# MOLECULAR MARKERS: MOMENTOUS TOOLS FOR EXPLORING PLANT BIOTECHNOLOGY

### Ritesh Kaur, Amandeep Hora and C.P. Malik\*

School of Life Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur 302017, Rajasthan, India \*Author for Correspondence

#### ABSTRACT

All through the preceding years, the use of molecular markers, for genotyping and revealing polymorphism at the DNA level, is one of the most significant developments in the field of plant biotechnology and their molecular genetic studies. There are different types of markers such as morphological, biochemical and DNA based molecular markers. These DNA based markers are differentiated in two types first non PCR based (RFLP) and second is PCR based markers (RAPD, AFLP, SSR, SNP etc.) amongst others, the microsatellite DNA marker has been the most widely used, due to its easy use by simple PCR, followed by a denaturing gel electrophoresis for allele size determination and to the high degree of information provided by its large number of alleles per locus. Despite this, SNPs CCMPs and DArT are now on the scene and has gained high popularity. Now days, a variety of different genetic markers has been proposed to assess genetic variability as a complementary strategy to more traditional approaches in genetic resources management, in understanding the genomic variability and the diversity between the same as well as different species of the plants. In this review, we will discuss about various types of molecular markers.

Key Words: Genomic Variability, Molecular Markers, Plant Biotechnology, Polymorphism

#### **INTRODUCTION**

During the past few decades's classical strategies of evaluating genetic variability such as comparative anatomy, morphology, embryology and physiology have been increasingly complemented by various molecular techniques which comprises of the analysis of chemical constituents (e.g. plant secondary compounds) and most importantly the characterization of macromolecules. On the basis of polymorphism found in proteins or DNA, the development of molecular markers has greatly facilitated research in a variety of disciplines such as taxonomy, ecology, phylogeny, genetics and plant breeding. Molecular markers have been verified to be a powerful tool to assess the genetic diversity of plants and to investigate genetic factors controlling quantitatively inherited traits. In establishing evolutionary relationship between related species, phylogenetic studies, studying biochemical pathways at the cellular level and in population and conservation genetics, these tools have played a very significant role and have given new insights in the world of plant genomes.

Some inherent properties of the molecular markers make them more suitable and advantageous over morphological markers (Paramita *et al.*, 2012). The efficacy of the molecular marker is derived from these inherent properties which are as follows-

• Genotypes of molecular loci can be determined at a whole plant, tissue and cellular levels whereas phenotypes of most morphological markers can only be distinguished at a whole plant level.

• At molecular loci, relatively large number of naturally occurring alleles can be found. At morphological loci distinguishable alleles occur less frequently and often must be induced through the application of exogenous mutagens.

• Phenotypic neutrality: usually no deleterious effects are associated with alternate alleles of molecular markers. This is not the case with morphological markers which are often accompanied by undesirable phenotypic effects.

### **Review** Article

• Co dominant nature: alleles of most molecular markers are co dominant allowing all possible genotypes to be distinguished in any segregating generation. Alleles at morphological marker loci usually interact in a dominant recessive manner, limiting the use in many crosses.

• Epistatic and pleiotropic effect: Strong epistatic effects limit number of segregating markers that can be unequivocally scored in the segregating generation with morphological loci. Fewer epistatic or pleiotropic effects are observed with molecular markers, thus a virtually limitless number of segregating markers can be monitored in a single population.

Moreover, molecular markers have advantages over other kinds, where they show genetic differences on a more detailed level without interferences from environmental factors, and where they involve techniques that provide fast results detailing genetic diversity (Binneck *et al.*, 2002; Garcia *et al.*, 2004; Saker *et al.*, 2005; Goncalves *et al.*, 2008; Souza *et al.*, 2008). However, the discovery of high throughput platforms increases number of data per run and reducing the cost of the data and increasing map resolution.

The eukaryotic genome comprises of variety of repetitive as well as non repetitive sequences. Molecular methods which reveal these specific DNA sequence distribution patterns are generally described as DNA profiling/fingerprinting methods. DNA fingerprinting has extensive applicability and can resolve individual specific polymorphic patterns. With the help of this, it is promising to trace gene flow amongst the individuals in populations and can also establish genetic relatedness. From multi locus markers, DNA fingerprints are produced and an individual genotype can be identified at the molecular level on the basis of polymorphism in the sequence of its DNA. The various molecular markers can be classified into different groups based on:

1) Mode of transmission (biparental nuclear inheritance, maternal nuclear inheritance, maternal organelle inheritance)

2) Mode of gene action (dominant or co dominant markers) and

3) Methods of analysis (hybridization-based or PCR-based markers).

Large number of molecular markers available these days. Some molecular markers have been discussed that are used to address the questions of diversity and systematic of plants.

### **Biochemical or Protein Based Molecular Markers**

The primary molecular tools for measuring genetic diversity from the late 1960s until the 1980s are protein markers. The composition of proteins is - amino acids linked by covalent peptide bonds which results to form polypeptides. These protein (enzyme-and non-enzyme) based molecular markers provide indirect information about plant genome structure. Molecular markers based on protein polymorphism depend on the migration properties of proteins which allow separation by electrophoresis and revealed by histochemical stains specific to the enzyme to be assayed. In the presence of electric field the changes in the mobility of enzymes reflect changes in the encoding DNA sequences (Sharma *et al.*, 2013).

Two general forms of protein data can be assembled simultaneously using electrophoretic methods. One is derived from isozymes, which are all functionally similar forms of enzymes including all polymers of subunits produced by different gene loci or by different alleles at the same locus. Second data set comprises of allozymes which are a subset of isozyme and are variants of polypeptides representing different allelic alternatives of the same gene locus. Both forms of molecular data are important in molecular systematics and involve proteins that can be separated on the basis of net charge and size.

Protein polymorphisms were the first markers used for genetic studies in livestock. However, the number of polymorphic loci that can be assayed and the level of polymorphisms observed at the loci are often low, which greatly limits their application in genetic diversity studies. With the development of new technologies, DNA polymorphisms have become the markers of choice for molecular-based surveys of genetic variation.

The inheritance in a codominant fashion in allozymes are the main advantages of protein marker, because of this, it is possible to distinguish between plants that are homozygous and heterozygous at a gene locus. Gene frequencies can be calculated by identifying the heterozygotes and homozygotes, and then these frequencies can be used for a comparison of populations for phylogenetic purposes. The analysis are

### **Review** Article

simple, cheap and relatively easy to perform, which makes it possible to study an excessive amount of individuals. Therefore, isozyme (allozyme) has been widely applied for genetic diversity and population structure analysis in a large range of plant species.

The main demerits of protein based molecular markers are- used only to detect variation in protein coding loci and therefore provide fewer markers compared to DNA-based methods. Furthermore, a protein marker often show low variability and in some cases no variability at all due to a low rate of mutational events.

### DNA Based Molecular Markers

The traditional morphological and biochemical markers are very efficiently overcome by DNA based markers because of their advancements. Although, these markers can be used to assess genetic diversity and show little variation at the intra-specific level and they are strongly influenced by environmental factors (Sangwan *et al.*, 2001).

Molecular markers based on DNA sequences detect more polymorphism than morphological and protein based marker and constitute a generation of genetic markers. DNA markers with higher resolving power have been used to discern exact genetic relationships, information on domestication, dispersion and evolution of crop sciences (Gepts, 1993). Therefore, DNA based molecular markers are very often used to obtain more consistent estimates of genetic diversity amongst them. Based on the loci detected and mode of inheritance the molecular markers can be single locus and multilocus. Polymorphism at a single locus detected by this method is characterized, one obtains a DNA genotype from single locus methods. Protein (allozymes and isozymes) and DNA based markers such as RFLP, SSR and SNPs are single locus co dominant markers which are capable of distinguishing allelic variations. Polymorphism identified at multiple loci is multi locus fingerprinting. This can be performed by application of a mixture of single locus probes that identifies multiple similar sequence polymorphisms. RAPD, DAMD, ISSR and AFLP constitutes the dominant (allelic variations cannot be distinguished) multi locus markers.

DNA-based molecular techniques that are utilized to evaluate DNA polymorphism are as follows:

### Hybridization Based DNA Methods

In the hybridization based markers, DNA profiles are visualized by hybridizing the restriction enzyme digested DNA to a labeled probe which is a DNA fragment of known /unknown sequences. Polymorphisms are detected by presence or absence of bands upon hybridization.

### Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP)

RFLP is the most broadly used hybridization-based molecular marker. These markers were first used to identify DNA sequence polymorphisms for genetic mapping of a temperature-sensitive mutation of adeno-virus serotypes. It was then used for human genome mapping and after that adopted for plant genomes. RFLPs are inherited as naturally occurring Mendelian characters and have their DNA rearrangements due to evolutionary processes, point mutations within the restriction enzyme recognition site, mutations within the fragments, and unequal crossing over. The advantage of RFLPs is that they are co dominant markers and are very reliable in linkage analysis and breeding.

The limitations of RFLP marker are that large amount of DNA is required for restriction digestion and Southern blotting. The RFLP is relatively expensive and hazardous due to the requirement of radioactive isotope. The assay is time-consuming and labor-intensive and only one out of several markers may be polymorphic, which is highly inconvenient especially for crosses between closely-related species. Their inability to detect single base changes restricts their use in detecting point mutations occurring within the regions at which they are detecting polymorphism.

### **PCR-based Methods**

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) is the technique of *in vitro* amplification of specific DNA sequences and discovered by Mullis and Faloona (1987) which added a new dimension to the genetic analysis. PCR which is an extremely sensitive and a versatile technique that uses thermostable DNA polymerases which has changed the total scenario of molecular biology and has brought about a crowd of new possibilities in

### **Review** Article

molecular marker research. The technique involves *in vitro* amplification of particular DNA sequence or loci, with the help of specific or arbitrary oligonucleotide primers and the thermostable DNA polymerase enzyme thereby evading the molecular cloning. In this technique the double stranded template DNA is denatured at high temperature to form two single strands of DNA. The short oligonucleotide primers bind at low annealing temperature to these single strands of DNA at complementary motifs in reverse orientation. With the help of thermostable *Taq* DNA polymerase synthesis takes place by primer extension of the target sequence at high temperatures. These newly synthesized target DNA sequences are again denatured at high temperature and the cycle is repeated. The targeted DNA can be amplified exponentially if there is sufficient amount of polymerase, primers and nucleotides in the PCR reaction mix.

Of the various molecular approaches the PCR based technology offers a maximum potential not only for genetic analysis but also for phylogenetic and systematic studies. In case of PCR-based markers the primers of unknown/known sequences and length are used to amplify random or specific genomic DNA segments. These amplified products are then visualized by gel electrophoresis.

### Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequences (CAPS)

The principle behind this technique is that PCR fragments are digested with a restriction enzyme that is sized by gel electrophoresis. Several abbreviations have been created for these techniques which are PCR-RFLP and CAPS (Konieczny and Ausubel, 1993) are more frequently used. CAPS markers are generated in two steps: defined DNA sequence is first amplified by a sequence-specific primer pair and subsequently digested with a restriction enzyme and the resulting fragments are separated by gel electrophoresis. Mutations in the restriction sites can prevent the restriction and thus fragments of differing size are obtained. CAPS approach does not require radioactivity or blotting steps as RFLP but instead exhibits all the attributes of PCR-based techniques. CAPS markers are codominantly inherited thus the possibility of distinguishing homo and heterozygous states makes this procedure particularly attractive for mapping purposes (Drenkard *et al.*, 1998).

### Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD)

William and his coworkers in 1990 developed a PCR-based genetic assay named as Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), which employs single primer usually with 10 nucleotide bases as oligonucleotide primers and a GC content of at least 50% to amplify discrete fragments of DNA in low stringency of polymerase chain reaction. In this method essentially a single primer of arbitrary nucleotide sequence anneals to the genomic DNA, if these primer annealing sites on opposite strands are and within an amplifiable distance (generally less than 3000 bp) of each other then discrete products are formed through thermocyclic amplification. The PCR products are separated on agarose gel by electrophoretic procedure and bands are detected by ethidium bromide staining and visualized in UV transilluminator. The number of amplified products depends on the genome size and the homology between primer and the template DNA sequence. On an average, each primer directs amplification of several discrete loci in the genome, making the assay useful for efficient screening of nucleotide sequence polymorphism between individuals. The presence or absence of the PCR product is assumed to represent mutations in the primer-binding sites of the genomic DNA.

The variants of RAPD procedure are the DAF (DNA Amplification Fingerprinting) that uses very short primers usually 5-8 nucleotides long with either low or high stringency annealing steps and two temperatures instead of three temperatures cycling programme. The resulting fragments are separated on polyacrylamide gels and visualized by silver staining another variant of RAPD procedure is Arbitrarily Primed Polymerase Chain Reaction (AP-PCR) that uses oligonucleotides of 20 or more nucleotides. Here the radiolabelled PCR products are separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and made visible by autoradiography (Welsh and McClelland, 1990).

Among the PCR based DNA marker RAPDs are cost effective, most versatile and relatively easy to perform. The technique requires no prior knowledge of DNA sequence and utilizes minor quantities of

## **Review** Article

DNA material therefore can be applied to even rare plant species. The main limitations encountered with the use of RAPD markers are repeatability of banding patterns and dominant inheritance.

Despite the limitations associated with RAPD markers they have been successfully used in a wide variety of species because of its practical advantages. They have been employed widely for the determination of genetic diversity, phenetic relationships, and the identification of cultivars in number of plant species (Fernandez et al., 2002), estimation of breeding systems (Fritsch and Rosenberg, 1992), patterns of intrapopulation (Roelofs and Bachmann, 1995), conservation genetics (Fritsch and Rieseberg, 1996) etc.

## Inter Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSR)

Inter-SSR (ISSR) genetic markers were developed from the common SSR motifs present in eukaryotic organisms (Gupta et al., 1994; Zietkiewcz et al., 1994) and are tandem repeats of 1-10 bp DNA sequence motif as the "simple sequence repeats", interspersed evenly throughout the genome (Epplen et al., 1991), with regions either unanchored (Wu et al., 1994) or anchored at the 5' or 3' end by two or four arbitrary, often degenerate nucleotides (Fang et al., 1997). The addition of a different base at the 5' or 3' end renders their binding sites more specific and reproducible (Barth et al., 2002). The sequence between the two binding sites in opposite orientation within suitable distance is amplified, and indels within this region and loss or gain of binding sites are detected as band polymorphism. Since these are in abundant throughout the genome and it reveals a much larger number of fragments per primer than RAPD (Wolfe and Liston, 1998). ISSR markers are inherited as dominant genetic markers (Gupta et al., 1994) and are multilocus markers so, no prior DNA sequence information is needed, development costs are low, and laboratory procedures can easily be transferred to any plant species (Barth et al., 2002).

ISSR markers are highly polymorphic and provide a novel quick, reliable and highly informative system for DNA fingerprinting approach and have been extensively used in genetic diversity investigations (Zietkiewicz et al., 1994) and for taxonomy and phylogeny to evaluate genetic relationships (Fernandez et al., 2002). These markers have been used as a mapping tool in a wide range of organisms (Zietkiewicz et al., 1994). Although ISSR markers are highly polymorphic and robust and tend to be evenly distributed throughout the plant genomes, they are dominant (Casasoli et al., 2001) in nature which limit their use in various studies.

### Simple Sequence Repeats (SSR)

Microsatellites are also known as simple sequence repeats SSRs; short tandem repeats (STRs) or simple sequence length polymorphisms SSLPs are the smallest class of simple repetitive DNA sequences. They are known to be universal in prokaryote and eukaryote genomes and are present both in coding and non coding regions. Microsatellites may be 2-8 bp repeats (Armour et al., 1999), 1-6 bp repeats (Goldstein and Pollock, 1997) or even 1–5 bp repeats (Schlotterer, 1998), that occur at multiple sites (upto  $10^5$ ) in eukaryotic genomes (Wang et al., 1994). The repeated sequence is often simple, consisting of two, three or four nucleotides (di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide repeats, respectively). One common example of a microsatellite is a dinucleotide repeat (CA) n, where n refers to the total number of repeats. A key feature of this class of repetitive DNA is an extraordinary high level of variation among taxa, mainly expressed as variation in the copy number of tandem repeats at a particular locus (Geiatlinger et al., 1997), which makes them a very powerful genetic marker in various studies. Microsatellites are abundant and occur frequently and randomly in all eukaryotic nuclear DNAs (Gupta et al., 1996). Microsatellite diversity is detected by amplifying DNA using PCR. Unique conserved sequences or primers flanking microsatellites are used to define the DNA segment that is to be amplified. The resulting DNA fragments are separated according to the size using electrophoresis.

The main advantages of microsatellites include their codominant nature, high abundance, enormous extent of allelic diversity and the ease of assessing size variation by PCR with pairs of flanking primers. The main disadvantage is that sequence information is required for primer design.

### Directed Amplification of Minisatellite DNAs (DAMD)

Minisatellites are tandemly repeated DNA regions of eukaryotic genomes, many of which showed high levels of allelic length variation due to differences in the number of repeated units (Jeffreys *et al.*, 1985). They are dispersed throughout the genome and mutate very frequently. The sequences consist of

#### **Review** Article

repetitive, generally GC-rich, variant repeats that range in length from 10 to over 100 bp which are highly informative as genetic markers that have been used extensively in many areas of genetics. The high abundance of minisatellites in eukaryotic genomes allows the use of minisatellite complementary oligonucleotides as PCR primers to generate numerous polymorphic amplification products. Minisatellites are abundant in humans, animals and plant genomes (Zhou and Gustafson, 1995).

Heath *et al.*, (1993) reported a technique, called directed amplification of minisatellite region DNA (DAMD) to direct the PCR mediated amplification of minisatellite DNA region. The technique involves the primers derived from these minisatellite regions of the genome containing hypervariable regions or Variable Number of Tandem Repeats (VNTRs). It has been speculated that when a portion of a minisatellite DNA array is involved in an inversion, a single primer makes PCR possible for the amplification of minisatellite core region (Heath *et al.*, 1993). The DAMD-PCR technique offers several advantages to other methods (Bebeli *et al.*, 1997). Since minisatellite core sequences which are used as primers are longer than RAPD-PCR primers, DAMD-PCR can be effectively carried out at relatively high stringency reactions.

### Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP)

Vos *et al.*, (1995) described AFLP technology. The key feature of AFLP–PCR is its capacity for the simultaneous screening of many different DNA regions distributed randomly throughout the genome.

Features	RFLP	Microsatellites	RAPD	AFLP	ISSR
Genomic abundance	High	Medium	very high	very high	Medium
Part of genome surveyed	low copy	whole genome	whole genome	Whole	whole
	coding regions			genome	genome
Amount of DNA required	High	Low	Low	Medium	Low
Type of polymorphism	single base	changes in length	single base	single base	Single base
	changes,	of repeats	changes,	changes,	changes,
	insertion,		insertion,	insertion,	insertion,
	deletion		deletion	deletion	deletion
Level of polymorphism <sup>a</sup>	Medium	High	High	very high	High
Effective multiplex ratio <sup>b</sup>	Low	Medium	Medium	high	Medium
Marker index <sup>c</sup>	Low	Medium	Medium	High	Medium
Inheritance	Codominant	Codominant	Dominant	Dominant	Dominant
Detection of alleles	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Ease of use	labor intensive	Easy	Easy	difficult initially	Easy
Automation	Low	High	Medium	Medium	Medium
Reproducibility	High	High	Intermediate	High	medium to high
Type of probes/primers	low copy	specific repeat	usually 10 bp	specific	specific
	genomic DNA	DNA sequence	random	sequence	repeat DNA
	or		nucleotides		sequence
	cDNA clones				
Cloning and/ or sequencing	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Radioactive detection	usually yes	No	No	yes/no	No
Development/start-up costs	High	High	Low	Medium	Medium
				-	

Table 1: Comparison of	of commonly used	l genetic markers (m	odified from Semag	n <i>et al.</i> , 2006)

<sup>a</sup> Level of polymorphism (average heterozygosity) is an average of the probability that two alleles taken at random can be distinguished

<sup>b</sup> Effective multiplex ratio is the number of polymorphic loci analyzed per experiment in the germplasm tested.

<sup>c</sup> Marker index is the product of the average expected heterozygosity and the effective multiplex ratio.

### **Review** Article

To achieve high reliability of the screen, genomic DNA is prepared in an ingenious, but technically straight forward way that combines the strengths of two methods, the replicability of restriction fragment analysis and the power of the PCR. This technique comprises of two principle steps. The first step is the restriction digestion of the genomic DNA and ligation of the adapters and the second step involves the selective amplification of the sets of restriction fragment. In the first step the DNA is digested with two restriction enzymes the hexa and tetra cutter producing sticky ends and double stranded synthetic adapters (oligonucleotide) of a defined sequence are then ligated to both cut ends of all restriction fragments. Adapters and restriction site sequences then provide universal primer binding sites for subsequent PCR. The selective amplification of the subset of the restriction fragment is carried out using primers that extend into the restriction fragments amplifying those fragments in which the primer extensions match the nucleotides flanking the restriction sites. The amplified restriction fragments are separated on denaturing polyacrylamide gel then visualized in autoradiograph.

AFLP markers have been used to infer phylogenetic relationships based on measures of genetic distance (Semblat *et al.*, 1998). AFLPs are widely used to study inter and intra population genetic variation in many plant taxa (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2002). Advantages of the AFLP are that no prior sequence information of the genome is required. A large number of plolymorphic bands are produced and the technique is highly reproducible and standardized kits are available. The weaknesses are that there are number of steps involved in this technique. Additional expenditure is required for purchasing the enzymes and licensed kits. It is necessary to use polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in a sequencing gel apparatus to separate radiolabelled fragments and for nonradioactive labeled fragments access to an automated sequencer is required.

### Gene Sequencing

DNA sequencing is the most fundamental measure of diversity because it detects polymorphisms within the DNA's building blocks themselves. DNA sequence-based studies differ from DNA fingerprinting in data generation. Sequencing is essentially totally reproducible and generates large quantities of data very quickly. The choice of regions to sequence is increasing rapidly as our knowledge of the plant genome improves. DNA sequences often give higher resolution than other molecular markers (Kass and Wink, 1997). Recent development and use of molecular sequence data have increased significantly the understanding of plant systematics at various taxonomic levels (Soltis and Soltis, 1995).

Several genes from the organeller genomes e.g. mitochondria and chloroplast have been utilized for various molecular systematic studies, in particular the chloroplast genome, that has been extensively surveyed to reconstruct plant phylogeny (Olmstead and Palmer, 1994). The studies based on *rbcL* gene sequences located in the large single copy region, encoding the large subunit of ribulose 1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase, *atp*B located in the large single copy region encoding ß subunit of ATP synthatase, *mat*K protein encoding region located in the large single copy region of the chloroplast genome, etc. have been successfully carried out resolving phylogeny of various taxa. Identification of easily amplifiable and relatively rapidly evolving unambiguously alignable, DNA regions that provide sufficient suitable variation within a short sequence segment from nuclear genome for carrying out lower level phylogenetic studies is greatly needed to supplement the wealth of chloroplast restriction site information that has accumulated over the period of time (Baldwin *et al.*, 1995).

#### Internal Transcribed Spacers (ITS) of nuclear ribosomal DNA

Ribosomal DNA is the most conserved region in the genome, with capabilities of phylogenetic divergence. The whole rRNA gene contains a small subunit (SSU) 18S rRNA, 5.8S rRNA, and a large subunit (LSU) 28S rRNA. Internal transcribed spacers (ITS) are a part of the transcriptional unit of the nuclear ribosomal DNA (nrDNA). These internal transcribed spacers (ITSI and ITS2), are one of the most extensively sequenced region of nrDNA. These are non coding regions of the eukaryotic cistron of ribosomal DNA that exist in several hundred copies and are located in one or several loci and distributed in one or several chromosomes. The ITS1 is located between the genes coding for 18S and 5.8S rRNA (an

### **Review** Article

evolutionary highly conserved sequence), whereas ITS2 is located between the genes coding for 5.8S and 28S rRNA genes.

The internal transcribed spacers (ITS) have been the most popular target region in the nuclear genome for evolutionary studies of diverse plant groups (Hughes *et al.*, 2006). The ITS region along with the other components of the nrDNA multigene family is highly repetitive in the plant nuclear genome. The entire nrDNA repeat unit is present in up to many thousands of copies arranged in tandem repeats at a chromosomal locus or at multiple loci (Du *et al.*, 2011). The high copy number promotes detection, amplification, cloning and sequencing of nrDNA.

This gene family undergoes concerted evolution through unequal crossing over and gene conversion, which is most important from the standpoint of phylogeny reconstruction. This property promotes intragenomic uniformity of repeat units, in some cases between nrDNA loci and non homologous chromosomes (Wendel *et al.*, 1995), and in general promotes accurate reconstruction of species relationships from these sequences. Also the small size of the ITS region (less than 700 bp in angiosperms) and the presence of highly conserved sequences flanking each of the two spacers make this region easy to amplify even from herbarium material (White *et al.*, 1990).

ITS1 and ITS2 including 5.8S can be easily amplified and sequenced by PCR using universal primers ITS4 and ITS5 (White *et al.*, 1990), and are routinely used to distinguish related species and to infer phylogenetic relationships from populations to families and even higher taxonomic levels (Coleman and Vacquier, 2002).

### Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNPs)

SNPs are more advanced molecular markers based on DNA sequencing occurring when a single nucleotide- A-T-C or G- in the genome differs between members of a species or individuals. SNPs may occur in the coding, non- coding and intergenic regions of the genome. Since majority of the SNPs are located in noncoding DNA, they are infelicitously called noncoding SNPs. These are the most frequent type of variations found in DNA and their discovery together with insertions/deletions has formed the basis of most differences between alleles. SNPs can thus be explained as any polymorphism between two genomes that is based on a single nucleotide exchange. SNPs are consequences of transition or transversion event and are highly abundant, their density differing substantially in different regions of the genome (Wiesing *et al.*, 2005). SNP locus can have two, three or four alleles in a population, but biallelic SNPs massively prevail.

Several methods are available for SNP detection/sequencing, like pyrosequencing (Fakhrai-Rad *et al.*, 2002), polymorphism ratio sequencing (Blazej *et al.*, 2003), degenerate oligonucleotide primer PCR (Jordan *et al.*, 2002), ecotilling (Comai *et al.*, 2004), and SNP Hunter (Wang *et al.*, 2005).

SNPs are excellent markers for association mapping of genes controlling complex traits and provide the highest map resolution (Bhattramakki *et al.*, 2002). SNPs has been successesively used in plants species such as Barley (Kanazin *et al.*, 2002; Rice (Bormans *et al.*, 2002; Larkin *et al.*, 2003), Maize (Batley *et al.*, 2003), Wheat (Somers, 2003) and Sugar beet (Schneider *et al.*, 2001).

### Consensus Chloroplast Microsatellite Primers (CCMPs)

Information on the genetics of species would be valuable for scheming suitable plant breeding program, conservation of genetic resources, gene sequencing, gene mining or tagging etc. Genetic preservation strategies for their improved developmental progress of inherited information, inhabitants organization knowledge of plants, acquainted with eminence variability of chloroplast DNA, mitochondria and nuclear genome of plants due to its uniparental inheritance, the absence of recombination and premeditated mutation rates chloroplast genome is used (Provan *et al.*, 2001). Since, the chloroplast genomes in higher plants are more conservative than mitochondrial and nucleic genomes (Wolfe *et al.*, 1987), chloroplast microsatellites have the potentiality in the phylogenetic studies among plants with great taxonomic distances than nuclear or mitochondrial microsatellites (Ishii and McCouch, 2000). Therefore, the chloroplast genetic investigation was considered as a trustworthy technique to mark out the origin, evolution and phylogeny of many plant species. Usually, RFLPs and other genetic markers are the most

### **Review** Article

conventional method for the study of cytoplasmic inheritance in somatic hybrids of higher plants (Cheng *et al.*, 2003). During last decade, cleaves amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) using mitochondrial or chloroplast specific primers are universal primers and are simple, inexpensive, valuable and extra competent in application in comparison to RFLPs (Bastia *et al.*, 2001: Guo *et al.*, 2002: Cheng *et al.*, 2003). Chloroplast microsatellites or chloroplast simple sequence repeats (cpSSRs) are characteristically mononucleotide tandem repeats which has various realistic implications for genetic investigation (Weising and Gardner, 1999). Its amplification protocol has been described by Andrianoelina *et al.*, (2006) who defined a combination of the different alleles as a chlorotype which is established at each locus for the reason of the non recombining quality of the chloroplast genome (chlorotypes were then treated as alleles at a distinct locus). For chloroplast microsatellites, the genetic diversity within *D. monticola* is one of the uppermost among tropical tree species assessed with chloroplast microsatellites (Muller *et al.*, 2009) prevent the species extinction.

Different studies revealed that chloroplast SSR have been employed for information of execution of genetic conservation approach and restoration of populations in Madagascar (Crandall *et al.*, 2000; Lhuillier *et al.*, 2006; Muller *et al.*, 2009).

Chloroplast SSR (cpSSR) markers have demonstrated their utility in studying genetic relationships, to assess the maternal and paternal plastid inheritance (Cato and Richardson, 1996), evaluation of interspecific polymorphism, detection of hybridization, introgression, phylogeny of plant population and are also applicable tool in the research of plant population genetics, understanding crop evolution, domestication, and phylogenetics (Provan et al., 2001). Comparing with RFLPs and CAPS, cpSSR are proved to be more convenient, proficient, simpler and less expensive for organelle analysis of Citrus somatic hybrids at a very early regeneration stage. It was useful to verify the chloloroplast genomic origin of citrus somatic hybrids which is the first information on cytoplasmic inheritance analysis hybrids in higher plants by cpSSR. DNA sequence information of the chloroplast genome is necessary for the development of cpSSR primer pairs. These primers were designed according to the conserved nature of intron regions in chloroplast of higher plants. The relatively low numbers of repeats are typical of cpSSRs in other plant species, where long stretches of mono-nucleotide repeats are very exceptional (Powell et al., 1996; Provan et al., 1999a). In spite of these comparatively short repeat lengths, it has been revealed that cpSSR primers designed in one species will generate polymorphic products in other species and even in assorted genera (e.g. primers derived from Nicotiana tabacum revealing cpSSR polymorphism in Solanum spp.; Provan et al., 1999a).

They unanimously disclose intra-specific dissimilarity in repeat number when sited in the non-coding regions of the chloroplast genome (cpDNA). The results revealed that the chloroplast genomes in the somatic hybrids were indiscriminately inherited from either parent, which were documented in the previous reports on Citrus somatic hybrids being based on RFLPs analysis (Grosser et al., 1996, 2000; Moreria et al., 2000; Guo and Deng, 2001). In the past several years, cpSSR has turn out to be useful method for evaluating genetic diversity of the cpDNA genome in plant species such as pine (Pinus contorta) (Powell et al., 1995), potato (Solanum tuberosum) (Bryan et al., 1999), barley (Hordeum vulgare) (Provan et al., 1999), rice (Oryza sativa) (Ishii and McCouch, 2000), soybean (Glycine max) (Powell et al., 1996; Xu et al., 2002) and Kiwifruit (Actinidia deliciosa) (Weising and Gardner, 1999). cpSSRs are used for identification (for example Dalbergia monticola) that may previously not have been recognized using any other molecular markers such as RFLPs, RAPDs, AFLPs, etc. whether there is any genetic discrepancy present in the chloroplast genome of numerous plants) and to study genetic variation within and among populations and geographical structure in established populations. Chloroplast SSRs have been used in population and systematic studies in a variety of species (Powell et al., 1996; Provan et al., 1999a). This facilitates the perceptive of both chronological and current actions. It provides a balancing observation of gene flow blueprint because in angiosperms chloroplasts are transmitted by seeds (Petit et al., 2005). In Pinaceae, to measure discontinuity within an efficiently haploid genome uniparental inheritance of mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes provides opportunities. However, in

### **Review** Article

plants due to a high rate of sequence reformation mitochondrial genomes have not typically been helpful for phylogenetic analyses (Sederoff et al., 1981; Wu et al., 1998). Chloroplast microsatellites are predominantly valuable markers for paternal inheritance of chloroplast genomes in most conifers for studying mating systems, uniparental lineages, and gene flow via both pollen and seeds in most conifers (Neale et al., 1986). Predominant paternal inheritance of chloroplast DNA has been established in European Abies with previously categorized (Vendramin et al., 1996) Pinus thunbergii primers at two extremely inconsistent microsatellite loci (Vendramin and Ziegenhagen, 1997; Ziegenhagen et al., 1998; Vendramin et al., 1999). Intra-specific diversity and population structure in heterologous amplification with these primers have also been demonstrated (Cato and Richardson, 1996; Morgante et al., 1998). In wild plant species and their varieties the potential of cpSSRs into biological and evolutionary processes has yet to be entirely accepted because of the intensifying outline of studies employing cpSSRs, while studies of economically imperative plants and their varieties remains obscured. Chloroplast markers are further sensitive to drift, one of the major effects of disintegration. The only limitation is because of the same number of repeats may evolve in two different microsatellite lineages through independent mutational events i.e. its homoplasy effect, (Navascue's and Emerson, 2005) instead of that recent simulations have verified that chloroplast microsatellites are capable of studying genetic organization and gene flow (Hansen et al., 2005). Consequently to determine levels of inconsistency amongst populations, have great influence in estimating population structure information of mutation rates at SSR loci is significant. The low chloroplast DNA haplotypes is closely related to slow mutation rates (Provan et al., 2001). Till date there have been no statistics published for mutation rates at simple repeat loci in the chloroplast genome. Discrepancy can be detected among plant species using cpDNA-specific universal primers can be utilized for many applications in plant science. Among these, systematics and evolutionary relationships studies at the different taxonomic levels (e.g. interfamilial, inter-generic, inter-specific, intra-specific and inter-population level) have been by far the most widespread.

#### Diversity Array Technology (DArt)

Because of the limitations of existing marker technologies, Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT), a novel method to discover and score genetic polymorphic markers has been discovered (Jaccoud *et al.*, 2001). DArT, developed by CAMBIA involve a new use of microarrays, detects single base change as well as insertions and deletions and also detects differences in DNA methylation depending on the enzyme used to generate the fragments. It does not require sequence knowledge, and thus may become very useful to crop researchers. This technique offers a low cost high throughput, robust system with minimal DNA sample requirement capable of providing comprehensive genome coverage even in organisms without any DNA sequence information (Jaccound *et al.*, 2001). Data aquisitation and analysis is fast. DArT genome profiles can be used for the recognition and management of biodiversity, for example in germplasm collections. Identification of duplicate accessions and a better understanding of the genetic relationships between the accessions could help to control the costs of maintaining these collections.

The main disadvantage of this technique is that these are dominant markers and are technically demanding.

As evident from the above paragraphs, there are large numbers of molecular markers that can be used to carry out the genetic diversity studies. Ideally the marker should have the following desirable properties:

➤ Marker should be highly polymorphic.

>Mode of inheritance should be codominant (which allows the discrimination of homo-and heterozygous states in diploid organisms.

≻ Frequent occurrence in the genome.

≻Unambiguous assignment of the allele.

- > Even distribution throughout the genome.
- Selectively neutral behaviour (i.e. no pleiotropic effects).
- Easy access (i.e. by purchasing or fast procedures).

Easy and fast assay (e.g. by automated procedures).

# **Review** Article

≻High reproducibility.

Easy exchange of data between laboratories.

≻Low cost for both marker development and assay.

There is not a single marker which fulfills all of these criteria. The choice from the several molecular markers may be made, each of which combines at least some if not all of the above properties on the basis of the kind of study to be undertaken.

#### CONCLUSION

Molecular characterization can play a role in uncovering the history, and estimating the diversity, distinctiveness and population structure. Different marker types have different usefulness in studying genetic diversity. Fast changing markers can be used in studying closely related species. RFLP was the first molecular marker to be used in genetic diversity. Although reproducible, it is time consuming and in policy species it is of low frequency. RAPD marker is easy to perform, however; it has inherited problem of reproducibility. ISSR is more reproducible and polymorphic than RAPD. The SSR have been the marker of choice for the last two decades especially before the discovery of SNP's. SNP are the most widespread sequence variation in the genome. They are numerous, more stable and easier to score than SSR. AFLP, although having high discriminatory power, it has medium reproducibility and alleles are not easily recognized. On the other hand the development of microarray based technologies such as DArT which have the merits of SNP's without going through sequencing. It is medium- to ultra-high-throughput genotyping at a low cost. They have been shown to be particularly useful for genomes, where the level of polymorphism is low. They are expected to play an important role in crop improvement and will be used for a variety of studies including the development of high-density molecular maps, which may then be used for QTL interval mapping and for functional and evolutionary studies. One important characteristics of ITS region is that it is highly conserved intraspecifically, but variable between different species. It provides novel insights into plant evolution and hybridization and considered one of the most successfully used of nuclear genome in studying phylogenetic and genomic relationships of plants. The revolution in plant genomics has opened up new perspectives and opportunities for the plant breeders, who can now apply molecular markers to assess and enhance diversity in their germplasm collections, to introgress valuable traits from new sources and to identify genes that control key traits. Awareness of the level of genetic diversity and the proper management of genetic resources are important issues in modern scenario.

#### REFERENCES

Andrianoelina O, Rakotondraoelina H, Ramamonjisoa L, Maley J, Danthu P and Bouvet JM (2006). Genetic diversity of *Dalbergia monticola* (Fabaceae) an endangered species in the fragmented oriental forest of Madagascar. *Biodiversity Conservation* **15** 1109–1128.

Armour JAL, Alegre SA, Miles S, Williams LJ and Badge RM (1999). Minisatellites and mutation processes in tandemly repetitive DNA. In: *Microsatellites Evolution and Applications*. Edited by Goldstein DB and Schlotterer C (Oxford University Press Oxford UK) 24-33.

Baldwin BG, Sanderson MJ, Porter JM, Wojciechowski MF, Campbell CS and Donoghue MJ (1995). The ITS region of nuclear ribosomal DNA: a valuable source of evidence on angiosperm phylogeny. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 82 247-277.

**Barth S, Melchinger AE and Lubberstedt TH (2002).** Genetic diversity in *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. Heynh. investigated by cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) and intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers. *Molecular Ecology* **11** 495–505.

**Bastia T, Scotti N, Cardi T (2001).** Organelle DNA analysis of *Solanum* and *Brassica* somatic hybrids by PCR with universal primers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **102** 1265-1272.

### **Review** Article

Batley J, Baker G, Sullivan OH, Edwards KJ and Wdwards D (2003). Mining for single nucleotide polymorphisms and insertions/deletions in maize expressed sequence tag data. *Plant Physiology* **132** 84-91.

**Bebeli PJ, Zhou Z, Somers DJ and Gustafson JP** (1997). PCR primed with minisatellite core sequences yields DNA fingerprinting probes in wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 95 276-283.

Bhattramakki D, Dolan M, Hanafey M, Winland R, Vaske D, Register JC III, Tingey SV and Rafalski A (2002). Insertion-deletion polymorphisms in 3'-regions of maize genes occur frequently and can be used as highly informative genetic markers. *Plant Molecular Biology* **48** 539-547.

**Binneck E, Nedel JL and Dellagostin OA (2002).** RAPD analysis on cultivar identification: a useful methodology? *Revista Brasileira de Semiótica* **24** 183-196.

Blazej RG, Paegel BM and Mathies RA (2003). Polymorphism ratio sequencing: A new approach for single nucleotide polymorphism discovery and genotyping. *Genome Research* **13** 287-293.

Bormans CA, Rhodes RB, Kephart DD, mcClung AM and Park WD (2002). Analysis of a single nucleotide polymorphism that controls the cooking quality of rice using a non-gel based assay. *Euphytica* 128 261-267.

Bryan GJ, McNicoll J, Ramsay G, Meyer RC and Jong WSD (1999). Polymorphic simple sequence repeat markers in chloroplast genomes of *Solanaceous* plants. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **99** 859-867.

**Casasoli M, Mattioni C, Cherubini M and Villani F (2001).** A genetic linkage map of European chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill) based on RAPD, ISSR and isozymes markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **102** 1190–1199.

**Cato SA and Richardson TE (1996).** Inter- and intraspecific polymorphism at chloroplast SSR loci and the inheritance of plastids in *Pinus radiata* D. Don. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **93** 587-592.

**Cheng YJ, Guo WW and Deng XX (2003).** Molecular characterization of cytoplasmic and nuclear genomes in phenotypically abnormal Valencia orange (*Citrus sinensis*) + Meiwa kumquat (*Fortunella crassifolia*) intergeneric somatic hybrids. *Plant Cell Reports* **21** 445-451.

**Coleman AW and Vacquier VD (2002).** Exploring the phylogenetic utility of ITS sequences for animals: a test case for abalone (Haliotis). *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **54** 246-257.

Comai L, Young K, Till BJ, Reynolds SH, Greene EA, Codomo CA, Enns LC, Johnson JE, Burtner C, Odden AR and Henikoff S (2004). Efficient discovery of DNA polymorphisms in natural populations by Ecotilling. *Plant Journal* **37** 778-786.

Crandall KA, Bininda-Emonds ORP, Mace GM and Wayne RK (2000). Considering evolutionary processes in conservation biology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **15** 290–295.

**Drenkard E, Glazebrook J, Preuss D and Ausubel FM (1998).** Use of cleaved amplified polymorphic sequences (CAPS) for genetic mapping and typing. In: *DNA Markers, Protocols, Applications and Overviews,* edited by Caetano-Anolles G and Gresshoff PM (Wiley-VCH, New York) 187-197.

**Epplen JT, Ammer H, Epplen C, Kammerbauer C, Mitreiter R, Roewer L, Schwaiger W, Steimle V, Zischler H, Albert E, Anreas A, Beyermann B, Meyer W, Buitkamp J, Nanda I, Schmid M, Nuruberg P, Pena SDJ, Poche H, Sprecher W, Schartl M, Weising K and Yassouridis A (1991).** Oligonucleotide fingerprinting using simple repeat motifs: a convenient ubiquitously using applicable method to detect hypervariability for multiple purposes. In: *DNA Fingerprinting: Approaches and Application*, edited by Burke T, Dolf G, Jeffreys AJ and Wolff R (Birjhauser Verlag Basel, Switzerland) 50-69.

**Fakhrai-Rad H, Pourmand N and Ronaghi M (2002).** Pyrosequencing (TM): An accurate detection platform for single nucleotide polymorphisms. *Human Mutation* **19** 479-485.

Fang DQ and Roose ML (1997). Identification of closely related citrus cultivars with inter-simple sequence repeat markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **95** 408-417.

## **Review** Article

**Fernandez ME, Figueiras AM and Benito C** (2002). The use of ISSR and RAPD markers for detecting DNA polymorphism, genotype identification and genetic diversity among barley cultivars with known origin. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **104** 845-851.

Fritsch P and Rieseberg LH (1992). High outcrossing rates maintain male and hermaphrodite individuals in populations of the flowering plant *Datisca glomerata*. *Nature* **359** 633-636.

**Fritsch P and Rieseberg LH (1996).** The use of random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) in conservation genetics. In: *Molecular Genetic Approaches in Conservation*, edited by Smith TB and Wayne B (Oxford University, Press, New York) 54-73.

Garcia AAF, Benchimol LL, Barbosa AMM and Geraldi IO (2004). Comparison of RAPD, RFLP, AFLP and SSR markers for diversity studies in tropical maize inbred lines. *Genetics and Molecular Biology* 27 579-588.

Geiatlinger J, Weising K, Kaiser WJ and Kahl G (1997). Allelic variation at a hypervariable compound microsatellite locus in the ascomycete *Ascochyta rabiei*. *Molecular and General Genetics* 256 298-305.

Gepts P (1993). The use of molecular and biochemical markers in crop evolution studies. *Evolutionary Biology* 27 51-94.

Goldstein DB and Pollock DD (1997). Launching microsatellites: a review of mutation processes and methods of phylogenetic inference. *Journal of Heredity* 88 335-342.

**Goncalves LSA, Sudre CP, Bento CS, Moulin MM (2008).** Divergencia genetica em tomate estimada por marcadores RAPD em comparacao com descritores multicategóricos. *Horticultura Brasileira* **26** 364-370.

Grosser JW, Gmitter FG Jr, Tusa N, Recupero GR and Cucinotta P (1996). Further evidence of a cybridization requirements for plant regeneration from citrus leaf protoplasts following somatic fusion. *Plant Cell Reports* 15 672-676.

Grosser JW, Ollitrault P and Olivares-Fuster O (2000). Somatic hybridization in *Citrus*: an effective tool to facilitate variety improvement. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol-Plant* **36** 434-449.

Guo WW and Deng XX (2001). Wide somatic hybrids of *Citrus* with its related genera and their potential in genetic improvement. *Euphytica* **118** 175-183.

Guo WW, Cheng YJ and Deng XX (2002). Regeneration and molecular characteization of intergeneric sonatic hybrids between *Citrus reticulata* and *Poncirus trifoliata*. *Plant Cell Reports* 20 829-834.

Gupta M, Chy YS, Romero-Severson J and Owen JL (1994). Amplification of DNA markers from evolutionarily diverse genomes using single primers of simple sequence repeats. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **89** 998-1006.

Gupta PK, Balyan HS, Sharma PC and Ramesh B (1996). Microsatellites in plants: a new class of molecular markers. *Current Science* 70 45-54.

Hansen OK, Kjaer ED and Vendramin GG (2005). Chloroplast microsatellite variation in Abies nordmanniana and simulation of causes for low differentiation among populations. *Tree Genetics & Genomes* **1** 116–123.

Heath DD, Iwama GK and Devlin RH (1993). PCR primed with the VNTR core sequences yields species specific patterns and hypervariable probes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 21 5782-5785.

Hughes CE, Eastwood RJ and Bailey CD (2006). From famine to feast? Selecting nuclear DNA sequence loci for plant species- level phylogeny reconstruction. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 361 211-225.

**Ishii T and Mc Couch SR (2000).** Microsatellites and microsynteny in the chloroplast genomes of *Oryza* and eight other Gramineae species. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **100** 1257-1266.

Jaccoud D, Peng K, Feinstein D and Kilian A (2001). Diversity arrays: a solid state technology for sequence information independent genotyping. *Nucleic Acids Research* 29 25.

Jeffreys AJ, Wilson V and Thein SL (1985). Hypervariable (minisatellite) region in human DNA. *Nature* 314 67-73.

### **Review** Article

Jordan B, Charest A, Dowd JF, Blumenstiel JP, Yeh RF, Osman A, Housman DE and Landers JE (2002). Genome complexity reduction for SNP genotyping analysis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA* 99 2942-2947.

Kanazin V, Talbert H, See D, DeCamp P, Nevo E and Blake T (2002). Discovery and assay of singlenucleotide polymorphisms in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). *Plant Molecular Biology* **48** 529-537.

Kass E and Wink M (1997). Phylogenetic relationships in the Papilionoideae (family Leguminosae) based on nucleotide sequences of cpDNA (rbcL) and ncDNA (ITS1 and ITS2). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **8** 65-88.

Konieczny A and Ausubel FM (1993). A procedure for mapping *Arabidopsis mutations* using codominant ecotype-specific PCR-based markers. *Plant Journal* **4** 403-410.

Larkin PD and Park WD (2003). Association of *waxy* gene single nucleotide polymorphisms with starch characteristics in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Molecular Breeding* **12** 335-339.

**Lhuiller E, Butaud JF and Bouvet JM (2006).** Extensive clonality and strong differentiation in the insular Pacific tree Santalum insulare: implications for its conservation. *Annals of Botany* **98** 1061–1072.

Moreira CD, Chasee CD, Gmitter FG Jr and Grosser JW (2000). Inheritance of organelle genomes in citrus somatic cybrids. *Molecular Breeding* 6 401-405.

Morgante M, Felice N and Vendramin GG (1998). Analysis of hypervariable chloroplast microsatellites in Pinus halepensis reveals a dramatic genetic bottleneck. In: *Molecular tools for screening biodiversity: plants and animals,* edited by Karp A, Isaac PG and Ingram DS (Chapman and Hall, London, UK) 407–412.

Muller F, Voccia M, Ba A and Bouvet JM (2009). Genetic diversity and gene flow in a Caribbean tree Pterocarpus officinalis Jacq, a study based on chloroplast and nuclear microsatellites. *Genetica* **135** 185–198.

Mullis KB and Faloona FA (1987). Specific synthesis of DNA *in vitro* via a polymerase catalysed chain reaction. *Methods in Enzymology* 155 335-350.

Navascue's M and Emerson BC (2005). Chloroplast microsatellites: measures of genetic diversity and the effect of homoplasy. *Molecular Ecology* 14 1333–1341.

Neale DB, Wheeler NC and Allard RW (1986). Paternal inheritance of chloroplast DNA in Douglas fir. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 16 1152–1154.

**Olmstead RG and Palmer JD** (1994). Chloroplast DNA systematics: a review of methods and data analysis. *American Journal of Botany* 81 1205-1224.

**Paramita Cahyaningrum, Taryano and Anto Rimbawanto (2012).** Regression Analysis for the identification of RAPD markers linked to drought tolerance in sorghum. *Indonesian Journal of Biotechnology* **17**(1) 61-68.

Petit RJ, Duminil J, Fineschi S, Hampe A, Salvini D and Vendramin GG (2005). Comparative organization of chloroplast, mitochondrial and nuclear diversity in plant populations. *Molecular Ecology* 14 689–701.

**Powell W, Machray G and Provan J (1996).** Polymorphism revealed by simple sequence repeats. *Trends in Plant Science* **1** 215–222.

**Powell W, Morgante M, Andre C, Mcnicol JW, Machray GC, Doyle JJ, Tingey SV and Rafalski JA (1995).** Hypervariable microsatellites provide a general source of polymorphic DNA markers for the chloroplast genome. *Current Biology* **5** 1023–1029.

**Powell W, Morgante M, Doyle JJ, McNicol JW, Tingey SV and Rafalski AJ (1996).** Gene pool variation in genus *Glycine* subgenus *Soja* revealed by polymorphic nuclear and chloroplast microsatellites. *Genetics* **144** 793-803.

**Powell W, Morgante M, McDevitt R, Vendramin GG and Rafalski JA** (1995). Polymorphic simple sequence repeat regions in chloroplast genomes: applications to the population genetics of pines. *Proceedings of National Academy of Science USA* 92 7759-776.

Provan J, Powell W and Hollingsworth PM (2001). Chloroplast microsatellites: new tools for studies in plant ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology Evolution* 16 142-147.

**Provan J, Soranzo N, Wilson NJ, McNicol JW and Morgante M (1999a).** The use of uniparentally inherited simple sequence repeat markers in plant population studies and systematics. In: *Molecular Systematics and Plant Evolution*, edited by Hollingsworth PM, Bateman RM and Gornall RJ (Taylor and Francis, London) 35–50.

**Ribeiro MM, Mariette S, Vendramin GG, Szmidt AE, Plomion C and Kremer A (2002).** Comparison of genetic diversity estimates within and among populations of maritime pine using chloroplast simple-sequence repeat and amplified fragment length polymorphism data. *Molecular Ecology* **11** 869-877.

**Roelofs D and Bachmann K (1995).** Chloroplast and nuclear DNA variation among homozygous plants in a population of the autogamous annual *Microseries douglasii* (Asteraceae, lactuceae). *Plant Systematic and Evolution* **196** 185-194.

Saker MM, Youssef SS, Abdallah NA, Bashandy HS (2005). Genetic analysis of some Egyptian rice genotypes using RAPD, SSR and AFLP. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **4** 882-890.

Sangwan NS, Yadav U and Sangwan RS (2001). Molecular analysis of genetic diversity in elite Indian cultivars of essential oil trade types of aromatic grasses (*Cymbopogon* species). *Plant Cell Report* 20 437-444.

**Schlotterer C** (**1998**). In: *Molecular Genetic Analysis of Populations: A Practical Approach* 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, edited by Hoelzel AR (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford) 237-261.

Schneider K, Weisshaar B, Borchardt DC and Salamini F (2001). SNP frequency and allelic haplotype structure of *Beta vulgaris* expressed genes. *Molecular Breeding* 8 63-74.

Sederoff RR, Levings III S, Timothy DH and WWL HU (1981). Evolution of DNA sequence organization in mitochondrial genomes of Zea. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 78 5953–5957.

Semagn K Bjnerstad A and Ndjiondjop MN (2006). An overview of molecular marker methods for plants. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **5**(25) 2540-2568.

Semblat JP *et al.*, (1998). High-resolution DNA fingerprinting of parthenogenetic root-knot nematodes using AFLP analysis. *Molecular Ecology* 7 119-125.

Soltis DE and Kuzoff RK (1995). Discordance between molecular and chloroplast phylogenies in the Heuchera group (Saxifragaceae). *Evolution* 49 727-742.

**Somers DJ, Kirkpatrick R, Moniwa M and Walsh A (2003).** Mining single nucleotide polymorphism from hexaploid wheat ESTs. *Genome* **49** 431-437.

Souza SGH, Carpentieri-Pípolo V, Ruas CF and Carvalho VP (2008). Comparative analysis of genetic diversity among the maize inbred lines (Zea mays L.) obtained by studying genetic relationships in Lactuca spp. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **93** 1202–1210.

Sharma U and Gupta P Dayal (2013). International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences 4(3) 287-298.

Vendramin GG, Degen B, Petit RJ, Anzidei M, Madaghiele A and Ziegenhagen B (1999). High level of variation at Abies alba chloroplast microsatellites loci in Europe. *Molecular Ecology* 8 1117–1126.

**Vendramin GG, Lelli L, Rossi P and Morgante (1996).** A set of primers for the amplification of 20 chloroplast microsatellites in Pinaceae. *Molecular Ecology* **5** 595–598.

Vendramin GG and Ziegenhagen B (1997). Characterization and inheritance of polymorphic plastid microsatellites in Abies. *Genome* 40 857–864.

Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, Reijans M, Van de Lee T, Hornes M, Frijters A, Pot J, Peleman J, Kuiper M and Zabeau M (1995). AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. *Nucleic Acids Research* 23 4407-4414.

Wang L, Liu S, Niu T and Xu X (2005). SNP Hunter: a bioinformatic software for single nucleotide polymorphism data acquisition and management. *BMC Bioinformatics* **6** 60.

Wang Z, Weber JL, Zhong G and Tanksley SD (1994). Survey of plant short tandem DNA repeats. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 88 1-6.

Weising K and Gardner RC (1999). A set of conserved PCR primers for the analysis of simple sequence repeat polymorphisms in chloroplast genomes of dicotyledonous angiosperms. *Genome* 42 9-19. Weising K, Nybom H, Wolff K and Kahl G (2005). *DNA Fingerprinting in Plants: Principles, Methods, and Applications, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition.* CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, Florida.

Welsh J and McClelland M (1990). Fingerprinting genomes using PCR with arbitrary primers. *Nucleic Acids Research* 18 7213-7218.

Wendel JF, Schabel AS and Seelanan T (1995). Bidirectional interlocus concerted evolution following speciation in cotton (*Gossypium*). Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA 92 280-284.

White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S and Taylor J (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Application*, edited by Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ and White TJ (San Diego, California: Academic Press) 315-322.

Williams JGK, Kubelik AR, Livak KJ, Rafalski JA and Tingey SV (1990). DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. *Nucleic Acids Research* 18 6231-6235.

**Wolfe AD and Liston A (1998).** Contributions of PCR-based methods to plant systematics and evolutionary biology. In: *Molecular Systematics of Plants: DNA Sequencing*  $2^{nd}$  edition, edited by Soltis PS, Soltis DE and Doyle JJ (Kluwer, New York, New York, USA) 43-86.

Wolfe KH, Li WH and Sharp PM (1987). Rates of nucleotide substitution vary greatly among plant mitochondrial, chloroplast and nuclear DNAs. *Proceedings of National Academy of Science, USA* 84 9054-9058.

**Wu JKV and Strauss SH (1999).** Nuclear DNA diversity, population differentiation, and phylogenetic relationships in the California closed-cone pines based on RAPD and allozyme markers. *Genome* **42** 893-908.

Wu K, Jones R, Danneberger L and Scolnik PA (1994). Detection of microsatellite polymorphisms without cloning. *Nucleic Acids Research* 22 3257-3258.

Xu DH, Abe J, Gai J, Shimamoto Y (2002). Diversity of chloroplst DNA SSRs in wild and cultivated soyabeans evidence for multiple origins of cultivated soyabean. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 105 645-653.

**Zhou Z and Gustafson JP (1995).** Genetic variation detected by DNA fingerprinting with a rice minisatellite probe in *Oryza sativa* L. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **91** 481-488.

Ziegenhagen B, Scholz F, Madaghiele A and Vendramin GG (1998). Chloroplast microsatellites as markers for paternity analysis in Abies alba. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 28 317–321.

Zietkiewicz E, Rafalski A and Labuba D (1994). Genome fingerprinting by simple sequence repeat amplification. *Genomics* 20 176-183.

Zhengxiang Du, Ruixian Hou, Yuying Zhu, Xiaofeng Li, Hongfang Zhu and Zhenzhen Wang (2011). A random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) molecular marker linked to late-bolting gene in pak-choi (Brassica campestrisssp. chinensisMakino L.) *African Journal of Biotechnology* 10(41) 7962-7968.