

## AN ALTERNATE PROOF OF THE DE MOIVRE'S THEOREM

**K.V. Vidyasagar**

Department of Mathematics, Government Degree College, Narsipatnam-531116, Visakhapatnam

\* Author for Correspondence

### ABSTRACT

Transformation is a method for solving the system, where we face difficult. As the well known transformations like Fourier transformation, Laplace transformation, Z-transformations helps us to solve different equations like differential algebraic problems. In this paper I propose a new transformation called *C-transformation*, from the set of complex numbers onto the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices of the form

$\begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}$  where a, b are real numbers. I defined a new set M, which is nothing but the set of all  $2 \times 2$

matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}$  where a, b are real numbers. The *C-transformation* is a transformation

from the set of complex numbers onto M. I further show that the *C-transformation* is isomorphism from C onto M. The existence and uniqueness of such transformation is proved. By using this

transformation, I try to give the geometrical interpretation for the matrix of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}$  which

is nothing but a point in the argand plane. I gave an alternate proof for the well known Demovier's theorem. I further try to solve a conformal mapping from z-plane to w-plane using this *C-transformation* provided the angle preserving, ie  $\theta = \phi$  (the angle between the curves in z-plane is equal to the angle between the curves in w-plane) with an example  $w=f(z)=z^2$  at the point  $z=1+i$ .

### INTRODUCTION

It is known that the set of real number system "R" is a ring with respect to general addition, general multiplication i.e., (R,+,.) is a ring. In this ring (R,+,.) "1" is the identity element and "-1" is the additive inverse of "1".

Now define a new set M, which is nothing but, the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}$  where

a, b are real numbers. Hence the set M is a ring with respect to matrix addition, matrix multiplication. In

this ring "I" (unit matrix) is  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  the identity element and "-I",  $-I = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the

additive inverse of "I",  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  (unit matrix). When we define a complex number Z, we define  $Z =$

$(a+ib)$ , where a, b are real numbers. And  $i^2 = -1$ , (additive inverse of 1). I suppose that there may be

unique matrix J in the set M such that  $J^2 = -I$ ,  $-I = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  additive inverse of I,  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

When we suppose  $J^2 = -I$ , we should get  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , since  $J^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -I$

{Of course, we may get 4 matrix in the form, satisfying the condition  $J^2 = -I$

## Research Article

$$1) \text{ When } J = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, J^2 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} = -I$$

$$2) \text{ When } J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -I$$

$$3) \text{ When } J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; J^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -I$$

$$4) \text{ When } J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -I \quad \} \text{ among the four the forth matrix is only the matrix in } M$$

M

Every complex number  $z=a+ib$  can be represented as a matrix  $m= aI+bJ$ ,  $a, b$  are real numbers  $I$  identity matrix and  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\text{matrix and } J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition: There is a isomorphism from The set of complex number  $C$  into the set  $M$  of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}$  where  $a, b$  are real numbers.

### Proof

Suppose that  $f$  is a transformation from The set of complex number  $C$  into the set  $M$  of all  $2 \times 2$

$$\text{matrices of the form } \begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } a, b \text{ are real numbers and Defined as } f(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} & \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2} \\ \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2} & \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } z$$

$\in C$ ,

$$\text{When } z=a+ib, \quad \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} = a; \quad \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2} = bi$$

$$\text{then } f(Z) = f(a+ib) = \begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}$$

As per the definition of the set  $M$ ,  $M = \{M_r / M_r \text{ is the matrix in the form } \begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix} \}$

Proof Part I:-

### Result

We know that the set of all complex number system  $C$  is a field with respect to general addition and multiplication.

Claim 1)

The set  $M$  is a ring with respect to matrix addition and matrix multiplication

For proving this, we already Know that  $M$  is a abelian group with respect to matrix addition and  $M$  is a group with respect to matrix addition i.e.  $(M, +)$  is an abelian group  $(M, \cdot)$  is group And since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & ib_1 \\ ib_1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & ib_2 \\ ib_2 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & ib_2 \\ ib_2 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & ib_1 \\ ib_1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ (verified)}$$

Hence  $(M, \cdot)$  is an abelian group.

## Research Article

Therefore 1)  $(M, +)$  is an abelian group

2)  $(M, \cdot)$  is a semi group

3) Distributive properties holds good

Therefore  $(M, +, \cdot)$  is ring under matrix addition and matrix multiplication.

Claim 2) The set  $M$  is a commutative ring with unit element, here unit element is the unit matrix  $I$ .

Claim 3) The ring  $(M, +, \cdot)$  is a skew field.

Claim 4) The ring  $(M, +, \cdot)$  is a field since, every non zero element is invertable under matrix multiplication.

Claim 5) The ring  $(M, +, \cdot)$  is without zero divisors, Hence  $(M, +, \cdot)$  is Integral domain.

## Proof PART II

Claim

The defined function  $f: C \rightarrow M$  such that  $f(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} & \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2} \\ \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2} & \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$  for

$z \in C$  is an isomorphism

Proof 1)  $f$  is one- one and onto and well defined

Proof 2)  $f(z_1 + z_2) = f(z_1) + f(z_2)$

Proof 3)  $f(z_1 z_2) = f(z_1) f(z_2)$

Note

when  $z_1 = z_2$ , hence  $f(z_1 z_2) = f(z_1) f(z_1) = (f(z_1))^2$

Similarly,  $f(z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 \dots z_n) = f(z_1) f(z_2) \dots f(z_n)$

If  $z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_n$

Hence  $f(z_1 z_1 z_1 \dots z_1) = f(z_1) f(z_1) \dots f(z_1) = (f(z_1))^n$

## Definition

“C-TRANSFORMATION”

If  $Z = a + ib$  then the c -transformation for  $Z = a + ib$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} & \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2} \\ \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2} & \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}$

That is  $C-T(z) = C-T(a + ib) = \begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}$

And the inverse transformation for  $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} & \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2} \\ \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2} & \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}$  is  $a + ib = z$

### Research Article

$$\text{That is } C-T^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{z+\bar{z}}{2} & \frac{z-\bar{z}}{2} \\ \frac{z-\bar{z}}{2} & \frac{z+\bar{z}}{2} \end{pmatrix}\right)=C-T^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}\right)=a+ib=z$$

### Transformation on Addition of complex Numbers

Let  $z_1 = a_1 + ib_1$  and  $z_2 = a_2 + ib_2$  and  $z_1 + z_2$  is also a complex number then

C-transformation on  $z_1 + z_2$  is

$$C-T(z_1 + z_2) = C-T\{(a_1 + ib_1) + (a_2 + ib_2)\}$$

$$= C-T\{(a_1 + a_2) + i(b_1 + b_2)\} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & (b_1 + b_2)i \\ (b_1 + b_2)i & a_1 + a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1i \\ b_1i & a_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2i \\ b_2i & a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

And the Inverse C-transformation

$$\begin{aligned} C-T^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1i \\ b_1i & a_1 \end{pmatrix}\right) + C-T^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2i \\ b_2i & a_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) &= C-T^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1i \\ b_1i & a_1 \end{pmatrix}\right) + C-T^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2i \\ b_2i & a_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= (a_1 + ib_1) + (a_2 + ib_2) \\ &= z_1 + z_2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $z_1 = a_1 + ib_1$  and  $z_2 = a_2 + ib_2$  then the C-Transformation on

$$z_1 z_2 = (a_1 + ib_1)(a_2 + ib_2) \text{ is } C-T(z_1 z_2) = C-T(z_1) \cdot C-T(z_2)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1i \\ b_1i & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2i \\ b_2i & a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_1a_2 - b_1b_2 & i(a_1b_2 + b_1a_2) \\ i(a_1b_2 + b_1a_2) & a_1a_2 - b_1b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

And the inverse C-Transformation for

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a_1a_2 - b_1b_2 & i(a_1b_2 + b_1a_2) \\ i(a_1b_2 + b_1a_2) & a_1a_2 - b_1b_2 \end{pmatrix} &= C-T^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1a_2 - b_1b_2 & i(a_1b_2 + b_1a_2) \\ i(a_1b_2 + b_1a_2) & a_1a_2 - b_1b_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= (a_1a_2 - b_1b_2) + i(a_1b_2 + b_1a_2). \end{aligned}$$

By the above definition of addition and multiplication of complex numbers, we can easily verify all others axioms.

## Research Article

### Multiplicative Inverse of a non-zero complex number

Let  $Z=a+ib$  be a non zero complex number,

then C-Transformation for  $Z$  is  $C-T(Z)=\begin{pmatrix} a & bi \\ bi & a \end{pmatrix}$

Since the multiplication inverse of  $Z$  is  $Z^{-1}$

And hence the C-transformation for  $Z^{-1}=C-T(Z^{-1})=C-T(Z)^{-1}$

$$\therefore \begin{aligned} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & bi \\ bi & a \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} a & -bi \\ bi & a \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} a & -bi \\ bi & a \end{vmatrix}} \\ &= \frac{\begin{pmatrix} a & -bi \\ bi & a \end{pmatrix}}{(a^2 + b^2)} \end{aligned}$$

And hence the C-transformation for  $Z^{-1}=\frac{\begin{pmatrix} a & -bi \\ bi & a \end{pmatrix}}{(a^2 + b^2)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{And the inverse C-Transformation for } &\frac{\begin{pmatrix} a & -bi \\ bi & a \end{pmatrix}}{(a^2 + b^2)} = \frac{a - ib}{(a^2 + b^2)} \\ &= \frac{a}{(a^2 + b^2)} - i \frac{b}{(a^2 + b^2)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Inverse of  $a+ib = \frac{a - ib}{(a^2 + b^2)}$ .

Note: by the above definition of addition and multiplication of complex number

If  $Z= \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$  then  $C-T(Z)=\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & i \sin \theta \\ i \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = A_\theta$  (say) and

the inverse transformation  $C-T^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & i \sin \theta \\ i \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}\right)=C-T^{-1}(A_\theta)=\cos \theta + i \sin \theta =Z$

Now we shall prove The De-Moivre's theorem by applying C-transformation

De-Moiver's Theorem (Special Proof):

Statement: Let 'n' be a positive integer .Then  $(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^n = (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta)$

Proof : Since  $C-T(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)=C-T(Z)=\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & i \sin \theta \\ i \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = A_\theta$  (say)

### Research Article

We know that  $A_\theta^n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos n\theta & i \sin n\theta \\ i \sin n\theta & \cos n\theta \end{pmatrix}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and the proof as follows

$$\text{For } n=1, A_\theta^1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & i \sin \theta \\ i \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Let it be true for } n=m, \text{ so that } (A_\theta)^m = \begin{pmatrix} \cos m\theta & \sin m\theta \\ -\sin m\theta & \cos m\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (A_\theta)^{m+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos m\theta & \sin m\theta \\ -\sin m\theta & \cos m\theta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \cos m\theta - \sin \theta \sin m\theta & \cos \theta \sin m\theta + \sin \theta \cos m\theta \\ -\sin \theta \cos m\theta - \cos \theta \sin m\theta & \cos \theta \cos m\theta - \sin \theta \sin m\theta \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(m+1)\theta & \sin(m+1)\theta \\ -\sin(m+1)\theta & \cos(m+1)\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus the result is true for  $n=m$  then it is also true for  $n=(m+1)$ . Hence, by the principle of mathematical induction, it is true for all natural numbers.

$$\therefore A_\theta^n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos n\theta & \sin n\theta \\ -\sin n\theta & \cos n\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

And hence Inverse C-Transformation =  $C - T^{-1}(A_\theta^n)$

$$= C - T^{-1} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \cos n\theta & \sin n\theta \\ -\sin n\theta & \cos n\theta \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta)$$

And hence  $(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^n = (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Cor: If  $n$  is a negative integer, then  $(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^n = (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta)$

Proof: Let  $n=-p$ , where  $p$  is a positive integer, then  $(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^n = (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^{-p}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^p} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\cos p\theta + i \sin p\theta)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\cos p\theta + i \sin p\theta)} \cdot \frac{(\cos p\theta - i \sin p\theta)}{(\cos p\theta - i \sin p\theta)} = (\cos p\theta - i \sin p\theta) \\ &= (\cos(-p)\theta + i \sin(-p)\theta) = (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof.

Note (1):  $(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^{-n} = (\cos n\theta - i \sin n\theta)$ .

### **Research Article**

Note (2):  $(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^n = (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta)$  .

Note (3):  $(\cos \theta - i \sin \theta)^{-n} = (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta)$  .

Scope: Matrix operation is quite easy to all for solving; I hope this transformation may help for solving the equations involving complex variables. I want to develop this transformation and I wish to apply this in the conformal mappings.

### **REFERENCES**

**James ward brown, Ruel V Churchill (2004).** Complex variable and applications/.-7<sup>th</sup> ed.p.cm-(Brown-Churchill series) *Internatioanal Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics*.

**Churchill, Ruel Vance (1899).** Functions of complex variable I. -II. Title. III. series. IV, series: *International series in pure and applied mathematics*.

**John B. Conway** Functions of one complex variable -2<sup>nd</sup> edition, (Springer international student edition), (narosa publications) 81 85015-37-6.

**Walter rudin** Real and Complex analysis-3<sup>rd</sup> edition (Pushp print series, New Delhi) (Tata-MCGraw Hill Publishing company Ltd).

**H Elton.** Lacey The Isometric theory of Classical Banach Spaces-primary edition *Springer verlag* New York, Heidelberg Berlin.

**Giovanni sansone-Johan gerretsen (1969).** Lectures on the Theory of functions of S Complex Variable (Wolters –Noordhoff publishing Groningen 1969 the Netherlands).

**WK Hayman.** Multivalent Functions (Cambridge AT the University Press 1958)

**Dr B S Grewal.** Higher engineering mathematics2005) -39<sup>th</sup> edition (Hindustan offset press) (Khanna Publications) 81-7409-195-5

**AR vasista, Dr R K Gupta (2009).** Integral Transforms -28<sup>th</sup> edition (Krishna prakhasam media (P) Ltd .meerut ) Book code 224-28

**Kenneth Hoffman Ray Kunze (2002).** Linear algebra -2<sup>nd</sup> edition (*Printed hall India*) 81 203-0270-2