be made.

**Research Article**

**PRELIMINARIES**

**Definition: Fuzzy Set**

A fuzzy set  $\tilde{A}$  is defined by  $\tilde{A} = \{(x, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)) : x \in X, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \in [0, 1]\}$ . In the pair  $\{(x, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x))\}$ , the first element  $x$  belong to the classical set  $A$ , the second element  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ , belong to the interval  $[0, 1]$ , called membership function or grade of membership. The membership function is also a degree of compatibility or a degree of truth of  $x$  in  $\tilde{A}$ .

**$\alpha$  - Cut**

The set of elements that belong to the fuzzy set  $\tilde{A}$  at least to the degree  $\alpha$  is called the  $\alpha$  level set or  $\alpha$  - cut.  $A(\alpha) = \{x \in X : \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \geq \alpha\}$

**Generalized Fuzzy Number**

Any fuzzy subset of the real line  $R$ , whose membership function satisfies the following conditions, is a generalized fuzzy number

- (i)  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$  is a continuous mapping from  $R$  to the closed interval  $[0, 1]$ .
- (ii)  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = 0, -\infty < x \leq a_1,$
- (iii)  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = L(x)$  is strictly increasing on  $[a_1, a_2],$
- (iv)  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = 1, a_2 \leq x \leq a_3,$
- (v)  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = R(x)$  is strictly decreasing on  $[a_3, a_4],$
- (vi)  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = 0, a_4 \leq x < \infty,$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  and  $a_4$  are real numbers.

**Triangular Fuzzy Number**

The fuzzy set  $\tilde{A} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$  where  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$  and defined on  $R$ , is called the triangular fuzzy number, if the membership function of  $\tilde{A}$  is given by (Q, r) Inventory Model with Fuzzy Lead Time

$$\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - a_1}{a_2 - a_1}, & a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\ \frac{a_3 - x}{a_3 - a_2}, & a_2 \leq x \leq a_3 \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Yagers' Ranking Method**

If the  $\alpha$  cut of any fuzzy number  $\tilde{A}$  is  $[A_L(\alpha), A_g(\alpha)]$  then its ranking index  $I(\tilde{A})$  is

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [A_L(\alpha) + A_g(\alpha)] d\alpha.$$

**THE DETERMINISTIC INTEGRATED VENDOR BUYER INVENTORY MODEL**

The assumptions and the notations of the model are as follows.

**Assumptions**

The algebraic model for the integrated two-stage vendor-buyer inventory model is developed on the basis of the following assumptions.

**Research Article**

- (a) Both the production and demand rates are constant and the production rate is greater than the demand rate.
- (b) The integrated system of single-vendor and single-buyer is considered.
- (c) The vendor and the buyer have complete knowledge of each other's information.
- (d) The buyer's shortage is allowed.

**Notations**

Q = Buyer's lot size per delivery.

n = Number of deliveries from the vendor to the buyer per vendor's replenishment interval.

nQ = Vendor's lot size per delivery.

S = Vendor's setup cost per setup.

A = Buyer's ordering cost per order.

C<sub>v</sub> = Vendor's unit production cost.

C<sub>b</sub> = Unit purchase cost paid by the buyer.

π<sub>b</sub> = Unit backordering cost of the buyer.

r = Annual inventory carrying cost per dollar invested in stocks.

P = Production rate per year, where P > D.

D = Demand rate per year.

K = The backordering ratio.

(1 - K) = The non-backordering ratio.

TC(Q) = The average integrated total cost of the vendor-buyer inventory model considering backordering.

The total integrated cost is

TC(Q) = {the vendor's setup cost + carrying cost} + {the buyer's ordering cost + carrying cost} + {the buyer's backordering cost}

The total integrated cost is

TC(Q) = {the vendor's setup cost + carrying cost} + {the buyer's ordering cost + carrying cost} + {the buyer's backordering cost}

$$= \left\{ \frac{DS}{nQ} + \frac{rQC_v}{2} \left[ (n-1) \left( 1 - \frac{D}{P} \right) + \frac{P}{P} \right] \right\} + \left\{ \frac{DA}{Q} + \frac{r(1-F)^2QC_B}{2} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{F^2Q\pi_b}{2} \right\}$$

$$\frac{\partial TC(Q)}{\partial Q} = \frac{-DS}{nQ^2} + \frac{rC_v}{2} \left[ (n-1) \left( 1 - \frac{D}{P} \right) + \frac{D}{P} \right] - \frac{DA}{Q^2} + \frac{r(1-F)^2QC_B}{2} + \frac{F^2Q\pi_b}{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial TC(Q)}{\partial Q} = 0$$

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{2D(S + nA)}{n \left[ rCr \left\{ (n-1) \left( 1 - \frac{D}{P} \right) + \frac{D}{P} \right\} + r(1-F)^2C_B + F^2\pi_b \right]}}$$

(or) TC(Q) can be written as

$$TC(Q) = \frac{DS}{nQ} + \frac{(n-1)rQC_v}{2} + \frac{D(2-n)rQC_v}{2}$$

**Research Article**

$$+ \frac{DA}{Q} + \frac{r(1 - F)^2 QC_b}{2} + \frac{F^2 Q \pi_b}{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial TC(Q)}{\partial Q} = \frac{1}{Q^2} \left[ \frac{DS}{nQ} + DA \right] + \frac{1}{2P} \left[ D(2 - n)rC_v + P[(n-1)rC_v + r(1 - F)^2 C_b + F^2 \pi_b] \right]$$

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{2PD(S + nA)}{D(2 - n)rC_v + P((n - 1)rC_v + r(1 - F)^2 C_b + F^2 \pi_b)}}$$

**FUZZY INTEGRATED VENDOR-BUYER INVENTORY MODEL**

Let  $\tilde{D}$ ,  $\tilde{S}$ ,  $\tilde{C}_v$ ,  $\tilde{C}_b$ ,  $\tilde{\pi}_b$ ,  $\tilde{A}$  be fuzzy trapezoidal numbers and they are defined as follows. [ie. they are described by the  $\alpha$ -cuts]

$$D(\alpha_D) = [L_D^{-1}(\alpha_D), R_D^{-1}(\alpha_D)]$$

$$S(\alpha_S) = [L_S^{-1}(\alpha_S), R_S^{-1}(\alpha_S)]$$

$$C_v(\alpha_{C_v}) = [LC_v^{-1}(\alpha_{C_v}), RC_v^{-1}(\alpha_{C_v})]$$

$$C_b(\alpha_{C_b}) = [L\pi_b^{-1}(\alpha_{\pi_b}), R\pi_b^{-1}(\alpha_{\pi_b})]$$

$$A(\alpha_A) = [L_A^{-1}(\alpha_A), R_A^{-1}(\alpha_A)]$$

$$r(\alpha_r) = [L_r^{-1}(\alpha_r), R_r^{-1}(\alpha_r)]$$

TC(Q) can be rewritten as

$$TC(Q) = \frac{DS}{nQ} + (n - 1) \left[ \frac{rQC_v}{2} \right] + \frac{D}{P} (2 - n) \frac{rQC_v}{2}$$

$$+ \frac{DA}{Q} + \frac{r(1 - F)^2 QC_b}{2} + \frac{F^2 Q \pi_b}{2}$$

$$K_1(\alpha_D, \alpha_D) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \int_0^1 L_D^{-1}(\alpha_D) dL_D \cdot \int_0^1 L_S^{-1}(\alpha_S) d\alpha_S + \int_0^1 L_S^{-1}(\alpha_S) d\alpha_S \cdot \int_0^1 R_S^{-1}(\alpha_S) d\alpha_S \right\}$$

$$K_2(\alpha_v, \alpha_v) = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 LC_v^{-1}(\alpha_{C_v}) + RC_v^{-1}(\alpha_{C_v}) d\alpha_r \cdot \int_0^1 L_r^{-1}(\alpha_r) d\alpha_r \cdot \int_0^1 R_r^{-1}(\alpha_r) d\alpha_r$$

$$K_3(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_{C_v}) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \int_0^1 L_D^{-1}(\alpha_D) dL_D \cdot \int_0^1 LC_r^{-1}(\alpha_{C_r}) d\alpha_r + \int_0^1 R_D^{-1}(\alpha_D) d\alpha_D \cdot \int_0^1 RC_v^{-1}(\alpha_{C_v}) d\alpha_{C_v} \right\}$$

$$K_4(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_A) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \int_0^1 L_D^{-1}(\alpha_D) dL_D \cdot \int_0^1 L_A^{-1}(\alpha_A) d\alpha_A + \int_0^1 R_D^{-1}(\alpha_D) d\alpha_D \cdot \int_0^1 R_A^{-1}(\alpha_A) d\alpha_A \right\}$$

**Research Article**

$$K_5(\alpha_r, \alpha C_b) = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 [LC_b^{-1}(\alpha C_b) + RC_b^{-1}(\alpha C_b)] d\alpha C_b \cdot \int_0^1 L_r^{-1}(\alpha_r) d\alpha_r \cdot \int_0^1 R_r^{-1}(\alpha_r) d\alpha_r$$

$$K_6(\alpha\pi_b) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [L\pi_b^{-1}(\alpha\pi_b) + R\pi_b^{-1}(\alpha\pi_b)] d\alpha\pi$$

$$TC(Q) = \frac{K_1(\alpha_D, \alpha_S)}{nQ} + \frac{(n-1)rC_r K_2(\alpha C_r)}{2} + \frac{rQ(2-n)K_3(\alpha_D, \alpha C_v)}{2P} \\ + \frac{K_4(\alpha_D, \alpha_A)}{Cr} + \frac{r(1-F)^2 Q K_5(\alpha C_b)}{2} + \frac{F^2 K_6(\alpha\pi_b) C_r}{2}$$

To determine the optimal order quantity

$$\frac{\partial TC(Q)}{\partial Q} = \frac{-[K_1(\alpha_D, \alpha_S) + K_4(\alpha_D, \alpha_A)]}{Q^2} + \frac{(n-1)rK_2(\alpha C_r)}{2} \\ + \frac{r(2-n)K_3(\alpha_D, \alpha C_v)}{2P} + \frac{r(1-F)^2 K_5(\alpha C_b)}{2} + \frac{K_6(\alpha\pi_b) F^2}{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial TC(Q)}{\partial Q} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Q = \sqrt{\frac{2P[K_1(\alpha_D, \alpha_S) + K_4(\alpha_D, \alpha_A)]}{P((n-1)K_2(\alpha(r) + r(2-n)K_3(\alpha_D, \alpha(v))) + P[r(1-F)^2 K_5(\alpha C_h) + K_5(\alpha\pi_b) F^2]}}$$

**NUMERICAL EXAMPLE**

To validate the proposed model consider the data

|                |   |                      |   |   |                |
|----------------|---|----------------------|---|---|----------------|
| D              | = | (560, 580, 620, 640) | r | = | (8, 9, 11, 12) |
| S              | = | (80, 90, 110, 120)   | n | = | .1             |
| C <sub>v</sub> | = | (3, 4, 6, 7)         | F | = | 10%            |
| C <sub>b</sub> | = | (2, 3, 5, 6)         |   |   |                |
| π <sub>b</sub> | = | (2, 3, 5, 6)         |   |   |                |
| A              | = | (90, 100, 120, 130)  |   |   |                |
| P              | = | 700                  |   |   |                |

|  |   |                        |   |       |
|--|---|------------------------|---|-------|
| D(α <sub>D</sub> )                           | = | (560 + 20α, 640 - 20α) |   |       |
| S(α <sub>S</sub> )                           | = | (80 + 10α, 120 - 10α)  |   |       |
| C <sub>v</sub> (α <sub>C<sub>v</sub></sub> ) | = | (3 + α, 7 - α)         |   |       |
| C <sub>b</sub> (α <sub>C<sub>b</sub></sub> ) | = | (2 + α, 6 - α)         |   |       |
| π <sub>b</sub> (α <sub>π<sub>b</sub></sub> ) | = | (2 + α, 6 - α)         |   |       |
| A(α <sub>A</sub> )                           | = | (90 + 10α, 130 - 10α)  |   |       |
| P(α <sub>r</sub> )                           | = | (8 + α, 12 - α)        | P | = 700 |

**Research Article**

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_1(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_s) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \int_0^1 560 + 20\alpha \, d\alpha \cdot \int_0^1 80 + 10\alpha \, d\alpha + \int_0^1 640 - 20\alpha \, d\alpha \cdot \int_0^1 120 - 10\alpha \, d\alpha \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left[ 560\alpha + \frac{20\alpha^2}{2} \right]_0^1 \cdot \left[ 80\alpha + \frac{10\alpha^2}{2} \right]_0^1 + \left[ 640\alpha - \frac{20\alpha^2}{2} \right]_0^1 \cdot \left[ 120\alpha - \frac{10\alpha^2}{2} \right]_0^1 \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \{ (560 + 10) \cdot (80 + 5) + (640 - 10) \cdot (120 - 5) \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \{ (570.85) + (630)(115) \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} [48450 + 72450] \\
 &K_1(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_s) = 30225
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_2(\alpha_r, \alpha_{C_v}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \int_0^1 (8 + \alpha) \, d\alpha \cdot \int_0^1 (3 + \alpha) \, d\alpha + \int_0^1 (12 - \alpha) \, d\alpha \cdot \int_0^1 (7 - \alpha) \, d\alpha \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left( 8 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \cdot \left( 3 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \left( 8 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( 7 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \{ (8.5) \cdot (3.5) + (11.5) \cdot (6.5) \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} [29.75 + 74.75] \\
 &= 26.125
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_3(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_r, \alpha_{C_v}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{8} \left[ \left( 560 + \frac{20}{2} \right) \cdot \left( 3 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \left( 640 - \frac{20}{2} \right) \left( 8 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( 7 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{8} [(570)(3.5) + (630)(6.5)] \\
 &= 8006.25
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_4(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_A) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} [(560 + 10)(90 + 5) + (640 - 10)(13 - 5)]
 \end{aligned}$$

### Research Article

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{4}[(570)(95) + (630)(125)] \\ &= 33225 \end{aligned}$$

$$K_5(\alpha_r, \alpha_{c_5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{4}[(8 + 0.5)(2 + 0.5) + (12 - 0.5)(6 - 0.5)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[(8.5)(2.5) + (11.5)(5.5)] \\ &= 21.125 \end{aligned}$$

$$K_6(\alpha_{rb})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2}[(2 + 0.5) + (6 - 0.5)] \\ &= \frac{2.5 + 5.5}{2} = 4 \end{aligned}$$

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 700[30225 + 33225]}{8006.25 + 700 [(0.81)(21.125) + 0.01 \times 4]}}$$

$$Q = 66.18$$

### CONCLUSION

The purpose of this paper is to study the integrated models under fuzzy environment. This fuzzy model assists in determining the optimal order quantity amidst the existing fluctuations. This model benefits the enterprise manager in decision making. In this paper the yager ranking method is employed as this does not require the explicit form of the membership function.

### REFERENCES

- Ben-Daya M and Raouf A (1994).** Inventory models involving lead time as a decision variable. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **45**(5) 579-582.
- Chang HC (2004).** An application of fuzzy theory to the EOQ model imperfect quality items. *Computers and Operations Research* **31** 2079-2092.
- Chang, SC and Yao JS (1998).** Economic reorder point for fuzzy backorder quantity. *European Journal of Operational Research* **109** 183-202.
- Hayya JC, Bagchi U, Kim JG and Sun D (2008).** On Static Stochastic Order Crossover. *International Journal of Production Economics* **114**(11) 404-413.
- ISHii H and Konno T (1998).** A Stochastic inventory problem with shortage cost" *European Journal of Operational Research* **106** 90-94.
- Jack C Hayya, Terry P Harrison and James X He (2011).** The impact of stochastic lead time reduction on inventory cost under cross over. *European Journal of Operational Research* **211** 274-281.
- Kao C and Hsu WK (2002).** A single-period inventory model with fuzzy demand. *Computer and Mathematic with Application* **43** 841-898.

**Research Article**

**Lan SP, Chu P, Chung KJ, Wan WJ and Lo R (1999).** A simple method to locate the optimal solution of the inventory model with variable lead time. *Computers and Operations Research* **26** 599-605.

**Lee HM and Yao JS (1998).** Economic Production Quantity for fuzzy demand and fuzzy production quantity. *European Journal of Operational* **109** 203-211.

**Minner S (2007).** A note on how to compute economic order quantities without derivatives by cost comparisons. *International Journal of Production Economics* **105**(1) 293-296.

**Park KS (1987).** Fuzzy set theoretic interpretation of economic order quantity. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics* 1082-1084.

**Petrovic D, Petrovic R and Vujosevic M (1996).** Fuzzy model for the newsboy problem. *International Journal of Production Economics* **45** 435-441.

**Roy TK and Maiti M (1997).** A fuzzy EOQ model with demand dependent unit cost under limited storage capacity. *European Journal of Operational Research* **99** 425-432.

**Silver EA, Pyke DF and Peterson R (1998).** Inventory Management and Production Planning and Scheduling, *Third edition John Wiley and Sons*, New York.

**Teng JT (2009).** A simple method to compute economic order quantities. *European Journal of Operational Research* **198**(1) 351–353.

**Yager RR (1981).** A procedure for ordering fuzzy subsets of the unit interval. *Information Sciences* **24** 143-161.

**Yang PC, Wee HM and Yang HJ (2007).** Global optimal policy for vendor–buyer integrated inventory system within just in time environment. *Journal of Global Optimization* **37** 505-511.

**Yao JS and Chiang J (2003).** Inventory without backorder with fuzzy total cost and fuzzy storing cost defuzzified by centroid and sign distance. *European Journal of Operational Research* **148** 401-409.