SEA LEVEL RISE AND COASTAL VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT: A REVIEW

*Manik Mahapatra, Ratheesh R. and Rajawat A.S.

Geo-Sciences Division, Space Applications Centre (ISRO), Ahmedabad -380015 *Author for Correspondence

ABSTRACT

The coastal zones are highly resourceful and dynamic. The coastal zones are facing many natural hazards such as coastal erosion, Storm surge, Tsunami, coastal flooding and sea level rise. It is obvious that the future sea level rise due to human induced global warming is the main threat to the coastal zone. The global mean sea level is continuing to rise during the 21st century. In this regards, coastal vulnerability assessment due to sea level rise is needed. Globally, there are many coastal vulnerability assessment tools and techniques such as CM (Common Methodology), SURVAS (Synthesis and Up scaling of Sea Level Rise Vulnerability Assessment Studies), DIVA (Dynamic Interactive Vulnerability Assessment) and CVI (Coastal Vulnerability Index). In the present paper discuss the various aspects of sea level rise and coastal vulnerability index assessment in details.

Key Words: Sea Level Rise, Coastal Zone, Coastal Vulnerability, Coastal Vulnerability Index

INTRODUCTION

Sorenson and McCreary (1990) defined coastal zone as the interface or transition zone, specifically "that part of the land affected by its proximity to the sea and that part of the ocean affected by its proximity to the land that is an area in which processes depending on the interaction between the land and sea are most intense." However, the extent of coastal zone is varies rim due to daily tides, seasonally with astronomic forces, and sporadically with sea storms and great river floods etc. Coastal zone is a physiographic unit. The total global coastlines have above 1.6 million kilometres and coastal ecosystems occurred in 123 countries around the world (Burke et al., 2001). The narrow strip of coastal zone covers less than 5% of Earth's land surface area (Rashid et al., 2009). Coastal zone are the most fragile, dynamic and productive ecosystem and it is under pressure from both anthropogenic activities and natural processes. The coastal environment is a unique because mangroves forest, coral reefs, sea beaches, sea weeds, tidal flats and daily tide, waves etc. are found only at the coast. The terrestrial and marine process such as wind actions, tide, wave, currents, erosion /accretion etc continuously influence the coastal zone and make it dynamic and fragile. It contains a wide range of natural resources, productive and valuable habitat of the biosphere such as mangroves, coral reefs, saltpans, salt-marsh, creeks, estuary, beach, sand dune estuary, lagoons, coastal wetlands and mud-flat etc. It also provides important services such protecting shoreline and absorbing flood water as filtering of pollution, retaining nutrients, maintaining water quality. Due to its unique location benefited environment, fertile lowland, abundant marine resources, water transportation, aesthetic beauty etc., people are attracted to live, to commerce, to military and to a variety of industries from ancient time to the present. It is an area of high economic significance due to faster economic development, large population migrations and urban development. It is estimated that 1.2 billion people, or approximately 23 % of the world's population, lives within 100 m of sea level and 100 km from the coast (Nicholls and Small, 2002; Nicholls, 2003). The population densities in coastal regions occur at approximately three times the global average with maximum densities occurring below 20 m in elevation (Nicholls, 2003). Two-thirds of the world's cities occur on the coast (Crooks and Turner, 1999). But the coastal zones are facing a lot of problems. They are landfill, dredging, and pollution caused by urban, industrial and agricultural development, coastal erosion, loss of coastal habitat, storm surge, tsunami and the global warming induced sea level rise etc.

Review Article

Human activities such as industrial activities, agricultural practice and destruction of forest, development of transport system are major causes of increasing emissions of greenhouse gasses like co2, methane, CFCS into the atmosphere. IPCC 2001 estimated that the atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide have grown by about 31%, 151% and 17%, respectively, between 1750 and 2000 year. The globally averaged temperature near the Earth's surface rose by 0.74 ± 0.18 °C over the period 1906–2005 (IPCC 4th assessment report). According to the IPCC 4th report, the rate of warming over the last half of that period was almost doubles that for the period as a whole (0.13 \pm 0.03 °C per decade, versus 0.07 °C \pm 0.02 °C per decade; whereas Hansen *et al.*, 2001 and Trenberth *et al.*, (2007) reported that the Earth warmed from ~1900 to 1940, cooled slightly from ~1940 to 1965–1970 and then warmed markedly from ~1970 onward. The evidences of global warming are found in the three major ice repositories in the world. The Greenland ice is melting at a rate of 239±23 km³ per year (Chen et al., 2006). The extent of Arctic sea ice has been decreasing by almost 8% per decade since the middle of last century (Stroeve et al., 2007). Perhaps the most alarming is the widespread loss of ice in West Antarctica (Rignot et al., 2008) contributing to global sea level rise of ~0.36 mm/year (Chen et al., 2008). On the whole, the climate change-induced rise in global sea level is estimated to be 1–3 mm/year (Pielke et al., 2007). Even if the global temperatures are levelled off at this stage, the sea level continues to rise over the 21 century (Meehl et al., 2005). The global warming causes significant upward shift in species optimum elevation (Lenoir et al., 2008); increase the food prices all over the world (Parry et al., 2008), public health problems such as the alarming spread of malaria in Africa and elsewhere (Hoyle, 2008). According to the Warren et al., (2013), More than half of common plants and one third of the animals could see a dramatic decline this century due to climate change and Plants, reptiles and particularly amphibians are expected to be at highest risk. Perhaps the most commonly recognized impact of global warming is the eustatic rise in sea level (Allen and Komar, 2006) due to thermal expansion of seawater and addition of ice-melt water (Meehl et al., 2005). According to Piontek et al., (2013), one out of 10 people on Earth are likely to live in a climate impact hotspot by the end of this century, if greenhouse gas emissions continue unabated.

1.1 Sea Level Rise Measurement

Sea Level means the height of the sea measured relative to a mark on the nearby land called the Tide Gauge Benchmark. Mean Sea Level is usually described as a tidal datum that is the arithmetic mean of hourly water elevations observed over a specific 19-year cycle (Pugh, 2004). According to Douglas and Peltier (2002), at the height of the last glacial maximum ~21,000 years ago, so much of the earth's water was tied up in great high-latitude ice sheets that the oceans were about 120meters lower than today. Compared with those of previous millennia, the changes in global sea level occurring today are tiny. The global sea level increased by about 120m as a result of the deglaication that followed the last glacial maximum. By about 5,000-6,000 YBP (years before present), the melting of the great high-latitude ice masses was essentially completed. Therefore, the global sea level rise was small, and appears to have almost ceased by 3,000-4,000 YBP.

Modern sea level rise is a matter of urgent concern because of the possibility of its acceleration and consequent threats to many low-lying parts of the inhabited world i.e. coastal zone (Douglas *et al.*, 2001; Church *et al.*, 2001). It is generally assumed that sea level rise is caused by the melting of glaciers in Antarctica and Greenland and the expansion of sea water caused by temperature increased (Fjeldskaar, 2008). It was tide gauge data that provided the first evidence of an accelerated rate of sea level rise for the twentieth century relative to pre-industrial periods (Lambeck *et al.*, 2002; Gehrels *et al.*, 2006; Kemp *et al.*, 2009). The global sea level rise over the 20th century is 1.7 ± 0.5 mm/year (IPCC, 2007). According to Holgate 2007, "the rate of sea level change was found to be larger in the early part of the last century (2.03 ±0.35mm/yr., for the period of 1904 to 1953 year), in comparison with the later part (1.45 ±0.34 mm/yr. for the period of 1954-2003 year). The highest decadal rate of rise occurred in the decade centred on 1980 (5.31 mm/yr.) with the lowest rate of rise occurring in the decade centred on 1964 (-1.49mm/yr.). Over the entire century the mean rate of change was 1.74 ± 0.16 mm/yr". A detailed analysis of sea level

Review Article

rise was carried out by Church and White (2006) and they reconstructed global sea level from a variety of data sources back to 1870. From 1870 to 2004 (135yr.) the total global sea level rise was 195mm, an average of 1.44mm/yr. for the 20th century; the rise was about 160mm (1.7 ± 0.3 mm/yr.) indicating a slight acceleration during the 20th century. Leuliette *et al.*, (2004), estimate that the global average sea level has been rising at a rate of 2.8± 0.4mm/yr. Based on the advent of high-accuracy satellite altimetry since about 1993, Cazenave *et al.*, (2008) found that the GRACE-based Ocean mass has increased at an average rate of 1.91+/-0.1 mm/yr. over the period of 2003–2008. According to IPCC 5th assessment report, 2013, the rate of sea level rise since the mid-19th century has been larger than the mean rate during the previous two millennia. Over the period 1901–2010, global mean sea level rose by 0.19 [0.17 to 0.21] m. They also predicted that the global mean sea level will continue to rise during the 21st century due to increased ocean warming and increased loss of mass from glaciers and ice sheets.

1.2 Sea Level Observation in Indian Scenario

Sea level change study of Indian coast has been carried out by many researchers and scientists in India such as Emery and Aubrey (1989); Douglas (1991), Das and Radhakrishna (1993) Unnikrishnan *et al.*, 2006; Unnikrishnan *et al.*, (2007). Das and Radhakrishna 1993 reported that the average sea level rise for India region was 2.5 mm/year since the 1950. According to Shankar *et al.*, (2001), the mean sea level is higher in bay Bengal in comparing to the Arabian sea due to the wind forced coastal circulation and the salinity gradient along the coast . Mean sea level rise at selected stations along the Indian coast namely Mumbai, Kochi, Chennai and Vishakhapatnam, have been studied by Unnikrishnan *et al.*, 2006. They reported that Mumbai, Kochi and Vishakhapatnam showed a sea level rise of 0.78, 1.14 and 0.75 mm/year respectively, whereas the Chennai showed a decrease in sea level (-0.65 mm/year). They also reported that this estimate of sea level rise needs to be corrected using the rates of vertical land movements. In the next year, Unnikrishnan *et al.*, 2007 estimates that the mean sea-level-rise along the Indian coasts are in between 1.06–1.75 mm/year, with a regional average of 1.29 mm/yr, after correction of global isostatic adjustment (GIA) using model data.

1.3 Prediction of Sea Level Rise

The range of sea level rise at the end of 21st century as presented in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) second assessment report, 1996 was 0.13 to 0.94 metres based on the IS92 scenarios. IPCC (2000) was published a report called "The Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES)" which describe the greenhouse gas emissions scenarios. These SRES scenarios have been used to make projections of possible future climate change. The SRES scenarios were used in the IPCC Third Assessment Report (TAR), published in 2001, and in the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4), published in 2007. The SRES scenarios were designed to improve upon some aspects of the IS92 scenarios. The global mean sea level is projected to rise at the end of 21^{st} Century by 0.09 to 0.88 metres for the full range of SRES scenarios (IPCC, third assessment report, 2001). This is due primarily to thermal expansion and loss of mass from glaciers and ice caps. IPCC (2007) had given sea level rise estimates that range between 18 and 59 cm up to the end of the next century (excluding future rapid dynamical changes in ice flow for each scenario family). For the Fifth Assessment Report of IPCC, the scientific community has defined a set of four new scenarios, denoted Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP). These four RCPs include one mitigation scenario leading to a very low forcing level (RCP2.6), two stabilization scenarios (RCP4.5 and RCP6), and one scenario with very high greenhouse gas emissions (RCP8.5). The RCPs can thus represent a range of 21st century climate policies, as compared with the no-climate-policy of the Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) used in the Third Assessment Report and the Fourth Assessment Report. The last assessment report of the IPCC-Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC AR5, 2013) has given new estimates of sea level rise for different Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) scenario. These are shown in table.1. Some published papers give new estimates on global sea level rise. Rahmstorf (2007) gives an estimate of 50-140 cm, later corrected to 75-190 cm (Vermeer and Rahmstorf, 2009). Horton et al., (2008) estimate 54-89 cm (acknowledging that this could be a lower limit), and Jevrejeva et al., (2010) estimate the sea level

rise between 60 and 160 cm. It can be noticed that all estimates are substantially higher than the estimate of AR4. Jevrejeva *et al.*, (2011), use a physically reasonable sea level model constrained by observations, and forced with four new Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP) radioactive forcing scenarios (Moss *et al.*, 2010) to project median sea level rises of 0.57 for the lowest forcing and 1.10 m for the highest forcing by 2100 which rise to 1.84 and 5.49 m respectively by 2500. He also reported that Sea level will continue to rise for several centuries even after stabilisation of radioactive forcing with most of the rise after 2100 due to the long response time of sea level. Although the science of sea level rise has grown rapidly over the past two decades but the major challenges are significant predictions of sea level rise which requires observing systems to be improved and sustained, as well as an interdisciplinary approach by researchers (Josh *et al.*, 2010).

1cport, 2013)					
		2046-2065		2081-2100	
Variable	Scenario	Mean	Likely range	Mean	Likely range
Global Mean	RCP2.6	0.24	0.17 to 0.32	0.40	0.26 to 0.55
Sea Level Rise (m)	RCP4.5	0.26	0.19 to 0.33	0.47	0.32 to .63
	RCP6.0	0.25	0.18 to 0.32	0.47	0.33 to 0.63
	RCP8.5	0.30	0.22 to 0.38	0.63	0.63 to 0.82

Table 1: Global sea level rise projection based on various RCP scenarios (IPCC fifth assessment report, 2013)

1.4 Impacts of Sea Level Rise

The impacts of sea level rise are scale-dependent and it will unevenly distribute among and within nations, regions, communities (Clark *et al.*, 1998). Sea level rise would increase the susceptibility of coastal populations and ecosystems through the permanent inundation of low-lying regions, amplification of episodic flooding events, and increased beach erosion and saline intrusion (Mclean *et al.*, 2000). The increased sea-surface temperature would also result in frequent and intensified cyclonic activity and associated storm surges affecting the coastal zones (Unnikrishnan *et al.*, 2006). The rising sea level endangers several smaller island nations, such as Tuvalu, Maldives, etc., which are barely 2 m above the sea level (Brown 2001). Millions of people in low lying regions of many other countries including Bangladesh, China (Strohecker, 2008), and Vietnam (Tanh and Furukawa, 2007) face the danger of being displaced. Ultimately, this may lead to the displacement of millions of people, significant damage to property and infrastructure, and a considerable loss of coastal ecosystems by the end of the 21st Century (Nicholls and Lowe, 2004). Protection of life, property and coastal environment along the coast are major causes of concern.

2. Coastal Vulnerability

The term "vulnerability" is used among a wide range of scientific discipline and policymakers from different aspects (Zou and Thomalla, 2008). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC-CZMS, 1992) defines vulnerability of coastal zones by their degree of incapability to cope with the impacts of climate change and accelerated sea-level rise. So the vulnerability assessment comprising of susceptibility of the coastal zone to physical changes, potential impacts on socioeconomic and ecological systems, and the adaptation options (Harvey *et al.*, 1999). According to Blaikie *et al.*, 1994, vulnerability means: "the characteristics of a person or group and their situation that influence their capacity to anticipate, cope with, resist and recover from the impact of a natural hazard (an extreme natural event or process)". Hence, vulnerability assessment includes a combination of factors that determine the degree to which someone's life, livelihood, property and other assets are put at risk by a discrete and identifiable event in nature and in society.

Table 2: Summary of coastal vulnerability indices, their geographical application and the variables needed to implement them (Modified from Abuodha and Woodroffe, 2007). International approaches

Index	Geographical application	Variable considered	Reference
Coastal vulnerability index (CVI) Coastal	USA USA	Relief, rock type, landform, vertical land movement, shoreline displacement, wave energy, tidal range Historic shoreline erosion	Gornitz and Kanciruk, 1989; Gornitz, 1991; Gornitz <i>et al.</i> , 1991 Thieler and Hammer,
vulnerability index (CVI)		rates, geomorphology, relative rates of sea-level rise, coastal slope, wave height, tidal range	1999
Coastal vulnerability index (CVI)	USA	Geomorphology, regional coastal slope, rate of relative sea-level rise, historical shoreline change rates, mean tidal range and mean significant wave height.	Pendleton et al., 2004
Coastal vulnerability index (CVI)	Greece	Coastal slope, displacement, geomorphology, wave height and tidal range	Doukakis, 2005
Social vulnerability index (SoVI)	USA	Principal components analysis of Census-derived social data	Boruff et al., 2005
Coastal vulnerability Index	Coast of the Buenos Aires Province, Argentina	Elevation, Geology, Geomorphology, Shoreline erosion/accretion, Mean tide range, and mean wave height.	Diez et al., 2007
Coastal social vulnerability score (CSoVI)	USA	Combination of CVI and SoVI	Boruff <i>et al.</i> , 2005
Sensitivity index (SI)	Canada	Relief, sea-level trend, geology, coastal landform, shoreline displacement, wave energy, tidal range	Shaw <i>et al.</i> , 1998
Erosion hazard index	Canada	As SI, plus exposure, storm surge water level, slope	Forbes et al., 2003
Risk matrix	South Africa	Location, infrastructure (economic value), hazard	Hughes and Brundrit, 1992
Sustainable capacity index (SCI)	South Africa	Vulnerability and resilience of natural, cultural, institutional, infrastructural, economic and human factors	Yamada <i>et al.</i> , 1995
Sensitivity index	Ireland	Shoreface slope, coastal features, coastal structures, access, land use	Carter, 1990

Review Article

Regarding vulnerability, Klein and Nicholls (1999) said that vulnerability is the degree of capability to cope with the consequences of climate change and sea-level rise. According to them the concept of vulnerability comprises:

1. The susceptibility of a coastal area to the physical and ecological changes imposed by sea-level rise;

2. The potential impacts of these natural systems changes on the socioeconomic system;

3. The capacity to cope with the impacts, including the possibilities to prevent or reduce impacts via adaptation measures. (This last factor is often termed 'adaptive capacity').

The more suitable definition given by IPCC Fourth Assessment Report 2007, in relation with climate change and sea level rise, as: "the degree to which a system is susceptible to, or unable to cope with, adverse effects of climate change, including climate variability and extremes. Vulnerability is a function of the character, magnitude, and rate of climate variation to which a system is exposed, its sensitivity, and its adaptive capacity (IPCC, 2007)". Hence, vulnerability is a function of exposure, sensitivity and adaptive capacity (figure 1). Stockholm Environment Institute defined vulnerability as the degree to which an exposure unit (e.g. social group or ecosystem) is susceptible to harm due to exposure to a perturbation or stress, and the ability (or lack thereof) of the exposure unit to cope, recover, or adapt (Zou and Thomalla, 2008). The present study considers vulnerability is the degree of loss resulting from the occurrence of the phenomena and vulnerability mapping is basically an estimation of coastal landforms which are vulnerable to predict the sea level rise.

2.1 Tools and Techniques of Coastal Vulnerability Assessment

The extensive research has been carried out in the past two decades by many researchers on potential and observed impacts of climate change on natural and social systems (McCarthy *et al.*, 2001). Its major focus is coastal zone particularly impacts and adaptation of climate change and sea level rise as well as the sustainable coastal management. Several international approaches have been developed to assess the vulnerability of coastal zone due to climate change and sea level rise (Abuodha *et al.*, 2006). These are follows:

1. IPCC Common Methodology (CM)

2. Coastal vulnerability index

3. Global Vulnerability Assessment (GVA)

4. Bruun rule

5. The Synthesis and Upscaling of Sea-level Rise Vulnerability Assessment (SURVAS)

6. Land and wetland loss assessment

7. Dynamic Interactive Vulnerability Assessment (DIVA)

8. Simulator of Climate Change Risks and Adaptation Initiatives (SimCLIM)

9. Community Vulnerability Assessment Tool (CVAT)

10. Coastal Zone Simulation Model (COSMO)

11. South Pacific Island Methodology (SPIM)

12. Shoreline Management Planning (SMP).

Coastal vulnerability index assessment has been discussed in details.

2.2 Coastal Vulnerability Index Assessment

A coastal vulnerability index (CVI) was used to map the relative vulnerability of the different segment of the coast due to sea-level rise. The CVI ranks the variable consider under study. The rankings for each variable were combined and an index value calculated. The CVI method yields numerical data that cannot be linked directly with particular physical effects but it highlights those coastal segments where the effects of sea-level rise might be the greatest, i.e. where there is the greatest chance that physical changes will occur as sea-level rises.

The simplest of these are assessments of the physical vulnerability of the coast, while the more complex also examine aspects of economic and social vulnerability. The advantages of using CVI method are simple, hasty and reliable. A summary of various indices applied in international and national are shown in Table1 & Table2.

Review Article

2.3 International Approach

The Coastal Vulnerability Index (CVI) was first developed by Gornitz and Kanciruk (1989) to climate change, particularly sea-level rise for United States coastal considering inundation, flooding and susceptibility to erosion. Many coastal vulnerability index (CVI) or coastal sensitivity index (CSI) has been developed with modifications to the original CVI of Gornitz and Kanciruk (1989) (Abuodha and Woodroffe, 2006). Gornitz (1991) had included seven parameters in the vulnerability of U.S west coastline. They are (1) Coastal geomorphology, (2) Coastal relief (m), (3) Shoreline change (m/yr), (4) Mean tidal range (m), (5) mean wave height (m), (6) Sea level change (mm/yr) and (7) Rock type. In 1991, Gornitz also suggested that CVI could be applied on a global scale and could be improved by using the variables of storm frequency, how much population at risk. Coastal sensitivity index (CSI) calculated by Carter et al., (1990) for Ireland coast considering shore face slope, features, coastal structures, access and land use. Carter's approach has been followed by Shaw et al., (1998) to calculate a coastal sensitivity index for the coast of Canada. Manson et al., (2005), applied sensitivity index for western Canadian Arctic coast. A similar approach has been applied by Rachold et al., (2000) for Arctic coastal dynamic project. The distinction between CVI and CSI was done by Abuodha et al., (2010). They said that CSI is assessing only physical aspects of the coast and not socio-economic variables, such as population. Vulnerability is understood in terms of people being vulnerable and so needs socio-economic variable. Apart from the difference in terminology, both CSI and CVI use same methodology. Thieler and Hammer-Klose (1999) developed a coastal vulnerability index for USA coast using historic shoreline erosion rates, geomorphology, relative rates of sea level rise, coastal slope, wave height, tidal range. Pendleton et al., (2004) had considered the above parameter to assess the CVI of USA coast. Similar parameters had been used by Dukakis (2005) for CVI calculation of western pelleponese in Grece coast. Deiz et al., (2007) had considered elevation (instead of slope) but he did not consider sea level change as a vulnerable factor. Erosion hazards index has been developed by Forbes et al., (2003) for Canadian coast using sensitivity index and plus exposure, storm surge water level, slope variable. Hughes and Brundrit (1992) calculated Risk matrix for South Africa coast using location, infrasture (economic value) and hazard. Yamada et al., (1995) used vulnerability and resilience of natural, cultural, institutional, infrasture, economic and human factor for sustainable capacity index (SCI) for South Africa coast. Boruff et al., (2005) assessed the social vulnerability index (SoVI) using principal components analysis (PCA) of socio-economic variables on a coastal area USA. He also developed coastal vulnerability score (CSoVI) for USA coast by combine of CVI and SoVI. Abuodha et al., (2010) calculated Coastal sensitivity index (CSI) of Illawarra coast, southeast Australia, using nine variables, namely (a) rock type, (b) coastal slope (c) geomorphology (d) barrier type (e) shoreline exposure (f) shoreline change (g) relative sea level rise (h) mean wave height and (j) mean tide range. The municipality-wide vulnerability map of Aveiro's Lagoon was prepared by Alves et al., (2011) using GIS techniques considering nine parameters.

These are distance to the shoreline, topographic elevation, geology, geomorphology, land cover, wave height, tide levels, past occurrences of erosion/accretion and artificialization due to anthropogenic actions. Papanastassiou *et al.*, classified the coast of the Argolikos Gulf according to its vulnerability to an anticipated future sea-level rise, using the Coastal Vulnerability Index and utilizing GIS technology considering six physical variables: geomorphology, coastal slope, relative sea-level rise rate, shoreline erosion or accretion rate, mean tidal range and mean wave height. Palmer *et al.*, (2011) used five physical parameters namely: beach width, dune width, percentage rocky outcrop, distance (width) of vegetation behind the back beach and distance to the 20m isobaths for assessing the relative coastal vulnerability of the KwaZulu-Natal coast, South Africa.

A GIS based coastal vulnerability index for wave-induced erosion in Northern Ireland has been developed by McLaughlin *et al.*, (2002) incorporating of socioeconomic variables. The overall index constitutes of the sum of three sub-indexes such as coastal characteristics, coastal forcing and socio-economic subindex. The variables of socio-economic sub-index were Population, Cultural heritage, Roads, Railways, Land use, Conservation status.

Review Article

2.4 National Approach

The vulnerability of the Indian coastal region to the consequences of the estimated sea level rise due to the greenhouse effect is studied by Shetye *et al.*, (1990), Diksha *et al.*, and Belperio *et al.*, (2001) considered elevation, exposure, aspect, and slope as the physical parameters for assessing the coastal vulnerability to sea-level rise and concluded that coastal vulnerability is strongly correlated with elevation and exposure.

needed to impleme	nt them. National appro	paches	
Coastal	Udupi coast in	Shore-line change rate, sea-level change	Dwarakish et
vulnerability	Karnataka state, along	rate, coastal slope, mean tidal range,	al., 2009
index (CVI)	the west coast of	coastal geomorphology.	
	India,		
Coastal	Chennai, India	a) Shoreline Change Rate (m/yr); b) Mean	Arun and
vulnerability		Sea Level Change Rate (mm/yr); c)	Pravin, 2012
index (CVI)		Significant Wave Height (m); d) Mean	
		Tidal Range (m); e) Coastal Regional	
		Elevation; f) Near-shore	
		Bathymetry; g) Geomorphic units; h)	
		Strom Surges (m).	
Coastal	Orissa coast, India	Geomorphology, coastal slope, shoreline	Srinivasa et
vulnerability		change, mean tidal range, significant	al., 2010
index (CVI)		wave height, tsunami run up, coastal	
		regional elevation, sea level change.	
Coastal	Andhra Pradesh, India	Geomorphology, coastal slope, shoreline	Rao <i>et al.</i> ,
vulnerability		change, mean tidal range, significant	2008
index (CVI)		wave height,	
Coastal	Cuddalore –	shore-line change rate, sea-level change	Mahendra et
vulnerability	Villupuram, east	rate, coastal slope, tidal range, coastal	al., 2011
index (CVI)	coast of India	regional elevation, storm surge	
Coastal	Mangalore coast,	Geomorphology, regional coastal slope,	Hegde and
vulnerability	India.	shoreline change rates, and population.	Raju, 2007
index (CVI)			
Coastal	Puducherry coast.	physical-geological parameters (slope,	Murali <i>et al.</i> ,
vulnerability	India	geomorphology, elevation, shoreline	2013
index (Physical		change, sea level rise, significant wave	
vulnerability		height and tidal range) and four socio-	
index (PVI) as		economic factors (population, Land-	
well as the Socio-		use/Land-cover (LU/LC), roads and	
economic		location of tourist places	
vulnerability			
index (SVI))			

Table 3: Summary of coastal vulnerability indices, their geographical application and the variables
needed to implement them. National approaches

To identify the potential vulnerable coastal stretch of cochin, south west India for sea level rise has been studied by Dinesh *et al.*, (2006) and Rajawat *et al.*, (2006) delineated the hazard line along the Indian coast using data on coastline displacement, tide, waves, and elevation. Coastal vulnerability index (CVI) has been calculated by Hegde and Raju (2007) to understand the relative vulnerability of the various segments of the Mangalore coast to coastal erosion hazards considering geomorphology, regional coastal slope, shoreline change rates, and population. However, they also suggested that the CVI could be

Review Article

improved by adding more parameters such as wave height, tidal range, and probability of the storm. Coastal vulnerability index CVI of Andhra Pradesh coast have been developed by Rao et al., (2008) using (1) Coastal geomorphology, (2) Coastal slope (%), (3) Shoreline change (m/y), (4) Mean spring tide range (m), and (5) Significant wave height (m). Rao et al., (2008) considered coastal slope to be a better variable than elevation in vulnerability studies since the elevation refers to point where as slope refer to an area. Dwarakish et al., (2009) calculated CVI for Udupi coastal zone of Karnataka from shoreline change rate, sea-level change rate, coastal slope, mean tidal range, coastal geomorphology. Srinivasa Kumar et al., (2010) has been assessed the coastal vulnerability index for Orissa coast using eight variables; where tsunami run up, coastal regional elevation and sea level change were included along with the above 5 variables. The multi-hazard coastal vulnerability along the Cuddalore-Villupuram, east coast of India has been calculated by Mahendra et al., (2011) using geospatial techniques and considering the parameters: shoreline change rate, sea-level change rate, coastal slope, tidal range, coastal regional elevation, storm surge etc. Arun et al., (2012) developed Coastal Vulnerability Index (CVI) for Chennai coast using eight relative risk variables to know the high and low vulnerable areas, area of inundation due to future SLR, and land loss due to coastal erosion. These variable are a) Shoreline Change Rate (m/yr); b) Mean Sea Level Change Rate (mm/yr); c) Significant Wave Height (m); d) Mean Tidal Range (m); e) Coastal Regional Elevation; f) Near-shore Bathymetry; g) Geomorphic units; h) Strom Surges (m). An Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP) based approach to calculate the coastal vulnerability index along the Puducherry coast has been studied by Murali et al., (2013). Seven physical-geological parameters such as slope, geomorphology, elevation, shoreline change, sea level rise, significant wave height and tidal range) and four socio-economic factors such as population, Land-use/Land-cover (LU/LC), roads and location of tourist places) are considered to measure the Physical Vulnerability Index (PVI) as well as the Socio-economic Vulnerability Index (SVI). Based on the weights and scores derived using AHP, vulnerability maps are prepared to demarcate areas with very low, medium and high vulnerability. The coastal vulnerability index (CVI) has been calculated by combining of both PVI and SVI values.

CONCLUSION

The present day the sea level rise a great topic of discussion because of its acceleration and have potential of huge impacts on the low lying coastal zone, because of the coastal zone is highly resourceful, dynamic and highly densely populated. So, it needs the proper management of coastal areas, keeping in mind the climate change and global warming induced accelerating sea level rise. The coastal vulnerability index highlights those coastal segments where the effects of sea-level rise might be the greatest, i.e. where there is the greatest chance that physical changes will occur as sea-level rises. Hence, the coastal vulnerability assessment is a primary step in coastal zone management.

REFERENCES

Abuodha PA and Woodroffe CD (2006). International Assessments of the Vulnerability of the Coastal Zone to Climate Change, Including an Australian Perspective, Australian Greenhouse Office, Department of the Environment and Heritage 75, [online] Available: http://ro.uow.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1188&context=scipapers. (Accesses on 10th January, 2013).

Allen JC and Komar PD (2006). Climate controls on US west coast erosion processes. *Journal Coastal Research* 22 511-529.

Alves FL, Coelho C, Coelho C D and Pinto P (2011). Modelling Coastal Vulnerabilities – Tool for Decision Support System at Inter-municipality Level. *Journal of Coastal Research* 64 966-970.

Arun K and Pravin DK (2012). Coastal vulnerability assessment for Chennai, east coast of India using geospatial techniques. *Natural Hazards* 64 853-872.

Belperio T, Bourman B, Bryan B and Harvey N (2001). Distributed process modeling for regional assessment of coastal vulnerability to sea-level rise. *Environmental Modeling and Assessment* 6(1) 57–65.

Review Article

Blaikie P, Cannon T, Davis I and Wisner B (1994). At Risk: Natural Hazards, People's Vulnerability and Disasters. Routledge, London.

Boruff BJ, Emrich C and Cutter SL (2005). Erosion Hazard Vulnerability of US Coastal Counties. *Journal of Coastal Research* **21**(5) 932-942.

Brown LR (2001). Rising sea level forcing evacuation of island country. Earth Policy Inst. Available: http://www.earth-policy.org/Updates/Update2.htm, (Accessed on 10 Aug 2008).

Carter RWG (1990). The impact on Ireland of changes in mean sea level; programme of expert studies in climate change 2, Department of the Environment, Dublin 128.

Cazenave A, Dominh K, Guienhut S, Berthier E, Llovel W, Ramillien G, Ablain M and Larnicol G (2008). Sea level budget over 2003–2008: A re evaluation from GRACE space gravimetry and Argo. *Global and Planetary Change.*

Chen JL, Wilson CR and Tapley BD (2006). Satellite gravity measurements confirm accelerated melting of Greenland ice sheet. *Science* **313** 1958-1960.

Chen JL, Wilson CR and Tapley BD et al., (2008). Antarctic regional ice loss rates from GRACE. Earth Planet Science Letter 266 140-148

Church J, Gregory JM, Huybrechts P, Kuhn M, Lambeck K, Nhuan MT, Qin D and Woodworth PL (2001). Changes in sea level. Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, edited by Houghton JT *et al.*, Cambridge University Press 639–693.

Church JA and White NJ (2006). A 20th century acceleration in global sea-level rise. *Geophysical Research Letters* 33.

Clark GE, Moser SC, Ratick SJ, Dow K, Meyer WB, Emani S, Jin W, Kasperson JX, Kasperson RE and Schwarz HE (1998). Assessing the Vulnerability of Coastal Communities to Extreme Storms: The Case of Revere, MA., USA. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* **3** 59-82.

Crooks S and Turner RK (1999). Integrated coastal management: sustaining estuarine natural resources. *Advances in Ecological Research* **29** 241-289.

Das and Radhakrishna (1993). Trends and Pole Tide in Indian Tide gauge Records, In: *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences. Earth and Planetary Sciences*.

Diez PG, Perillo GME and Piccolo MC (2007). Vulnerability to sea-level rise on the coast of Buenos Aires province. *Journal of Coastal Research* **23** 119-126.

Dinesh KPK (2006). Potential vulnerability implications of sea level rise for the coastal zones of Cochin, southwest coast of India. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* **123** 333–344.

Douglas BC and Peltier WR (2002). The puzzle of global sea-level rise. *Physics Today*, Available at: http://www.aip.org/web2/aiphome/pt/vol-55/iss-3/p35.html, (Accesses on 15th April, 2013).

Douglas BC, Kearney MS and Leatherman SR (2001). Sea Level Rise: History and Consequences. Academic Press 232.

Douglas BC (1991). Global Sea Level Rise. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 96(C4) 6981-6992.

Doukakis E (2005). Coastal vulnerability and risk parameters. European Water 11/12 3-7.

Dwarakish GS, Vinay SA, Natesan U, Asano T, Kakinuma T, Venkataramana K, Jagadeesha Pai B and Babita MK (2009). Coastal vulnerability assessment of the future sea level rise in Udupi coastal zone of Karnataka state, west coast of India. *Ocean & Coastal Management* 52(9) 467-478.

Emery KO and Aubrey DG (1989). Tide gauges of India. Journal of Coastal Research 5 489-501.

Fjeldskaar W (2008). Web commentaries on sea-level rise, Available at: http://www.geoportalen.no/planetenjorden/klima/sealevel/.(Accesses on 10th February, 2010).

Forbes DL, Taylor RB, Solomon SM, Craymer M, Manson GK, Dyke AS and Hodgson DA (2003). Crustal motion, climate change and coastal morphodynamics in the western Canadian Arctic. International Conference on Arctic margins, September 30-October 3, 2003, *Geological Survey of Canada (Atlantic)*, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada, Abstract 3.

Review Article

Gehrels W, Marshall W, Gehrels M, Larsen G, Kirby J, Eiríksson J, Heinemeier J and Shimmield T (2006). Rapid sea-level rise in the North Atlantic Ocean since the first half of the nineteenth century. *The Holocene* **16** 949–965.

Gornitz V, White TW and Cushman RM (1991). Vulnerability of the US to future sea level rise, Coastal Zone '91, Proceedings of the 7th Symposium on Coastal and Ocean Management, *American Society of Civil Engineers* 1345-1359.

Gornitz V and Kanciruk P (1989). Assessment of global coastal hazards from sea-level rise. *Proceedings of the 6th Symposium on Coastal and Ocean management, ASCE*, July 11-14 1989, Charleston, SC.

Gornitz V (1991). Global coastal hazards from future sea level rise. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 89 379-398.

Hansen J, Ruedy R and Sato M et al., (2001). A closer look at United States and global surface temperature change. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 106 23947-23963.

Harvey N, Clouston B and Carvalho P (1999). Improving coastal vulnerability assessment methodologies for integrated coastal zone management: An approach from South Australia. Australian Geographical Studies 37(1) 50-69.

Hegde AV and Raju VR (2007). Development of coastal vulnerability index for Mangalore coast, India. *Journal of Coastal Research* **23** 1106–1111.

Hoffman JS (1984). Estimates of future sea level rise. Available at: http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/effects/coastal/SLRChallenge.html.

Holgate SJ, Jevrejeva S, Woodworth PL and Brewer S (2007). Comment on A semi-empirical approach to projecting future sea-level rise. *Science* 317(1) 866.

Horton R, Herweijer C, Rosenzweig C, Liu J, Gornitz V and Ruane AC (2008). Sea level rise projections for current generation CGCMs based on the semi-empirical method. *Geophysical Research Letters* **35** L02715.

Hoyle B (2008). Accounting for climate ills. Nature reports climate change 43.

Hughes P and Brundrit GB (1992). An index to assess South Africa's vulnerability to sea-level rise. South African Journal of Science 88 308-311.

IPCC (2007). Summary for Policymakers. In: *Climate Change 2007: the Physical Science Basis*, edited by Soloman SD, Manning QM, Chen Z, Miller HL. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Cambridge University Press, Cambridge pp 1-18.

IPCC (2013). Summary for Policymakers. The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Online Accessed from: http://www.climatechange2013.org/images/uploads/WGIAR5-PM_Approved27Sep2013.pdf.

IPCC SAR WG1 (1996). *Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change*, edited by Houghton JT, Meira FLG, Callander BA, Harris N, Kattenberg A and Maskell K, Contribution of Working Group I to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press.

IPCC TAR SYR (2001). In: *Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report*, edited by Watson RT and the Core Writing Team, Contribution of Working Groups I, II, and III to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press.

IPCC (2001). *Climate change 2001: the scientific basis,* edited by Houghton JT, Ding Y, Griggs DJ, Noguer M, van der Linden PJ, Dai X, Maskell K and Johnson CA. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, USA, 2001.

IPCC-CZMS (1992). Global Climate Change and the Rising Challenge of the Sea. Report of the Coastal Zone Management Subgroup, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, The Hague, Netherlands.

Review Article

Jevrejeva S, Moore JC and Grinsted A (2010). How will sea level respond to changes in natural and anthropogenic forcings by 2100? *Geophysical Research Letters* **37** L07703.

Jevrejeva S, Moore JC and Grinsted A (2011). Sea level projections to AD2500 with a new generation of climate change scenarios. *Global and Planetary Change* **75**(2).

Jie Y, Zhane Y, Jun W and Shiyuan Xu (2012). National assessment of coastal vulnerability to sealevel rise for the Chinese coast. *Journal of Coastal Conservation* 16 123-133.

Kalliopi GP, Efthimios Karymbalis, Serafim EP, Archonto S and Chrysanthi Z (2010). Coastal vulnerability assessment to sea-level rise based on geomorphological and oceanographical parameters: the case of Argolikos Gulf, Peloponnese, Greece. *Hellenic Journal of Geosciences* **45** 109-122.

Kemp AC, Horton BP, Culver SJ, Corbett DR, Van de Plassche O, Gehrels WR, Douglas BC and Parnell AC (2009). Timing and magnitude of recent accelerated sea-level rise (North Carolina, United States). *Geology* 37(11) 1,035–1,038.

Ketchum BH (1972). The water's edge: critical problems of the coastal zone. In: Coastal Zone Workshop, 22 May-3 June 1972 Woods Hole, Massachusetts, Cambridge: MIT Press.

Klein RJT and Nicholls R J (1999). Assessment of coastal vulnerability to sea-level rise. *Ambio* 28 182-187.

Lambeck K, Esat T and Potter E (2002). Links between climate and sea levels for the past three million years. *Nature* **419** 199–206.

Lauretta B, Yumiko K, Ken K, Carmen R, Mark S and Don M (2001). Pilot Analysis of Global Ecosystems: Coastal Ecosystems, World Resources Institute, Washington D.C.

Lenoir J, Gegout JC, Marquet PA *et al.*, (2008). A significant upward shift in plant species optimum elevation during the 20th century. *Science* 320 1768-1771

Leuliette EW, Nerem RS and Mitchum GT (2004). Results of TOPEX/Poseidon and Jason-1 calibration to construct a continuous record of mean sea level. *Marine Geodesy* 27 79–94.

Mahendra RS, Mohanty PC, Bisoyi H, Srinivasa KT, Nayak S (2011). Assessment and management of coastal multi-hazard vulnerability along the Cuddalore–Villupuram, east coast of India using geospatial techniques. *Ocean & Coastal Management* **54**(4) 302-311.

McCarthy JJ, Canziani OF, Leary NA, Dokken DJ and White KS (2001). Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

McLaughlin S, McKenna J and Cooper JAG (2002). Socio-economic data in coastal vulnerability indices: constraints and opportunities. *Journal of Coastal Research* 36 487-497.

Mclean RF et al., (2001). Coastal Zones and Marine Ecosystems. In: Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability, edited by McCarthy JJ et al., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 343-379.

Meehl GA, Washington WM and Collins WD *et al.*, (2005). How much more global warming and sea level rise. *Science* 307 1769-1772.

Murali RM, Ankita M, Amrita S and Vethamony P (2013). Coastal vulnerability assessment of puducherry coast, India using analytical hierarchical process. *Natural Hazards, earth system Science Discussion* 1 509-559.

Nicholls RJ and Lowe JA (2004). Benefits of Mitigation of Climate Change for Coastal Areas. *Global Environmental Change* 14 229-244.

Nicholls RJ and Small C (2002). Improved Estimates of Coastal Population Exposure to *Hazards Released. EOS Transactions* 83 303-305.

Nicholls RJ (2003). Case Study on Sea Level Rise Impacts. Prepared for OECD workshop on the Benefits of Climate Policy: Improving Information for Policy Makers: December 12-13, 2002, Working Party on Global and Structural Policies, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris.

Palmer BJ, Van der Elst R, Mackay F, Mather AA, Smith AM, Bundy SC, Thackeray Z, Leuci R and Parak O (2011). Preliminary coastal vulnerability assessment for KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Journal of Coastal Research* 64 1390-1395.

Review Article

Pamela AOA and Woodroffe CD (2010). Assessing vulnerability to sea-level rise using a coastal sensitivity index: a case study from southeast Australia. *Journal of Coastal Conservation* **14** 189–205.

Parry M, Palutikof J, Hanson C and Lowe J (2008). Squaring up to reality. *Nature Reports climate change* **2** 68.

Pendleton EA, Thieler ER and Williams SJ (2004). Coastal vulnerability assessment of Cape Hettaras National Seashore (CAHA) to sea level rise. *USGS Open File Report 2004*, 1064.

Pielke RA Jr, Prins G, Rayner S and Sarewitz D (2007). Lifting the taboo on adaption. *Nature* 445 597-598.

Piontek F, Muller C and Pugh TAM *et al.*, (2013). Multisectoral climate impacts in a warming world. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.

Pugh DT (2004). Changing sea levels. Effects of tides, weather and climate, Cambridge University Press 280.

Rahmstorf S (2007). A semi-empirical approach to projecting future sea-level rise. *Science* 315 368-370. Rajawat AS, Bhattacharya S, Jain S, Gupta M, Jayaprasad P, Tamilarasan V, Ajai and Nayak S (2006). Coastal Vulnerability Mapping for the Indian Coast. Second International Symposium on Geoinformation for Disaster Management (Dona Paula, Goa, India, International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing).

Rao N, Subraelu KP, Rao VT, Malini HB, Ratheesh R, Bhattacharya S, Rajawat AS and Ajai (2008). Sea-level rise and coastal vulnerability: an assessment of Andhra Pradesh coast, India through remote sensing and GIS. *Journal of Coastal Conservation* 12 195-207.

Rashid H, Robert S and Neville A (2009). Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Current State andTrends1,London,Islandpress,[online]Availablefrom:(http://www.unep.org/maweb/documents/document.288.aspx.pdf), (Access on 10th June, 2013).

Rignot E, Bamber JL and Van der Broeke MR *et al.*, (2008). Recent Antarctic ice mass loss from radar interferometry and regional climate modeling. *Nature Geoscience* **1** 106-110

Shankar D and Shetye SR (2001). Why is mean sea level along the Indian coast higher in the Bay of Bengal than in the Arabian Sea? *Geophysical Research Letter* 28 563-565.

Shaw J, Taylor RB, Forbes DL, Ruz MH and Solomon S (1998). Sensitivity of the coasts of Canada to sea-level rise. *Bulletin of the Geological Survey of Canada* 505 1-79.

Shetye SR, Gouveia AD, Shenoi\ SSC, Sundar D, Michael GS, Almeida AM and Santanam K (1990). Hydrography and circulation off the west coast of India during the south west monsoon 1987. *Journal of Marine Research* **48** 359-378

Sorensen JC and McCreary ST (1990). Institutional arrangements for managing of coastal resources and environments, Coastal management publication, No.1 (Rev.), NPS/US AID Series, National Park service, Office of International Affairs, Washington, D.C. 194.

Srinivasa KT, Mahendra RS, Nayak S, Radhakrishnan K, Radhakrishnan KC (2010). Coastal Vulnerability Assessment for Orissa state, East coast of India. *Journal of Coastal Research* 26(3) 523-534.

Stroeve J, Holland MM and Meier W *et al.*, (2007). Arctic sea ice decline: faster than forecast. *Geophysical Research Letter* **34** L09501.

Strohecker K (2008). World sea levels to rise 1.5 m by 2100: scientists, an Environmental News Network and Reuter's publication. Available: http://www.enn.com/wildlife/article/34702, (Accessed on 24 July 2008).

Tanh PTT and Furukawa M (2007). Impact of sea level rise on coastal zone of Vietnam. *Bulletin of the Faculty of Science, University of the Ryukyus* **84** 45–59.

Thieler ER and Hammer-Klose ES (1999). National assessment of coastal vulnerability to sea level rise: preliminary results for the U.S. Atlanta coast. *USGS, Open File Report 99*, 593.

Review Article

Titus JG (1990). Greenhouse effect, sea level rise, and barrier islands: case study of long beach island, New Jersey. Available: http://yosemite.epa.gov/oar/globalwarming.nsf/content/ResourceCenter PublicationsSLRBarrier_Islands.html (Accesses on 20th January, 2011).

Trenberth KE, Jones PD and Ambenje P *et al.*, (2007). Observations: Surface and Atmospheric Climate Change. In: *Climate Change 2007. The Physical Science Basis*, edited by Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M *et al.*, Contribution of WG 1 to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Cambridge University Press. Cambridge 235-336.

Unnikrishnan AS and Shankar D (2007). Are sea-level-rise trends along the coasts of the north Indian Ocean consistent with global estimates? *Global Planetary Change* **57**(3-4) 301-307.

Unnikrishnan AS, Rup KK, Fernandes SE *et al.*, (2006). Sea level changes along the Indian coast: observations and projections. *Current Science* 90 362–368.

Unnikrishnan AS, Rupa Kumar K, Fernandes SE, Michael GS and Patwardhan SK (2006). Sea level changes along the Indian coast: Observations and Projections. *Current Science* **90** 362-368.

Vermeer M and Rahmstorf S (2009). Global sea level linked to global temperature. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.

Warren R, Van Der Wal J, Price J, Welbergen JA and Atkinson I *et al.*, (2013). Quantifying the benefit of early climate change mitigation in avoiding biodiversity loss. *Nature Climate Change*.

Willis JK, Chamber DPS, Chung-Yen Kuo and Shum CK (2010). Global sea level rise- recent progress and challenges for the decade to come. *Oceanography* 23(4).

Yamada K, Nunn PD, Mimura N, Machida S and Yamamoto M (1995). Methodology for the assessment of vulnerability of South Pacific island countries to sea-level rise and climate change. *Journal of Global Environmental Engineering* 1 101-125.

Zou L and Thomalla F (2008). The Causes of Social Vulnerability to Coastal Hazards in Southeast Asia, Stockholm Environment Institute: Working Paper, Retrieved from: http://seiinternational.org/mediamanager/documents/Publications/Sustainable-

livelihoods/social_vulnerability_coastal_hazards_thomalla.pdf.