Research Article

# THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPIRITUAL WELL-BEING WITH QUALITY OF LIFE ON ACTIVE AND NON ACTIVE TEHRAN SPORT AND YOUTH OFFICES EMPLOYEES

\*Adel Mirzaei<sup>1</sup>, Najme Banayi<sup>2</sup>, Mania Ghasemi<sup>2</sup>, Zohre Mashreghi Azar<sup>3</sup> and Elnaz Hamidi Pilevar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sama technical and vocational training college, Islamic Azad University, Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>MA- physical education- sport management, Iran

<sup>3</sup>PHD- physical education- sport management, Iran

\*Author for Correspondence

## **ABSTRACT**

The main purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between spiritual well-being with quality of life on active and non active Tehran Sport and Youth offices employees. The sample was 167 active and non active Tehran Sport and Youth offices employees with range of 15-39 ages that selected through simple random sampling. The Spiritual Well-Being Scale (Ellison, 1983; Paloutzian & Ellison, 1982) and The Short Form Health Survey (SF-36 developed by Ware & Sherbourne (1992) and Sharkey Physical Activity Index (1997) were used. The results indicate that there is positive significant relationship between quality of life and spiritual well-being. Also, there is positive significant relationship between quality of life and spiritual well-being subscales.

Keywords: Spiritual Well-Being, Quality Of Life, Active and Non Active Offices Employees

# **INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, spiritual well-being has particular importance on health related fields and the researchers' investigate its influence on health (Hill, 1999; Ridnour, 2008). It seems that spiritual well-being is related to different aspects of mental and physical health (Ridnour, 2008). While the acceptance of spirituality as a firm component of good mental and physical health is becoming more standardized (Moberg & Bruseck, 1978), the fact remains that an orthodox operational definition of spirituality and spiritual wellbeing has yet to be agreed upon. The terms religion and spirituality are often confused and misrepresented. Emblen (1992) screened the nursing literature for definitions of both. In definitions of religion, six words appeared most frequently including system, beliefs, organized, person, worship, and practices. In definitions of spirituality, nine words appeared most frequently, personal, life, principle, animator, being, God (god), quality, relationship, transcendent. Emblen (1992) concluded that spirituality is currently the broader term and may subsume aspects of religion. Hawks and colleagues (1995) developed the definition of spirituality by defining it as (a) a sense of relatedness or connectedness to others which provides terms for meaning and purpose in life, (b) the fostering of well-being through a stress buffering effect, as well as (c) having a belief in and a relationship with a power higher than the self. Ellison and Paloutzian (1982) developed spiritual well-being and suggest that it has two subscales: religious and existential well-being. The religious well-being subscale provides a self-assessment of one's relationship with God, while the existential well-being subscale gives a self-assessment of one's sense of life purpose and life satisfaction. Therefore, spiritual well-being can be defined as a sense of relatedness to others, having meaning and purpose in life and having relationship with a power higher than the self (Hawks, 1995). The psychological construct of flow (Csikszentmihalyi, 1975) is generally understood to be a spiritual experience and is often considered to be the basis for the exploration of spirituality in sport settings (Watson & Nesti, 2005). However, only recently have studies emerged that shed light on the importance of spirituality in sport (Dillon & Tait, 2000; Storch, Storch, Kolsky, & Silvestri, 2001). These researchers' investigate the effects of prayer and spirituality on coping, stress and so on. Although the

Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences ISSN: 2231–6345 (Online) An Open Access, Online International Journal Available at http://http://www.cibtech.org/sp.ed/jls/2014/01/jls.htm 2014 Vol. 4 (S1) April-June, pp. 540-545/Mirzaei et al.

## Research Article

evidence is increasing that spirituality may aid athletes, the proof that confirms this relationship is far from conclusive.

Individuals' perspective on life has changed and they efforts to improve their aspects of quality of life (Nainian et al, 2005). Given to different meaning of quality of life from individuals' and social groups' prospective, but there is general agreement about the definition of the model. For example, according to the definition of World Health Organization (WHO), quality of life dimensions are physical, mental and social health (Newa, Taylor, 1999). Since some of the main indicators of good life (such as health and mental hygiene) is similar to quality of life therefore it has close relationship with good life concept and the good life is meaningless without mental and physical health (Ramezaninezhad, 2007). Some researches shows that without spiritual well-being other biological, mental and social dimensions haven't proper performance or reach to maximum potential and as a result highest level of quality of life have been unavailable (Omidvari, 2009). Some health models consider spiritual well-being as a one of the quality of life subscale (Fitchett, et al, 2010; Cotton, et al, 1999). Researchers believed that investigation of quality of life and effort to develop it has significant role on individuals' health, personal and social life (Cynthia, 1998). Some researchers are conducted about spiritual well-being and quality of life. Jadidi et al, (2011) show that spiritual well-being has significant relationship with quality of life. AsarRoudi et al, (2011) indicate positive significant correlation between spiritual well-being with quality of life on nurses. Ernest et al (2013) study on students indicates that student with higher spiritual well-being scored high quality of life. More recently Mirzaei et al (2014) indicate that there is positive significant relationship between quality of life and spiritual well-being on martial art athletes. Also, they found positive significant relationship between quality of life and spiritual well-being subscales.

The recent call for, and subsequent interest in, "positive psychology" provides further strength for the argument that the spiritual side of human existence plays an important role in fostering not only mental health and physical well-being, but excellence in human activities as well (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000). Therefore, spiritual considered as a power and energy. This can be considered as a external power and spiritually can be energy that derived from Holism and positive relationship with god. The implication of this study could be reinforcement of spiritual sense to improve employees' transcendence. Therefore, the purpose investigates the relationship between spiritual well-being with quality of life on active and non active Tehran Sport and Youth offices employees.

#### METHODOLOGY

The present study was descriptive-correlational. The population of this study consisted of 300 martial art athletes of Tehran city. The sample was 167 athletes with range of 15-39 ages that selected through simple random sampling. In this study two questionnaire were used:

The Spiritual Well-Being Scale (SWBS). The SWBS was developed by Ellison and Paloutzian (Ellison, 1983; Paloutzian & Ellison, 1982) as a 20-item measurement tool with two subscales: (a) the existential well-being scale, which measures the participants' environmental relationship meaning, the conditions that surround people affecting the way they live, and (b) the religious well-being scale, measuring the subjects' relationship with a higher power (God) in regards to commitment, behavioral interaction, communication, cooperation, level of friendship or degree of intimacy. The two subscales were combined to produce a total overall spiritual well-being score using a 6-point Likert-type scale (1 = strongly disagree to 6 = strongly agree). The Spiritual Well-being scale has consistently shown to be reliable and internally consistent. Hammermeister and Peterson (2001) reported alpha coefficients of the religious well-being scale to be .94, the existential well-being scale to be .80, and the spiritual well-being scale to be .89. In this study, alphacronbach is 0.88.

The Short Form Health Survey(SF-36). This questionnaire was developed by Ware & Sherbourne (1992). The subscale of this questionnaire includes physical performance, physical role, physical pain,

# Research Article

general health, energy and happiness, social performance, emotional role and mental health. The maximum score to each part or each subscale is 100 and the maximum score is 0. In this study the alpha cronbachco efficient is 0.92. Also, the alpha cronbachco efficient is for physical performance (0.82), physical role (0.72), physical pain (0.79), general health (0.71), energy and happiness, social performance (0.70), emotional role (0.76) and mental health (0.83).

The Physical Activity Index (PAI) of Sharkey (1979). The PA1 was developed to assess the nature and level of physical activity in individuals. The scale measures five categories of activity namely intensity, duration, frequency, summer participation and winter participation. Respondents are required to indicate their level of physical activity for summer and winter by using a scale from five (high) to one (low). The level of activity is calculated by multiplying the score for each category: Score = Intensity x Duration x Frequency (Sharkey, 1984). Higher scores indicate higher levels of sustained physical activity. Categorical distinctions in this study were made according to the criteria of Sharkey (1979). Scores from 40 to 100 identified active sport participants, while scores below 40 indicated non-participants. The PA1 has been successfully used in studies by Boshoff (1998), Fourie (1999), Rabie (1999), Sharkey (1997) and Wilders (2002). Although reliability and validity indices were not specified in these studies it can be inferred that the PA1 had acceptable reliability and validity for use in the specific groups.

#### **RESULTS**

Table 1 showed that in terms of age, most frequency is related to range of 15 - 19 age that is 37.7% and in terms of education diploma had most frequency. 44.9% haven't history in the premier league.

Table 1: Demographic information of athletes

Variable	Range	Frequency	Percent
	15-19	63	37.7
	20-24	53	31.7
Age	25-29	37	22.2
	30-34	7	4.2
	35-39	7	4.2
	Total	167	100.0
	Diploma	91	53.9
	Associate	15	9.0
Education	degree		
status	Bachelor	39	24.0
	Masters	20	12.0
	PhD	2	1.2
	Total	167	100
History in the	Lack of	75	44.9
premier league	history		
	1-5 year	36	21.6
	6-10	40	24.0
	11-15	10	6.0
	Up to 16	6	3.6
	Total	167	100

# Research Article

Table 2: Pears on coefficient between spiritual well-being and quality of life on non active employees

Variables	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4
Existential	52.24	5.88	1			
well-being						
Religious	56.02	8.93	0.55**	1		
well-being						
Spiritual	108.27	13.14	0.82**	0.93**	1	
Well-being						
Quality of	98.40	6.87	0.29**	0.48**	0.46**	1
life						

<sup>\*.</sup>P<0.05

The results of table (2) indicate that there is positive significant relationship between quality of life and spiritual well-being on non active employees. Also, there is positive significant relationship between quality of life and spiritual well-being subscales. Again, the relationship between quality of life with spiritual well-being and its subscales is weak but quality of life relationship with spiritual well-being and its subscales is decreased.

Table 3: Pears on coefficient between spiritual well-being and quality of life on active employees

Variables	Mean	SD	1	2	3	<i>y</i>
Existential	53.90	8.35	1			
well-being						
Religious	59.26	8.46	0.52**	1		
well-being						
Spiritua1	113.17	14.68	0.87**	0.87**	1	
Well-						
being						
Quality of	106.17	6.72	0.78**	0.76**	0.88**	1
life						

<sup>\*.</sup>P<0.05

The results of table (3) indicate that there is positive significant relationship between quality of life and spiritual well-being on active employees. Also, there is positive significant relationship between quality of life and spiritual well-being subscales. Again, the relationship between quality of life with spiritual well-being and its subscales is strong but quality of life relationship with spiritual well-being and its subscales is decreased.

Table 4. Regression coefficients associated with prediction of quality of life according to spiritual well-being and its subscales on non active employees

wen being and its subseques on non active employees								
	R	$R^2$	F (df)	Spiritual well-being	В	Beta	t	Sig
Quality of life	0.48	0.23	13.59*(2.91)		76.37		13.3 0	0.00
				Existential well-being	0.04	0.04	0.34	0.73
				Religious well-being	0.35	0.46	4.14	0.00

<sup>\*.</sup>P<0.05

Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences ISSN: 2231–6345 (Online) An Open Access, Online International Journal Available at http://http://www.cibtech.org/sp.ed/jls/2014/01/jls.htm 2014 Vol. 4 (S1) April-June, pp. 540-545/Mirzaei et al.

## Research Article

The result of regression analysis indicates that non active employees spiritual well-being predict 23% of their quality of life. Also, with increase of religious well-being 0.46 quality of life is increased.

Table 5. Regression coefficients associated with prediction of quality of life according to spiritual well-being and its subscales on active employees

	R	R <sup>2</sup>	F (df)	Spiritual well-being	В	Beta	t	Sig
Quality of life	0.88	0.77	118.75* (2.69)		60.71		20.31	0.00
				Existential well-being	0.42	0.53	7.89	0.00
				Religious well-being	0.38	0.48	7.14	0.00

<sup>\*.</sup>P<0.05

The result of regression analysis indicates that active employees spiritual well-being predict 77% of their quality of life. Also, with increase of religious well-being 0.53 quality of life is increased.

## **DISCUSSION**

The main purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between spiritual well-being with quality of life on active and non active Tehran Sport and Youth offices employees. In individual level, in order to reach the quality life standards everybody tries to different mental and physical activities. According to superior of athletes in some dimensions of quality of life, the cognitive, emotional and mental-motor elements in sport have diggrent qualities of life in athletes (VaezMousavi, 2000). According to new approaches, spiritual well-being is one of the health dimension then the factors that have positive and negative influences on health may influence spiritual well-being (Tahery, 2013). Spiritual well-being improved mental and physical well-being and the individuals' adaptation level with life events by increase self-awareness, creating relationship with others and receives social support from others and trust sense (Safaeirad, et al, 2010). The findings of this study indicate that there is positive significant relationship between quality of life and spiritual well-being on non active employees. This result is consistent with Jadidi et al. (2011), Ernest et al (2013) and Mirzaei et al (2014). They found the positive relationship between quality of life with spiritual well-being. Other result of this study was positive significant relationship between quality of life and spiritual well-being on active employees. This result was in line with Jadidi et al, (2011), Ernest et al (2013) and Mirzaei et al (2014). Interestingly, except Mirzaei et al (2014) other researches population was non athlete. Other results of this study were predictability of quality of life by spiritual well-being active and non active Tehran Sport and Youth offices employees. It means that the athletes that have high scores on spiritual well-being have high quality of life. As a result, due to lack of literature about relationship between quality of life with spiritual well-being on athletes the future research is needed.

## **REFERENCES**

**AsarRoudi, A, Golafshan, Akaberi, A. (2011),** The relationship between spirituall well-being and quality of life on nurses, Journal of North Khorasan medicine science univesity, Volume: 4, 79-88.

**Cotton, S.P.; Levine, E.G.; Fitzpatrick, C.M.; Dold, K.H.; Targ, E.** Exploring the relationships among spiritual well-being, quality of life, and psychological adjustment in women with breast cancer. Psychooncology 1999, 8, 429-438.

**Cynthia RK.** Overview of quality of life and controversial issues. In: Cynthia RK, Hinds S. Quality of life from nursing and patient perspectives. Canada: Jones and Bartlett: 1998. p. 23-30.

An Open Access, Online International Journal Available at http://http://www.cibtech.org/sp.ed/jls/2014/01/jls.htm 2014 Vol. 4 (S1) April-June, pp. 540-545/Mirzaei et al.

# Research Article

**Dillon, K. M., & Tait, J. L. (2000).** Spirituality and being in the zone in team sports: A relationship? Journal of Sport Behavior, 23(2), 91.

Ernest, TamanjiAnye, Tara L. Gallien, CHESb, HuiBian, CHESc& Michael Moulton .(2013). The Relationship Between Spiritual Well-Being and Health-Related Quality of Life in College Students, Journal of American College Health, volume 61, issue, 414-421.

**Fitchett, G.; Canada, A.L.** The role of religion/spirituality in coping with cancer: Evidence, assessment, and intervention. In Psychooncology, 2nd ed.; Holland, J.C., Breitbart, W.S., Jacobsen, P.B., Eds.; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2010; pp. 440-446.

**F.M. Dipale.** The relationship between participation in sport and academic achievement in grade 10 and 11 learners in the Dr Kenneth Kaunda District: Potchefstroom Campus of

## the North-West University

**Jadidi, A. Farahaninia, M, JanMohammadi, S, Haghani, H. (2011),** The relationship between spirituall well-being and quality of life on Kahrizak elders, Journal of nurses, Volume: 72, 48-56.

Mirzaei, A. Banayi, N, Ghasemi, M, Jahansa, N, Mashreghi, Z.A. (2014). the relationship between spiritual well-being with quality of life on martial art athletes, Reef Resources Assessment and Management Technical Paper, Vol. 40, 2014, 1, pp. 771-775.

Moberg, D. O. &Brusek, P. M. (1978). Spiritual well-being: A neglected subject in quality of life research. Social Indicators Research, 5, 303-323.

Nainian, M. Shairy, M. Roshan, R. Mahdi, K. Khalami, Z. (2005). Validity Characteristics of quality of life questionnaire. Daneshvar Behavior research. Volume:13, 54-63.

Newa C, and Taylor, R (1999). "Adulthood choronic Illness prevalence severity and impact", American journal of public health, PP:18-21.

**Omidvari S.** [Spiritual health; concepts and challenges]. QuranicInterdiscip Stud J Iranian Student's QuranicOrganiz. 2009;1(1): 5-17. Persian.

**Paloutzian, R. & Ellison, C.W.** (1982).Loneliness, spiritual well-being and quality of life. In L.A. Peplau& D. Perleman, (Eds.), Loneliness: A sourcebook of current theory, research, and therapy. New York: Wiley Interscience.Hill PC, Hood RW. Measures of Religiosity. Birmingham, AL: ReligiousEducation Press; 1999.

Rame zanine zhad, R. (2007), Priniciples of sport and Physical education, Bamdad Book, 3rd.

**Ridnour, Heather Hammermeister, Jon(2008)** Spiritual well-being and its influence on athletic coping profiles. Journal of Sport Behavior Publisher. Source Volume: 31 Source Issue:

Safaeirad, I, Karimi, L, Shamosi, N, Ahmadi, M. (2010), the relationship between spirituall well-being with mental healgh on students, Journal of Sabzevar medicine science univesity, Volume: 4, 247-280.

**Seligman, E. P., & Csiks zentmihalyi, M. (2000).** Positive psychology: An introduction. American Psychologist, 55(1), 5-14. Csiks zentmihályi, M. (1975). Beyond boredom and anxiety. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco.

Storch, J. B., Storch, E. A., Kolsky, A. R., &Silvestri, S. M. (2001). Religiosity of elite college athletes. The Sport Psychologist, 15, 346-351.

**VaezMousavi, M. (2000),** Comparision of quality of life on individual and team athletes, Journal of reseach on sport science, Volume: 3, 83-93.

Watson, N. J., & Nesti, M. (2005). The role of spirituality in sport psychology consulting: An analysis and integrative review of literature. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 17(3), 228-239.