International Journal of Physics and Mathematical Sciences ISSN: 2277-2111 (Online)
An Online International Journal Available at http://www.cibtech.org/jpms.htm
2012 Vol. 2 (3) July-September pp.52-62/Banyal
Research Article

ON THERMOSOLUTAL INSTABILITY IN RIVLIN-ERICKSEN VISCOELASTIC FLUID IN A POROUS MEDIUM

***Ajaib S. Banyal**
**Department of Mathematics, Govt. College Nadaun (Hamirpur), (HP) INDIA 177033*
**Author for Correspondence*

ABSTRACT
Thermosolutal instability of Veronis (1965) type in Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid in a porous medium is considered. Following the linearized stability theory and normal mode analysis, the paper mathematically established the condition for characterizing the oscillatory motions which may be neutral or unstable, for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid. It is established that all non-decaying slow motions starting from rest, in a Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid of infinite horizontal extension and finite vertical depth in a porous medium, are necessarily non-oscillatory, in the regime

$$R_s \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right),$$

Where R_s is the Thermosolutal Rayliegh number, p_3 is the thermosolutal Prandtl number, P_l is the medium permeability, ε is the porosity and F is the viscoelasticity parameter. The result is important since it hold for all wave numbers and for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid. A similar characterization theorem is also proved for Stern (1960) type of configuration.

Key Words: *Thermosolutal Convection, Rivlin-Ericksen Fluid, Pes, Rayleigh Number and Thermosolutal Rayleigh Number*

INTRODUCTION
A comprehensive account of the theoretical and experimental study of the onset of Bénard Convection in Newtonian fluids, under varying assumptions of hydrodynamics and hydromagnetics, has been given by Chandrasekhar (1981) in his celebrated monograph. The use of Boussinesq approximation has been made throughout, which states that the density changes are disregarded in all other terms in the equation of motion except the external force term. The problem of thermohaline convection in a layer of fluid heated from below and subjected to a stable salinity gradient has been considered by Veronis (1965). The physics is quite similar in the stellar case, in that helium acts like in raising the density and in diffusing more slowly than heat. The condition under which convective motions are important in stellar atmospheres are usually far removed from consideration of single component fluid and rigid boundaries and therefore it is desirable to consider a fluid acted upon by a solute gradient with free or rigid boundaries. The problem is of great importance because of its applications to atmospheric physics and astrophysics, especially in the case of the ionosphere and the outer layer of the atmosphere. The thermosolutal convection problems also arise in oceanography, limnology and engineering. Bhatia and Steiner (1972) have considered the effect of uniform rotation on the thermal instability of a viscoelastic (Maxwell) fluid and found that rotation has a destabilizing influence in contrast to the stabilizing effect on Newtonian fluid. Sharma (1976) has studied the thermal instability of a layer of viscoelastic (Oldroydian) fluid acted upon by a uniform rotation and found that rotation has destabilizing as well as stabilizing effects under certain conditions in contrast to that of a Maxwell fluid where it has a destabilizing effect. There are many elastico-viscous fluids that cannot be characterized by Maxwell's constitutive relations or

Oldroyd's (1958) constitutive relations. Two such classes of fluids are Rivlin-Ericksen's and Walter's (model B') fluids. Rivlin-Ericksen (1955) has proposed a theoretical model for such one class of elastico-viscous fluids. Sharma and kumar (1996) have studied the effect of rotation on thermal instability in Rivlin-Ericksen elastico-viscous fluid and found that rotation has a stabilizing effect and introduces oscillatory modes in the system. Kumar *et al.,* (2006) considered effect of rotation and magnetic field on Rivlin-Ericksen elastico-viscous fluid and found that rotation has stabilizing effect; whereas magnetic field has both stabilizing and destabilizing effects. A layer of such fluid heated from below or under the action of magnetic field or rotation or both may find applications in geophysics, interior of the Earth, Oceanography, and the atmospheric physics. With the growing importance of non-Newtonian fluids in modern technology and industries, the investigations on such fluids are desirable.

In all above studies, the medium has been considered to be non-porous with free boundaries only, in general. In recent years, the investigation of flow of fluids through porous media has become an important topic due to the recovery of crude oil from the pores of reservoir rocks. When a fluid permeates a porous material, the gross effect is represented by the Darcy's law. As a result of this macroscopic law, the usual viscous term in the equation of Rivlin-Ericksen fluid motion is replaced by the resistance term $\left[-\frac{1}{k_1}\left(\mu+\mu^{'}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)q\right]$, where μ and $\mu^{'}$ are the viscosity and viscoelasticity of the Rivlin-Ericksen fluid, k_1 is the medium permeability and q is the Darcian (filter) velocity of the fluid. The problem of thermosolutal convection in fluids in a porous medium is of great importance in geophysics, soil sciences, ground water hydrology and astrophysics. Generally, it is accepted that comets consist of a dusty 'snowball' of a mixture of frozen gases which, in the process of their journey, changes from solid to gas and vice-versa. The physical properties of the comets, meteorites and interplanetary dust strongly suggest the importance of non-Newtonian fluids in chemical technology, industry and geophysical fluid dynamics. Thermal convection in porous medium is also of interest in geophysical system, electrochemistry and metallurgy. A comprehensive review of the literature concerning thermal convection in a fluid-saturated porous medium may be found in the book by Nield and Bejan (1992).

Pellow and Southwell (1940) proved the validity of PES for the classical Rayleigh-Bénard convection problem. Banerjee *et al.,* (1981) gave a new scheme for combining the governing equations of thermohaline convection, which is shown to lead to the bounds for the complex growth rate of the arbitrary oscillatory perturbations, neutral or unstable for all combinations of dynamically rigid or free boundaries and, Banerjee and Banerjee (1984) established a criterion on characterization of non-oscillatory motions in hydrodynamics which was further extended by Gupta *et al.,* (1986). However no such result existed for non-Newtonian fluid configurations in general and in particular, for Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid configurations. Banyal (2012) have characterized the oscillatory motions in Rivlin-Ericksen fluid in the presence of rotation.

Keeping in mind the importance of non-Newtonian fluids, as stated above, this article attempts to study Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic of Veronis and Stern type configuration in a porous medium, and it has been established that the onset of instability in a Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid heated from below in a porous medium Veronis (1965) type configuration, cannot manifest itself as oscillatory motions of growing amplitude if the Thermosolutal Rayliegh number R_s, the thermosolutal Prandtl number p_3, the medium permeability P_l, the porosity ε and the viscoelasticity parameter F satisfy the inequality $R_s \le \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E^{'}p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$, for all wave numbers and for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid. A similar characterization theorem is also proved for Stern (1960) type of configuration

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Formulation of the Problem and Perturbation Equations

Here we Consider an infinite, horizontal, incompressible Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid layer, of thickness d, heated from below so that, the temperature, density and solute concentrations at the bottom surface z = 0 are T_0, ρ_0 and C_0 at the upper surface z = d are T_d, ρ_d and C_d respectively, and that a uniform adverse temperature gradient $\beta\left(=\left|\frac{dT}{dz}\right|\right)$ and a uniform solute gradient $\beta'\left(=\left|\frac{dC}{dz}\right|\right)$ is maintained. The uniform gravity field $\vec{g}(0,0,-g)$ pervade on the system. This fluid layer is assumed to be flowing through an isotropic and homogeneous porous medium of porosity ε and medium permeability k_1.

Let p, ρ, T, C, α, α', g and $\vec{q}(u,v,w)$ denote respectively the fluid pressure, fluid density temperature, solute concentration, thermal coefficient of expansion, an analogous solvent coefficient of expansion, gravitational acceleration and filter velocity of the fluid. Then the momentum balance, mass balance, and energy balance equation governing the flow of thermosolutal Rivlin-Ericksen fluid (Rivlin and Ericksen (1955); Chandrasekhar (1981) and Sharma *et al.*, (2001)) are given by

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[\frac{\partial \vec{q}}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\vec{q}.\nabla\right)\vec{q}\right]=-\left(\frac{1}{\rho_0}\right)\nabla p+\vec{g}\left(1+\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho_0}\right)-\frac{1}{k_1}\left(\nu+\nu'\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\vec{q}, \quad \text{.........................} \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla.\vec{q}=0, \quad \text{...} \quad (2)$$

$$E\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}+(\vec{q}.\nabla)T=\kappa\nabla^2 T, \quad \text{..} \quad (3)$$

And

$$E'\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}+(\vec{q}.\nabla)C=\kappa'\nabla^2 C \quad \text{..} \quad (4)$$

Where

$\frac{d}{dt}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\varepsilon^{-1}\vec{q}.\nabla$, stand for the convective derivatives. Here $E=\varepsilon+(1-\varepsilon)\left(\frac{\rho_s c_s}{\rho_0 c_i}\right)$ is a constant and E' is a constant analogous to E but corresponding to solute rather than heat, while ρ_s, c_s and ρ_0, c_i, stands for the density and heat capacity of the solid (porous matrix) material and the fluid, respectively, ε is the medium porosity and $\vec{r}(x,y,z)$.

The equation of state is

$$\rho=\rho_0\left[1-\alpha\left(T-T_0\right)+\alpha'(C-C_0)\right], \quad \text{...} \quad (5)$$

Where the suffix zero refer to the values at the reference level z = 0. In writing the equation (1), we made use of the Boussinesq approximation, which states that the density variations are ignored in all terms in the equation of motion except the external force term. The kinematic viscosity ν, kinematic

viscoelasticity ν', thermal diffusivity κ, the solute diffusivity κ', and the coefficient of thermal expansion α are all assumed to be constants.
The steady state solution is

$$\vec{q} = (0,0,0),\ \rho = \rho_0(1+\alpha\beta z - \alpha'\beta' z),\ T = -\beta z + T_0,\ C = -\beta' z + C_0, \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (6)$$

Here we use the linearized stability theory and the normal mode analysis method. Consider a small perturbations on the steady state solution, and let $\delta\rho$, δp, θ, γ and $\vec{q}(u,v,w)$ denote respectively the perturbations in density ρ, pressure p, temperature T, solute concentration C and velocity $\vec{q}(0,0,0)$. The change in density $\delta\rho$, caused mainly by the perturbation θ and γ in temperature and concentration, is given by

$$\delta\rho = -\rho_0(\alpha\theta - \alpha'\gamma). \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (7)$$

Then the linearized perturbation equations of the Rivlin-Ericksen fluid reduces to

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial \vec{q}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}(\nabla\delta p) - \vec{g}(\alpha\theta - \alpha'\gamma) - \frac{1}{k_1}\left(\nu + \nu'\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\vec{q}, \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (8)$$

$$\nabla.\vec{q} = 0, \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (9)$$

$$E\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} = \beta w + \kappa\nabla^2\theta, \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (10)$$

and

$$E'\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial t} = \beta' w + \kappa'\nabla^2\gamma, \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (11)$$

Normal Mode Analysis

Analyzing the disturbances into two-dimensional waves, and considering disturbances characterized by a particular wave number, we assume that the Perturbation quantities are of the form

$$[w,\theta,\gamma] = [W(z),\Theta(z),\Gamma(z)]\exp(ik_x x + ik_y y + nt), \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (12)$$

Where k_x, k_y are the wave numbers along the x- and y-directions, respectively, $k = \left(k_x^2 + k_y^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is the resultant wave number, n is the growth rate which is, in general, a complex constant $W(z),\Theta(z)$ and $\Gamma(z)$ are the functions of z only.

Using (12), equations (8)-(11), within the framework of Boussinesq approximations, in the non-dimensional form transform to

$$\left[\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{P_l}(1+\sigma F)\right]\left(D^2 - a^2\right)W = -Ra^2\Theta + R_s a^2\Gamma, \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (13)$$

$$\left(D^2 - a^2 - Ep_1\sigma\right)\Theta = -W, \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (14)$$

and

$$\left(D^2 - a^2 - E'p_3\sigma\right)\Gamma = -W, \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (15)$$

Where we have introduced new coordinates (x', y', z') = (x/d, y/d, z/d) in new units of length d and $D = d/dz'$. For convenience, the dashes are dropped hereafter. Also we have substituted

$a = kd, \sigma = \frac{nd^2}{\nu}$, $p_1 = \frac{\nu}{\kappa}$ is the thermal Prandtl number; $p_3 = \frac{\nu}{\kappa^{'}}$ is the thermosolutal Prandtl number; $P_l = \frac{k_1}{d^2}$ is the dimensionless medium permeability, $F = \frac{\nu^{'}}{d^2}$ is the dimensionless viscoelasticity parameter of the Rivlin-Ericksen fluid; $R = \frac{g\alpha\beta d^4}{\kappa\nu}$ is the thermal Rayleigh number and $R_s = \frac{g\alpha^{'}\beta^{'}d^4}{\kappa^{'}\nu^{'}}$ is the thermosolutal Rayleigh number. Also we have Substituted $W = W_{\oplus}, \Theta = \frac{\beta d^2}{\kappa}\Theta_{\oplus}$, $\Gamma = \frac{\beta^{'}d^2}{\kappa}\Gamma_{\oplus}$ and $D_{\oplus} = dD$ and dropped $(\oplus)$ for convenience.

We now consider the case where both the boundaries are rigid and perfectly conducting and are maintained at constant temperature and solute concentration, and then the perturbations in the temperature and solute concentration are zero at the boundaries. The appropriate boundary conditions with respect to which equations (13)-(15), must possess a solution are

W = 0 = $\Theta = \Gamma$, on both the horizontal boundaries,
DW = 0, on a rigid boundary,
$D^2W = 0$, on a dynamically free boundary, ……………………………………… (16)

Equations (13)--(15), along with boundary conditions (16), pose an eigenvalue problem for σ and we wish to characterize σ_i, when $\sigma_r \geq 0$.

We first note that since W and Γ satisfy $W(0) = 0 = W(1)$ and $\Gamma(0) = 0 = \Gamma(1)$ in addition to satisfying to governing equations and hence we have from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality Schultz (1973)

$$\int_0^1 |DW|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 |W|^2 dz \,;\; \int_0^1 |D\Theta|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 |\Theta|^2 dz \text{ aAnd, } \int_0^1 |D\Gamma|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 |\Gamma|^2 dz \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (17)$$

Mathematical Analysis

We prove the following lemma:

Lemma 1:

For any arbitrary oscillatory perturbation, neutral or unstable

$$\int_0^1 |\Gamma|^2 dz \leq \frac{1}{\pi^4 a^2}\int_0^1 |DW|^2 dz$$

Proof: Multiplying equation (15) by Γ^* (the complex conjugate of Γ), integrating by parts each term of the resulting equation on the right hand side for an appropriate number of times and making use of boundary condition (16) on Γ namely $\Gamma(0) = 0 = \Gamma(1)$, it follows that

$$\int_0^1 \left\{|D\Gamma|^2 + a^2|\Gamma|^2\right\}dz + E^{'}\sigma_r p_3 \int_0^1 |\Gamma|^2 dz = \text{Real part of} \left\{\int_0^1 \Gamma^* W dz\right\},$$

$$\leq \left|\int_0^1 \Gamma^* W dz\right| \leq \int_0^1 |\Gamma^* W| dz \leq \int_0^1 |\Gamma^*||W| dz,$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 |\Gamma||W| dz \leq \left\{\int_0^1 |\Gamma|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{\int_0^1 |W|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (18)$$

(Utilizing Cauchy-Schwartz-inequality),

This gives that

$$a^2\int_0^1|\Gamma|^2 dz \le \left\{\int_0^1|\Gamma|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_0^1|W|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and thus, we get

$$\left\{\int_0^1|\Gamma|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{1}{a^2}\left\{\int_0^1|W|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{……… (19)}$$

Since $\sigma_r \ge 0$ and $p_3 \rangle 0$, hence inequality (18) on utilizing (17) and (19), gives

$$\int_0^1|\Gamma|^2 dz \le \frac{1}{a^2\pi^4}\int_0^1|DW|^2 dz, \quad \text{……… (20)}$$

This completes the proof of lemma**.**

Lemma 2:

For any arbitrary oscillatory perturbation, neutral or unstable

$$\int_0^1|\Theta|^2 dz \le \frac{1}{a^2\pi^4}\int_0^1|DW|^2 dz$$

Proof: Multiplying equation (14) by Θ^* (the complex conjugate of Θ), integrating by parts each term of the resulting equation on the right hand side for an appropriate number of times and making use of boundary condition on Θ namely $\Theta(0) = 0 = \Theta(1)$, it follows that

$$\int_0^1\left\{|D\Theta|^2 + a^2|\Theta|^2\right\}dz + E\sigma_r p_1\int_0^1|\Theta|^2 dz = \text{Real part of}\left\{\int_0^1\Theta^* W dz\right\},$$

$$\le\left|\int_0^1\Theta^* W dz\right| \le \int_0^1|\Theta^* W|dz \le \int_0^1|\Theta^*||W|dz,$$

$$\le \int_0^1|\Theta||W|dz \le \left\{\int_0^1|\Theta|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_0^1|W|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{……… (21)}$$

(Utilizing Cauchy-Schwartz-inequality),

This gives that

$$a^2\int_0^1|\Theta|^2 dz \le \left\{\int_0^1|\Theta|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_0^1|W|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and thus, we get

$$\left\{\int_0^1|\Theta|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{1}{a^2}\left\{\int_0^1|W|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{……… (22)}$$

Since $\sigma_r \ge 0$ and $p_1 \rangle 0$, hence inequality (21) on utilizing (22) and (17), gives

$$\int_0^1|\Theta|^2 dz \le \frac{1}{a^2\pi^4}\int_0^1|DW|^2 dz, \quad \text{……… (23)}$$

This completes the proof of lemma 2.

We prove the following theorem:
Theorem 1:
If R$\rangle$ 0, $R_s\rangle 0$, F$\rangle$ 0, $P_l\rangle 0$, $p_1\rangle 0$, $p_3\rangle 0$, $\sigma_r \geq 0$ and $\sigma_i \neq 0$ then the necessary condition for the existence of non-trivial solution (W, Θ, Γ) of equations (13) – (15), together with boundary conditions (16) is that

$$R_s \rangle \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{F}{P_l}\right).$$

Proof: Multiplying equation (13) by W^* (the complex conjugate of W) throughout and integrating the resulting equation over the vertical range of z, we get

$$\left[\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{P_l}(1+\sigma F)\right]\int_0^1 W^*\left(D^2 - a^2\right)W dz = -Ra^2\int_0^1 W^*\Theta dz + R_s a^2 \int_0^1 W^*\Gamma dz, \quad \text{(24)}$$

Taking complex conjugate on both sides of equation (14), we get

$$\left(D^2 - a^2 - Ep_1\sigma^*\right)\Theta^* = -W^*, \quad \text{(25)}$$

Therefore, using (25), we get

$$\int_0^1 W^*\Theta dz = -\int_0^1 \Theta\left(D^2 - a^2 - Ep_1\sigma^*\right)\Theta^* dz, \quad \text{(26)}$$

Taking complex conjugate on both sides of equation (15), we get

$$\left(D^2 - a^2 - E' p_3\sigma^*\right)\Gamma^* = -W^*, \quad \text{(27)}$$

Therefore, using (27), we get

$$\int_0^1 W^*\Gamma dz = -\int_0^1 \Gamma\left(D^2 - a^2 - E' p_3\sigma^*\right)\Gamma^* dz, \quad \text{(28)}$$

Substituting (26) and (28), in the right hand side of equation (24), we get

$$\left[\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{P_l}(1+\sigma F)\right]\int_0^1 W^*\left(D^2 - a^2\right)W dz = Ra^2\int_0^1 \Theta\left(D^2 - a^2 - Ep_1\sigma^*\right)\Theta^* dz$$

$$-R_s a^2 \int_0^1 \Gamma^*\left(D^2 - a^2 - E' p_3\sigma^*\right)\Gamma dz \quad \text{(29)}$$

Integrating the terms on both sides of equation (29) for an appropriate number of times and making use of the appropriate boundary conditions (16), we get

$$\left[\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{P_l}(1+\sigma F)\right]\int_0^1 \left(|DW|^2 + a^2|W|^2\right)dz = Ra^2\int_0^1 \left(|D\Theta|^2 + a^2|\Theta|^2 + Ep_1\sigma^*|\Theta|^2\right)dz,$$

$$-R_s a^2 \int_0^1 \left(|D\Gamma|^2 + a^2|\Gamma|^2 + E' p_3\sigma^*|\Gamma|^2\right)dz, \quad \text{(30)}$$

Now equating imaginary parts on both sides of equation (30), and cancelling $\sigma_i (\neq 0)$ throughout, we get

$$\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{F}{P_l}\right]\int_0^1 \left(|DW|^2 + a^2|W|^2\right)dz = -Ra^2 Ep_1\int_0^1 |\Theta|^2 dz + R_s a^2 E' p_3 \int_0^1 |\Gamma|^2 dz, \quad \text{(31)}$$

Now R $\rangle$ 0, $p_1\rangle 0$ and $E\rangle 0$, utilizing the inequalities (20), the equation (31) gives,

$$\left[\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{F}{P_l}\right) - \frac{R_s E' p_3}{\pi^4}\right]\int_0^1 |DW|^2 dz + I_1 \langle 0, \quad \text{(32)}$$

Research Article

Where,

$$I_1 = \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)a^2\int_0^1 |W|^2 dz + Ra^2 Ep_1\int_0^1 |\Theta|^2 dz,$$

Is positive definite, therefore, we must have

$$R_s \rangle \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right). \quad \ldots\ldots (33)$$

Hence, if

$\sigma_r \geq 0$ and $\sigma_i \neq 0$, then $R_s \rangle \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$ and this completes the proof of the theorem.

Presented otherwise from the point of view of existence of instability as stationary convection, the above Theorem 1, can be put in the form as follow:-

Corollary 1:

The sufficient condition for the onset of instability as a non-oscillatory motions of non-growing amplitude in a thermosolutal Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid configuration of Veronis type in a porous medium heated from below is that, $R_s \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$, where R_s is the Thermosolutal Rayliegh number, p_3 is the thermosolutal Prandtl number, P_l is the medium permeability, ε is the porosity and F is the viscoelasticity parameter, for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid, or

The onset of instability in a thermosolutal Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid configuration of Veronis type in a porous medium heated from below, cannot manifest itself as oscillatory motions of growing amplitude if the Thermosolutal Rayliegh number R_s, the thermosolutal Prandtl number p_3, the medium permeability P_l, the porosity ε and the viscoelasticity parameter F, satisfy the inequality $R_s \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$, for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid.

The sufficient condition for the validity of the 'PES' can be expressed in the form:

Corollary 2:

If $(W, \Theta, \Gamma\sigma)$, $\sigma = \sigma_r + i\sigma_i$, $\sigma_r \geq 0$ is a solution of equations (15) – (19), with R $\rangle$ 0 and,

$$R_s \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right),$$

then $\sigma_i = 0$.

In particular, the sufficient condition for the validity of the 'exchange principle' i.e., $\sigma_r = 0 \Rightarrow \sigma_i = 0$ if $R_s \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$.

In the context of existence of instability in 'oscillatory modes' and that of 'overstability' in the present configuration of Veronis type, we can state the above theorem as follow:-

Corollary 3:

The necessary condition for the existence of instability in 'oscillatory modes' and that of 'overstability' in a thermosolutal Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid configuration of Veronis type in a porous medium heated from below is that the Thermosolutal Rayliegh number R_s, the thermosolutal Prandtl number p_3, the medium permeability P_l, the porosity ε and the viscoelasticity parameter F must satisfy the inequality $R_s \rangle \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$, for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid

A similar theorem can be proved for thermosolutal convection in rivlin-Ericksen Viscoelastic fluid configuration of Stern type in a porous medium as follow:

Theorem 2:

If $R\langle 0$, $R_s \langle 0$, $F\rangle 0$, $P_l \rangle 0$, $p_1 \rangle 0$, $p_3 \rangle 0$, $\sigma_r \geq 0$ and $\sigma_i \neq 0$ then the necessary condition for the existence of non-trivial solution (W, Θ, Γ) of equations (13) – (15), together with boundary conditions (16) is that,

$$|R| \rangle \left(\frac{\pi^4}{Ep_1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$$

Proof: Replacing R and R_s by $-|R|$ and $-|R_s|$, respectively in equations (13) – (15) and proceeding exactly as in Theorem 1 and utilizing the inequality (23), we get the desired result.

Presented otherwise from the point of view of existence of instability as stationary convection, the above Theorem 2, can be put in the form as follow:-

Corollary 4:

The sufficient condition for the onset of instability as a non-oscillatory motions of non-growing amplitude in a thermosolutal Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid configuration of Stern type in a porous medium is that, $|R| \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{Ep_1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$, where R is the Thermal Rayliegh number, p_1 is the thermal Prandtl number, P_l is the medium permeability, ε is the porosity and F is the viscoelasticity parameter, for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid or,

The onset of instability in a thermosolutal Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid configuration of Stern type in a porous medium, cannot manifest itself as oscillatory motions of growing amplitude if the Thermal Rayliegh number R, the thermal Prandtl number p_1, the medium permeability P_l, the porosity ε and the viscoelasticity parameter F, satisfy the inequality $|R| \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{Ep_1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$, for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid

The sufficient condition for the validity of the 'PES' can be expressed in the form:

Corollary 5:

If $(W, \Theta, \Gamma\sigma), \sigma = \sigma_r + i\sigma_i$, $\sigma_r \geq 0$ is a solution of equations (15) – (19), with $R\rangle 0$ and,

$$|R| \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{Ep_1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right),$$

Then $\sigma_i = 0$.

In particular, the sufficient condition for the validity of the 'exchange principle' i.e., $\sigma_r = 0 \Rightarrow \sigma_i = 0$ if $|R| \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{Ep_1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$.

In the context of existence of instability in 'oscillatory modes' and that of 'overstability' in the present configuration of Stern's type, we can state the above theorem as follow:-

Corollary 6:

The necessary condition for the existence of instability in 'oscillatory modes' and that of 'overstability' in a thermosolutal Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid configuration of Stern type in a porous medium is that the Thermal Rayliegh number R, the thermal Prandtl number p_1, the medium permeability P_l, the porosity ε and the viscoelasticity parameter F must satisfy the inequality $|R| \rangle \left(\frac{\pi^4}{Ep_1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$, for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid.

CONCLUSIONS

Theorem 1 mathematically established that the onset of instability in a thermosolutal Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid configuration of Veronis type, cannot manifest itself as oscillatory motions of growing amplitude if the Thermosolutal Rayliegh number R_s, the thermosolutal Prandtl number p_3, the medium permeability P_l, the porosity ε and the viscoelasticity parameter F satisfy the inequality $R_s \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$, for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid

The essential content of the theorem 1, from the point of view of linear stability theory is that for the thermosolutal configuration of Veronis type of Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid of infinite horizontal extension, for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid, an arbitrary neutral or unstable modes of the system are definitely non-oscillatory in character if $R_s \leq \left(\frac{\pi^4}{E' p_3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{F}{P_l}\right)$, and in particular PES is valid.

The similar conclusions are drawn for the thermosolutal configuration of Stern type of Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid of infinite horizontal extension, for any arbitrary combination of free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid from Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

Banerjee MB, Katoch DC, Dube GS and Banerjee K (1981). Bounds for growth rate of perturbation in thermohaline convection. *Proceedings of Royal Society A* **378** 301-304.

Banerjee MB and Banerjee B (1984). A characterization of non-oscillatory motions in magnetohydronamics. *Indian Journal Pure and Applied Mathematic* **15**(4) 377-38

Banerjee MB, Gupta JR and Prakash J (1992). On thermohaline convection of Veronis type. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **179** 327-334.

Banyal AS (2012). A characterization of Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid in the presence of rotation. *International Journal of Physics and Mathematical Sciences* **2**(2) 58-64.

Bhatia PK and Steiner JM (1972). Convective instability in a rotating viscoelastic fluid layer. *Zeitschrift fur Angewandte Mathematik and Mechanik* **52**(1972), 321-327.

Chandrasekhar S (1981). Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability. *Dover Publication, (New York).*

Gupta JR, Sood SK and Bhardwaj UD (1986). On the characterization of nonoscillatory motions in rotatory hydromagnetic thermohaline convection. *Indian Journal Pure and Applied Mathematics* **17**(1) 100-107.

Kumar P, Mohan H and Lal R (2006). Effect of magnetic field on thermal instability of a rotating Rivlin-Ericksen viscoelastic fluid. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* **2006** Article ID 28042 1-10.

Nield DA and Bejan A (1992). Convection in porous medium. Springer.

Oldroyd JG (1958). Non-Newtonian effects in steady motion of some idealized elastic-viscous liquids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A* **245** 278-297.

Pellow A and Southwell RV (1940). On the maintained convective motion in a fluid heated from below. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A* **176** 312-343.

Rivlin RS and Ericksen JL (1955). Stress deformation relations for isotropic materials. *Journal of Rational Mechanics and Analysis* **4** 323.

Schultz MH (1973). Spline Analysis, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersy.

Sharma RC (1976). Effect of rotation on thermal instability of a viscoelastic fluid. *Acta Physica Hungarica* **40** 11-17.

Sharma RC and Kumar P (1996). Effect of rotation on thermal instability in Rivlin-Ericksen elastico-viscous fluid. *Zeitschrift fur Naturforschung* **51a** 821-824.

Sharma RC, Sunil and Pal M (2001). Thermosolutal convection in Rivlin-Ericksen rotating fluid in porous medium in hydromagnetics. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **32**(1) 143-156.

Stern ME (1960). The salt fountain and thermohaline convection. *Tellus* **12** 172-175.

Veronis G (1965). On finite amplitude instability in the thermohaline convection. *Journal of Marine Research* **23** 1-17.