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ABSTRACT
Here to improve the quality of the reconstructed image, a kind of fractal compression method will be discussed which is based on block classification and quad-tree partition. At first, the image will be segmented according to adaptive quad-tree partition method. Then the sub-blocks will be classified at every level. Experimental results show that reconstructed and enhanced image by this method has higher peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) and visual effects than adaptive quad-tree partition method, while the compression ratio has also increased.
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INTRODUCTION
Fractal image compression based on fractal geometry theory is developed and its theoretical foundation is Iterated Function Systems (IFS). New Thinking of fractal image compression has completely come out of traditional (old) coding method framework. This technology officially begins a new method for fast encoding, due to the potentially high compression ratio, fast decoding, independent resolution and optimal quality of reconstructed image. Fractal image compression has also become one of the latest and popular methods in the field of image compression. But there are many unresolved issues for practical use of this technology.

In the method of fractal image compression, the smaller block size, the longer encoding time and this improves the reconstructed image and compression ratio will be low and vice versa (Zheng et al., 2007; Kasaeipoor et al., 2015; Ziaei and Kasaeipoor, 2015; Zhou et al., 2008; Kasaeipoor et al., 2014).
So based on the assumption of increasing in compression, how to reduce coding time and how to improve the quality of the reconstructed image are important goals in the development of this technology. In some cases, we make a tradeoff between them (Jacquin et al., 1993; Davoodi et al., 2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The Method of Fixed Size Block Partition (Dimension)
Initially, the image will be divided in the form of non-overlapping blocks (Ri) and overlapping domain blocks (Di). Generally, the domain block is two times bigger than the range block in size. Then the matching process will be done on these blocks and the result of that is the creation of IFS for each range block (Ri), searching for the best matching domain block (Di) and the contraction (compressed) mapping (Wi) and then building the transformed domain block which is closer (more similar) to the range block i.e. \( w_i(D_i) \approx R_i \) and ultimately saving the IFS for each range block. Contraction mapping function (Wi) includes a spatial scaling transformation, a spatial transformation and a luminescence transformation.
Spatial scaling transformation is used to compress the domain block and generally is interpreted as the average of the four adjacent pixels. Spatial transformation is the same Jacobian eight affine transformation. Luminescence transformations are determined by scaling factor (S) and grayscale offset (O) which may be solved by the method of least squares.
For an image of size N × M pixels, if \( d_{ij} \) is the pixel of transformed domain block by \( W_i \) and \( r_{ij} \) is the pixel of range block, error measurement will be as follows (Belloulata and Konrad, 2009; Truong, 2010):

\[
err = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (s \cdot d_{ij} + o - r_{ij})^2
\]

By partial derivative of the above equation with respect to \( S \) and \( O \) and putting them equal to zero, the equations (2) and (3) will be obtained:

\[
S = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} d_{ij} - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} d_{ij} \right)^2
\]

\[
O = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{ij} - S \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} d_{ij} \right)^2 = 0
\]

If \( MN \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} d_{ij}^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} d_{ij} \right)^2 = 0 \)

Then \( S = 0 \cdot O = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{ij} \)

In this way, to improve the quality of the reconstructed image, the size of the block is chosen to be small, which will increase the total number of blocks and the IFS parameters. The result is increased encoding time and the compression ratio will be reduced subsequently.

**Adaptive Quad-Tree Partition Method**

In adaptive quad-tree partition method, the image is divided into 4 equal sized sub-blocks which are grafted together by four groups. The sub-blocks are also divided, but not based on statistical characteristics (Bani-Eqbal, 2003; Zhou et al., 2007; Wohlberg and Jager, 1999; Andreopoulos et al., 2000).

Figure: 1 shows a process of dividing the quad-tree.

Quad-tree partition will be done only on sub-blocks which their error is greater than a given threshold. As a result, the block for areas with rapid changes in the image is small and the blocks in large flat areas are big.

**A. Image segmentation**

**B. Quad-tree**

**Figure: 1 Quad-tree partition process**

Quad-tree partition will be done only on sub-blocks which their error is greater than a given threshold. As a result, the block for areas with rapid changes in the image is small and the blocks in large flat areas are big.

**Block Classification and Quad-Tree Partition Method (Proposed Method)**

To reduce the search time during the adaptation process of the quad-tree partition method, the sub-blocks will be classified in each level. The range block will be classified directly. The domain blocks will be transformed by spatial scaling transformation and spatial transformation before classification. As already
said, spatial scaling transformation is used in domain block compression in space spatial transformation is the same Jacobian eight affine transformation. Classification method is described as follows. At first, each sub-block will be divided into four equal parts and then we will calculate the mean and variance for the sector. Then according to the mean values, the sub-block will be classified into three main categories.

First category: \( \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \mu_3 \geq \mu_4 \)
Second category: \( \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \mu_4 \geq \mu_3 \)
Third category: \( \mu_1 \geq \mu_4 \geq \mu_2 \geq \mu_3 \)

Finally, according to the descending order of priority and posteriority of variances \( (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) \) each main class will be divided into 24 subcategories.

In this way, each sub-block will be divided into 72 categories. The algorithm is described as follows:

Step 1: The maximum and minimum induction depth and the error threshold will be set.
Step 2: Adaptive quad-tree partition will be done on the image. Domain and range blocks will be classified at each level.
Step 3: Each range block will be compared with domain blocks which have the same class as that range block. If the error is below a given threshold, the relevant parameters are recorded otherwise it returns to step 2.

**Experiments**

In this case Lena’s image with size of \( 3 \times 256 \times 256 \times 256 \) pixels was chosen as the test image and fractal compression was done on the image based on these three below methods:

1. The method of fixed size block partition
2. The method of adaptive quad-tree partition
3. Block classification and quad-tree partition (proposed method in this paper)

Main Lena’s image was considered as the original image with size of \( 3 \times 256 \times 256 \times 256 \) pixels which is shown in Figure 2. In the method of fixed size block partition, the size of range and domain blocks are \( 8 \times 8 \) pixels and \( 16 \times 16 \) pixels, respectively. The other two methods, maximum and minimum of induction depth are considered 2 and 6. Compression ratios from these three methods are \( 23/63 \), \( 9/72 \) and \( 11/367 \), respectively. In decoding process, in the different number of repetitions, peak signal-to-noise ratio is shown in Figure 3.

This experimental result shows that the quality of reconstructed image is improved. In this case the compression ratio by the proposed method (\( 11/367 \)) is greater than the compression ratio by adaptive quad-tree method (\( 9/72 \)) and PSNR is improved 1/3 dB by the proposed method. From Figure 3, we can see that all three methods have high-speed convergence. Generally after 5 to 8 iterations, PSNR of the reconstructed image will be almost identical. When the number of iterations reaches to 10, the reconstructed image by these three methods is shown in Figure 4. The experimental results shows that the reconstructed image of the proposed method has the best visual impact.

**Figure: 2 Main Lena’s Image**
Figure: 3 PSNR of the Reconstructed Image Versus the Number of Iterations for Three Different Fractal Image Compression Methods based on
1. Fixed Size Block Partition (Black Diagram)
2. Adaptive Quad-Tree Partition (Blue Diagram)
3. Quad-Tree Partition and Block Classification - Proposed Method in this Paper (Red Diagram)

Figure: 4 A

Figure: 4 B

Figure: 4 C
Figure 4: Reconstructed Images by Three Different Mentioned Methods

A) Lena’s reconstructed image in the fractal compression method based on fixed size block partition
B) Lena’s reconstructed image in the fractal compression method based on adaptive quad-tree partition
C) Lena’s reconstructed image in the fractal compression method based on quad-tree partition and block classification (our method)

Conclusion

In traditional fractal image compression method, increasing the compression ratio and improving the quality of the reconstructed image are often inverse of each other. According to this principle that the compression ratio shouldn’t be lowered and to improve the quality of the reconstructed image, in quad-tree partition method, sub-blocks are classified at every level. Even, where the compression ratio is higher than the traditional quad-tree partition method, reconstructed image has higher PSNR and better visual impact. When the iteration process converges, the value of PSNR will be improved 1/3 dB through our method.
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