

Research Article

ON THE LOCATION OF ZEROS OF A POLYNOMIAL

***Tawheeda Rasool**

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, India – 190006

**Author for Correspondence*

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove a result concerning the distribution of the zeros of a polynomial in the complex plane. From our result a variety of interesting results can be deduced by a fairly uniform procedure.

Key Words: Polynomials, Zeros, Analytic functions.

Mathematics Subject classification (1991): 30C10, 30C15

INTRODUCTION

Theorem A: Let $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ be a polynomial with complex coefficients. If $\text{Re } a_j = \alpha_j$ and

$\text{Im } a_j = \beta_j$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, $a_n \neq 0$ such that for some $k \geq 1, \lambda \geq 1$

$$K\alpha_n \geq \alpha_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0,$$

$$K\beta_n \geq \beta_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq \beta_1 \geq \beta_0$$

then $P(z)$ has all its zeros in

$$(1) \quad \left| z + (K-1) \right| \leq \frac{\{K(\alpha_n + \beta_n) - (\alpha_0 + \beta_0) + |\alpha_0|\}}{|a_n|}$$

Aziz and Mohammad [1980] extended Eneström-Kakeya Theorem to the class of Analytic functions

$P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ (not identically zero) with its coefficients a_j satisfying a relation analogous to that of

Eneström-Kakeya and proved the following theorem.

Theorem B: Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j \not\equiv 0$ be analytic in $|z| \leq t$. If $a_j > 0$ and

$a_{j-1} - ta_j \geq 0, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then $f(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < t$.

Aziz and Shah [1998] relaxed the hypothesis of Theorem B and proved the following.

Theorem C: If $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j \not\equiv 0$ be analytic in $|z| < t$ such that for some $k \geq 1$

$$ka_0 \geq ta_1 \geq t^2 a_2 \geq \dots,$$

then $f(z)$ does not vanish in

$$\left| z - \left(\frac{K-1}{2K-1} \right) t \right| \leq \frac{Kt}{2K-1}.$$

Shah and Liman [2007] proved the following result concerning the location of zeros of analytic function.

Research Article

Theorem D: Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j \not\equiv 0$ be analytic in $|z| < t$. If for some $k \geq 1$,

$$k|a_0| \geq t|a_1| \geq t^2|a_2| \geq \dots,$$

and for some β ,

$$|\arg a_j - \beta| \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

then $f(z)$ does not vanish in

$$(2) \quad \left| z - \frac{(K-1)t}{M^2 - (K-1)^2} \right| < \frac{Mt}{M^2 - (K-1)^2},$$

where

$$M = K(\cos \alpha + \sin \alpha) + 2 \frac{\sin \alpha}{|a_0|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j| t^j$$

In this paper we prove the following result which not only generalizes Theorem D but in particular cases reduces to Theorem C and Theorem B. More precisely we prove

Theorem 1: Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j \not\equiv 0$ be analytic in $|z| \leq t$. If for some $K \geq 1$

$$K|a_0| \geq t|a_1| \geq t^2|a_2| \geq \dots \geq t^\lambda |a_\lambda| \leq t^{\lambda+1} |a_{\lambda+1}| \leq \dots$$

and for some real β

$$|\arg a_j - \beta| \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

then $f(z)$ does not vanish in

$$(3) \quad \left| z - \frac{(K-1)t}{M_4^2 - (K-1)^2} \right| < \frac{M_4 t}{M_4^2 - (K-1)^2},$$

where

$$M_4 = \left(K - 2t^\lambda \left| \frac{a_\lambda}{a_0} \right| \right) \cos \alpha + K \sin \alpha + 2 \frac{\sin \alpha}{|a_0|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j| t^j$$

Remark 1.1: If we let $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in Theorem 1 we get Theorem D. For $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha = \beta = 0$ Theorem 1 reduces to Theorem C and for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $K = 1$ Theorem 1 reduces to Theorem B

We need the following lemma [1968] for the proof of the above Theorem.

Lemma: If $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n such that for some real β

$$|\arg a_j - \beta| \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ then for some } t > 0$$

$$|ta_j - a_{j-1}| \leq (|t| |a_j| - |a_{j-1}|) \cos \alpha + (|t| |a_j| + |a_{j-1}|) \sin \alpha.$$

Research Article

Proof of Theorem 1: Since $f(z)$ is analytic function in $|z| \leq t$ therefore $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j z^j = 0$. Now consider the function

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z) &= (z-t)f(z) \\
 &= (z-t)(a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots a_\lambda z^\lambda + \dots) \\
 &= -ta_0 + (a_0 - ta_1)z + (a_1 - ta_2)z^2 + \dots \\
 &= -ta_0 + a_0z - Ka_0z + (Ka_0 - ta_1)z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (a_{j-1} - ta_j)z^j \\
 (4) \quad &= -ta_0 + a_0z - Ka_0z + G(z), \quad (\text{say})
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(z) = (Ka_0 - ta_1)z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (a_{j-1} - ta_j)z^j$$

clearly $G(z)$ is analytic, $G(0) = 0$ and $|z| = t$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |G(z)| &= \left| (Ka_0 - ta_1)z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (a_{j-1} - ta_j)z^j \right| \\
 &\leq t|(Ka_0 - ta_1)| + t \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (a_{j-1} - ta_j)t^{j-1} \\
 &\leq t(K|a_0| - t|a_1|)\cos \alpha + t(K|a_0| + t|a_1|)\sin \alpha \\
 &\quad + (t|a_1| - t^2|a_2|)\cos \alpha + t(t|a_1| + t^2|a_2|)\sin \alpha \\
 &\quad + (t^2|a_2| - t^3|a_3|)\cos \alpha + t(t^2|a_2| + t^3|a_3|)\sin \alpha + \dots + \\
 &\quad + (t^{\lambda-1}|a_{\lambda-1}| - t^\lambda|a_\lambda|)\cos \alpha + t(t^{\lambda-1}|a_{\lambda-1}| + t^\lambda|a_\lambda|)\sin \alpha \\
 &\quad + (t^\lambda|a_\lambda| - t^{\lambda+1}|a_{\lambda+1}|)\cos \alpha + t(t^\lambda|a_\lambda| + t^{\lambda+1}|a_{\lambda+1}|)\sin \alpha + \dots \\
 &= t \left[(K|a_0| - 2t^\lambda|a_\lambda|)\cos \alpha + K|a_0|\sin \alpha + 2\sin \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j|t^j \right] \\
 &= t|a_0| \left[\left(K - 2t^\lambda \left| \frac{a_\lambda}{a_0} \right| \right) \cos \alpha + K \sin \alpha + 2 \frac{\sin \alpha}{|a_0|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j|t^j \right] \\
 &= t|a_0| M_4 \quad (\text{say})
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5) \quad M_4 = \left(K - 2t^\lambda \left| \frac{a_\lambda}{a_0} \right| \right) \cos \alpha + K \sin \alpha + 2 \frac{\sin \alpha}{|a_0|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j|t^j$$

this implies

Research Article

$$|G(z)| \leq t |a_0| M_4 |z|; \text{ for } |z| \leq t, \text{ by Schwartz's lemma}$$

hence from (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\geq |ta_0 - a_0 z + Ka_0 z| - |G(z)| \\ &\geq |a_0| |(K-1)z + t| - |z| |a_0| M_4 \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

if

$$(6) \quad |z| M_4 < |(K-1)z + t|.$$

Since it is easy to verify that the region defined above is precisely the disk

$$(7) \quad \left[z : \left| z - \frac{(K-1)t}{M_4^2 - (K-1)^2} \right| < \frac{M_4 t}{M_4^2 - (K-1)^2} \right]$$

it follows from (6) that $F(z)$ and hence $f(z)$ does not vanish in the the disk defined by (7). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

Shah W.M and Liman A (2007). On Eneström-Kakeya theorem and related Analytic Functions, *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences (Math Science)* **117**(3) 359-370.
Rather N.A and Ahmad S.S (2007). A remark on the generalization of Eneström -Kakeya theorem. *Journal of Analysis and Computation* **3**(1) 33-41
Aziz A and Shah W.M (1998). On the Zeros of Polynomials and related Analytic functions, *Glasnik Matematički* **33** 173-184.
Aziz A. and Mohammad Q.G (1980). On the zeros of certain class of polynomial and related analytic functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application* **75** 495-502.
Govil N.K and Rahman Q.I (1968). On the Eneström- Kakeya theorem, *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, **20** 126-136.