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ABSTRACT

Ferric nitrate modified expendable graphite (EG_{Fe}) was prepared through the oxidation and intercalation of natural graphite, and its dilatability, crystal structure, main intercalated elements and functional groups were represented respectively. Its influence and synergistic effect with ammonium polyphosphate (APP) on the combustion behavior and thermal stability of linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) were investigated. Results reveal EG_{Fe} show better dilatability, environment-friendly property and flame retardancy than the normal expandable graphite (EG). A 30 wt % EG_{Fe} improves the limiting oxygen index (LOI) of LLDPE from 17.5% to 27.5%. Especially, when used in combination with APP, there is a synergistic effect between these two flame retardants, it improves the LOI of 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG_{Fe} to 30.5% and the vertical combustion UL-94 level to V-0. The thermal gravimetric/differential thermal gravimetric analysis results confirm that EG_{Fe}, mixture of EG_{Fe} and APP are beneficial for the improvement of composite thermal stability. The Fe_{3}O_{4}, a decomposing product of Fe(NO_{3})_{3}, can impel the APP decomposition reaction and then form stable polyphosphate. The interstitial filling of Fe_{3}O_{4}, polyphosphate, together with the conglutination between loose “graphite worms” and polyphosphoric acid generate the continuous and compact char layers, which makes 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG_{Fe} composite show excellent flame retardation.
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INTRODUCTION

Flame retardants (FRs) are added to materials in order to prevent combustion and delay the spread of fire after ignition (Kemmlein et al., 2003). Through the years, intumescent flame retardants (IFRs) have attracted much attention not only because they are environmentally friendly, but also they are more efficient than inorganic FRs (Gao et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2016). On heating, IFR will form a foamed cellular charred layer on the surface of the substrate, which can decelerate heat and mass transfer between the gaseous and condensed phases (Duquesne et al., 2003; Shi et al., 2006). Ammonium polyphosphate (APP) is an effective IFR for polymer materials (Zhang et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2014), and its efficiency is generally attributed to the increase in the char formation through involving in altering the pathway of the thermal degradation of the substrate and promoting solid-state reaction leading to carbonization (Camino et al., 1990; Horacek and Grabner, 1996; Gaan et al., 2008). However, the flame retardation of APP for polyolefin is very limited due to the absence of oxygenous groups in matrix and resulting in the insufficient protective layer (Nie et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2013). The graphite intercalation compound (GIC), named expandable graphite (EG), is another kind of IFR with good capability such as halogen-free, non-dropping and low-smoke. When it’s used as FR, EG plays multiple roles of char-forming agent, blowing agent and smoke suppressant (Chen et al., 2007; Ge et al., 2012). When contacting a flame source, the EG will instantly expand and release noncombustible H_{2}O and CO_{2} gases to dilute the concentration of the volatile flammable compositions. At the same time, the flake EG particles turn into swollen “worm like” expanded graphite, which causes protection for matrix surface from the covered multicellular char. Due to its outstanding capability, EG has been used in the
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flame retardation of various polymer materials (Xie and Qu, 2001; Modesti et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014). Whereas, there are some problems need to be solved. In the preparation of EG, H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} is the most commonly used oxidizer, H\textsuperscript{+} donor and intercalation agent due to its low-price, strong oxidizability and well dilatability of the obtained product (Shioyam and Fujii, 1987). However, the use of it normally leads to high sulfur content in EG and corrosion for storage devices. Besides, more SO\textsubscript{2} gas will release in oxidation reaction between H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and graphite atom (Song et al., 1996). Furthermore, the formed multicellular “graphite worms” chars are loose and easy to collapse and even flow away under the influence of flame pressure or heat convection, which leads to the loss of residual char and decreases the efficiency in slowing down heat and mass transfer, and then the fire protection would significantly depress. Therefore, a more than 20 wt % dose is added in order to achieve satisfying effect, which normally leads to obvious deterioration of the mechanical properties owing to the existed “popcorn effect” (Sun et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2013). Therefore, the intercalation reaction requires modification to improve EG efficiency and environmental friendliness at the same time.

The wide intercalation possibilities permit the GIC to possess definite properties (Shornikova et al., 2006). The assistant intercalation of the second non-carbon substance (called assistant intercalator) can normally improve the dilatability and its flame retardation for matrix. It was reported the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}/APP (APP, an assistant intercalator) intercalated EG, prepared through two-step method, exhibited a higher expansion volume (EV) of 240 mL/g than that of normal EG (the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} intercalated GIC) of 210 mL/g (Han et al., 2007). Moreover, the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}/borate modified EG presented a higher EV of 515 mL/g and LOI of 28.4% for LLDPE than that of the normal H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} intercalated EG with an EV of 400 mL/g and LOI of 26.0% (Pang et al., 2015).

At the same time, addition of EG together with APP is an efficient method to improve the flame retardancy (Lu et al., 2014; Hu and Wang, 2013). As mentioned above, the efficiency of single APP for polyolefin is very limited due to the absence of char forming agent and oxygenous groups in matrix (Nie et al., 2008; Lai et al., 2015). Whereas, the combination of EG with APP can remedy this limitation. EG normally possesses a low expansion temperature than APP, the swollen “graphite worms” produced in advance can act as char forming agent. The subsequent polyphosphoric acids coming from APP decomposition reaction will produce conglutination between the loose “graphite worms”, enhance the continuous, compact of residue layers, and then improve the flame retardation (Meng et al., 2009; Seefeld et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2016).

As one of transition metal oxides, Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} has obvious impact on the thermal decomposition process of APP (Ebert, 1976). It can accelerate the release of NH\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2}O in the earlier period, and increase the high temperature residue in the later period due to the formation of metallic phosphate. In view of the improvement of Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} for APP flame retardancy and the influence of assistant intercalator on EG dilatability and flame retarded efficiency, the main purpose of this research was to prepare a ferric nitrate modified GIC (written as EG\textsubscript{Fe}) through graphite intercalation reaction (Shornikova et al., 2006). Then, investigate its flame retardancy, synergistic effect with APP, and further get the FRs formula corresponding to achieving a satisfied LOI value and UL-94 level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and Reagents

Natural flake graphite with average particle size of 0.30 mm and carbon content of 96 wt% was provided by Xite Carbon CO, LTD, Qingdao, China. LLDPE (920NT(EGF-34), melt index 0.2 g/min) was purchased from Sinopec Sabic Tianjin Petrochemical. APP (II, n>1000) was purchased from Sichuan Shifang. Fe(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}-9H\textsubscript{2}O, KMnO\textsubscript{4} and H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (98 wt %) were all analytical agents and used as received.

Preparation of the EG\textsubscript{Fe} and EG

Firstly, the reactants were weighed according to a definite mass ratio of graphite (C): H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (98 wt %): KMnO\textsubscript{4}: Fe(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}-9H\textsubscript{2}O, and H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} was diluted to a demanded mass concentration with deionized water and cooled to room temperature before use. Then, the quantified reactants were mixed in the order of diluted H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, C, KMnO\textsubscript{4} and Fe(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}-9H\textsubscript{2}O in beaker and stirred at a certain temperature controlled by
a water bath. When the reaction finished, the solid phase was washed with deionized water and dipped in water for 2.0 h until pH value of the waste water reached to 6.0-7.0, then, filtrated and dried at 50-60 °C for 5.0 h. The influence of various factors on dilatability of the EG_{Fe} was optimized through single-factor tests including the dose of H_{2}SO_{4} (98 wt %), KMnO_{4}, Fe(NO_{3})_{3}, 9H_{2}O and H_{2}SO_{4} concentration, reaction temperature and time. Feasible conditions of EG_{Fe} preparation were finally identified as: mass ratio of C: KMnO_{4}: H_{2}SO_{4} (98 wt %): Fe(NO_{3})_{3}: 9H_{2}O was 1.0:0.27:5.0:0.17; H_{2}SO_{4} was diluted to 75 wt % before reaction; intercalation reaction was totally maintained for 40 min at 40 °C. Product’s initiation expansion temperature and EV at 800 °C are detected as 170 °C and 530 mL/g respectively (Pang et al., 2015).

Compared with EG_{Fe}, the single H_{2}SO_{4} intercalated EG was prepared at the mass ratio C: KMnO_{4}: H_{2}SO_{4} (98 wt %) of 1.0:0.27:5.0 under the same condition as mentioned in the preparation of EG_{Fe}. Its initial expansion temperature and EV were 180 °C and 480 mL/g respectively. Obviously, addition of assistant intercalator has significant influence on dilatability, reflected by the increase of EV and change of initial expansion temperature. It’s speculated that EG_{Fe} will form thicker intumesce char than the normal EG, and then show better flame retardancy.

**Preparation of the Flame Retarded LLDPE Composites**

A certain amount of FRs were added into melted LLDPE at 120 °C in Muller, the mixtures were pressed at 125 °C and 10 MPa, and then chopped into slivers with two different sizes of 120.0×6.0×3.0 mm³ and 127.0×13.0×3.0 mm³ for the evaluation of combustion performance.

**Measurements and Characterization of Different Properties**

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) TM3000 (Japan) was applied to observe layer structures of natural graphite and EG_{Fe}. Moreover, it was employed to survey the residue micromorphology. A digital camera was employed to survey the residues macromorphology.

The energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) of C, N, O, S, Fe, and so on in natural graphite, EG_{Fe} and the EG was detected with JSM-7500F instrument under an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. The detector resolution and the element detection range were 122 eV and from B to U respectively. Prior to observation, sample surfaces were coated with a conductive material.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the prepared GICs and the residual chars of the flame retardant LLDPE composites after their combustion tests were recorded between 4000-400 cm⁻¹ using a FTIR spectrometer (Nicolet 380, America Thermo Electron Corporation) with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. Samples were prepared by mixture of the sample powder and KBr at a mass ratio of about 1:100.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the prepared GICs was obtained with an Y2000 X-ray diffractometer (Dandong, China) under the operation condition of 40 kV, 30 mA, employing Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation with 20 ranging from 20° to 70°. The interlayer spacing was obtained from the angle at which the corresponding peak was diffracted.

LOI test was used to evaluate the combustion property of the flame retardant LLDPE composites with a size of 120.0×6.0×3.0 mm³, and it was detected using a JF-3 LOI instrument (Chengde, China) according to Standard of GB/T2406-1994. At the same time, vertical combustion UL-94 level tests were performed using a HC-3 vertical burning instrument (Tientsin, China) on sheets of size 127.0×13.0×3.0 mm³ as per the standard UL 94-1996.

The thermal gravimetric and differential thermal gravimetric (TG/DTG) analysis were carried out with a STA 449C instrument (Germany), about 5.0 mg sample was detected under N₂ atmosphere with a flux of 25 mL/min. It was heated from about 40 °C to 800 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Changes of sample weight as temperature were recorded.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Characterizations of Natural Graphite and Its GICs**

**Crystal Structure:** Figure 1 presents the cross-section electron microscope photographs of natural graphite with a magnification of 20000 times in Figure 1 (a) and EG_{Fe} with a magnification of 2000 times in Figure 1 (b). The layer structures of natural graphite are compact and the layer distance is very small and regular. It can be speculated that there is strong force between the carbon layers. The layer distance of
EGF, by contrast, has been enlarged, and boundary layers are loose and seriously damaged, which should be caused by the oxidation and intercalation reaction. Therefore, the force between the carbon layers is relatively weaker than that of the natural graphite. Moreover, when heated, it will show dilatability.

Figure 1: SEM Photographs of Natural Graphite (a) and the EGF (b)

**EDS Analysis:** EDS results listed in Table 1 present the main surface elements and their relative percentage composition in natural graphite, the prepared EG and EGF, respectively. As can be seen in Table 1, besides C element, natural graphite still consists of a little of S, O, Mn, Si and Ca. In the EG, contents of O and S are relative higher than that of natural graphite, which reveals the intercalation of H$_2$SO$_4$/HSO$_4^-$ (Shioyam and Fujii, 1987). As for the EGF, contents of S and O are also higher, especially for O. Moreover, Fe element are detected in EGF, and its S content is lower than that of EG due to the assistant intercalation of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$. The N element is not detected in all the three samples for its poor content and weak sensitivity.

**Table 1: Surface Composition of Natural Graphite and the Prepared GICs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Natural Graphite</th>
<th>EG</th>
<th>EGF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>88.97</td>
<td>87.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>9.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aN.D.: not detected.

**FTIR Analysis:** Figure 2 shows FTIR spectra of the prepared EG and EGF. The two samples both show the characteristic stretching vibrations absorption peaks of -OH (about 3430 cm$^{-1}$) and S=O (about 1143 cm$^{-1}$) caused by intercalation of H$_2$SO$_4$/HSO$_4^-$ (Shioyam and Fujii, 1987; Ebert, 1976). At the same time, the peaks at about 1620 cm$^{-1}$ are the specific absorption of C=C stretching vibrations, and it has shifted to a smaller wave number due to the graphite conjugated structure. It’s worth noting that the characteristic
Research Article

The stretching vibration absorption of NO$_3^-$ is observed at 829 cm$^{-1}$, and specific absorption of the Fe-O appears at 721 cm$^{-1}$ and 559 cm$^{-1}$ as well (Willems and Fleing, 2001). These results confirm the intercalation of the intercalator and the assistant intercalator.

Figure 2: FTIR Analysis of the Prepared EG and $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$

Figure 3: XRD Analysis of Natural Graphite, the EG and $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$

XRD Analysis: As showed in Figure 3, $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$ and the referenced EG show the same characteristic diffraction peaks like natural graphite at about 26.6° and 55°, which indicates that they all keep the layer structures. Whereas, it is worthy to note that the (002) diffraction peaks transfer to small angles of 26.2° for the EG and 25.8° for $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$ respectively. At the same time, each corresponds to a big interplanar spacing of 3.40 Å for EG and 3.46 Å for $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$. The XRD results confirm that intercalators have been inserted into graphite layers, and the $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$ possesses bigger interplanar spacing for the assistant intercalation of Fe(NO$_3^-$)$_3$.

Combustion Behavior

The influence of $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$ and other FRs on combustion behavior of LLDPE was evaluated in LOI and vertical combustion UL-94 tests, and the results were showed in Table 2. LLDPE is very flammable with a LOI of 17.5%, and additionally, the combustion accompanies with serious melt-dropping as showed in Figure 4 (a). While, addition of the tested FRs can all affect combustion process. Addition of the referenced EG at 30 wt % leads LOI value of the 70LLDPE/30EG composite to 25.5%, and the melt-dropping, ignition is basically under control. Addition of the same amount of $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$ can not only improve the UL-94 level to V-2, but also increase the LOI value to 27.5%. These results indicate the assistant intercalation of Fe(NO$_3^-$)$_3$ ameliorates the flame retardation of $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$. Addition of the prepared GICs can all reduce the melt-dropping phenomena, which should be attributed to the protective intumescent carbonaceous char formed on polymer surface by EG or $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$ expansion as showed in Figure 4 (c) and Figure 4 (d).

It can be seen that independent APP presents a weak efficiency for LLDPE. When it’s solely added at 30 wt %, LOI value of the 70LLDPE/30APP specimen is only 20%, and accompanied by melt-dropping and ignition as showed in Figure 4 (b). The limited efficiency for LLDPE is due to the absence of protection char layer. While, additions of $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$ and APP at different wt % can not only increase LOI value, but also improve all specimens UL-94 level to V-0 simultaneously. Noticeably, these LOI values are obviously higher than the theoretical calculated LOI$_{\text{calc}}$, calculated according to FR wt % and LOI values of 70LLDPE/30APP and 70LLDPE/30$\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$ (Menachem, 2001). Therefore, it can be inferred that there is synergistic efficiency between these two FRs. Meanwhile, the APP and $\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$ ratio has an important influence on flame retardancy, and the tested optimum mass ratio is 15:15 as showed in 70LLDPE/15APP/15$\text{EG}_{\text{Fe}}$ specimen, the LOI value and UL-94 level can reach 30.5% and V-0 respectively.
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Table 2: Specimens Combustion Characteristics\(^b\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens</th>
<th>LOI % (\text{LOI}_{\text{exp}})</th>
<th>LOI % (\text{LOI}_{\text{the}})</th>
<th>UL-94 level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100LLDPE</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70LLDPE/30APP</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70LLDPE/30EG</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70LLDPE/30EG(_{Fe})</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>V-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70LLDPE/10APP/20EG(_{Fe})</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>V-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70LLDPE/15APP/15EG(_{Fe})</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>V-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70LLDPE/20APP/10EG(_{Fe})</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>V-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^b\) \(\text{LOI}_{\text{exp}}\): the LOI value detected in test.

LOI\(_{\text{the}}\): the LOI value calculated.

Figure 4: The Macromorphology of LLDPE Specimens in Vertical Combustion Tests
LLDPE (a), 70LLDPE/30APP (b), 70LLDPE/30EG (c), 70LLDPE/30EG\(_{Fe}\) (d), 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG\(_{Fe}\) (e)

Combustion Residue Micromorphology
In combustion process, the char morphology has important influence on flame retardation. Therefore, residual incision micromorphology of 70LLDPE/30EG, 70LLDPE/30EG\(_{Fe}\) and 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG\(_{Fe}\) after their combustion tests are recorded by SEM. As showed in Figure 5 (a) and 5 (b), a regular and discontinuous “open-cellular” structure showing the “popcorn effect” on the surface is observed in 70LLDPE/30EG and 70LLDPE/30EG\(_{Fe}\) due to the expansion of EG and EG\(_{Fe}\). The discontinuous residues...
can cause the decrease of shielding function for heat and mass transfer. Although, the EG$_{Fe}$ can improve the UL 94 level to V-2, there is still melt dropping caused by the collapse and float of the incompact residue. The incision of 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$ showed in Figure 5 (c) is relatively continuous and compact due to the conglutination of APP decomposition products; this structure can suppress the mentioned “popcorn effect” to a certain extent, and then provide a better shield that insulates the substrate from radiant heat, further avoid the direct contact between substrate and flame. It is the continuous and compact residual that makes 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$ composite hold higher LOI value than the other flame retarded composites.

**Figure 5: Incision Section Micromorphology of 70LLDPE/30EG (a), 70LLDPE/30EG$_{Fe}$ (b) and 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$ (c) after Combustion Tests**

**FTIR Analysis for Combustion Residues**

Further information obtained from FTIR analysis about the chemical composition and functional groups of the combustion residues were showed in Figure 6. The tested samples show the characteristic stretching vibrations absorption peaks of -OH (about 3430 cm$^{-1}$) and C=C (about 1630 cm$^{-1}$) caused by the remaining EG, EG$_{Fe}$ and LLDPE. Peaks round 2350 cm$^{-1}$ are stretching vibrations absorption O-C-O. At the same time, the strong stretching vibration absorptions of sulphate are observed in 70LLDPE/30EG and 70LLDPE/30EG$_{Fe}$ at about 1130 cm$^{-1}$, but there are obvious superimposed peaks round 1050 cm$^{-1}$ in the FTIR of 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$, it is because the absorption peak of S=O and P=O are both appear in the range of 1300-1080 cm$^{-1}$ (Li et al., 1994; Zhao et al., 2004). The specific absorptions of the Fe-O at 721 cm$^{-1}$ and 575 cm$^{-1}$ present both in the residues of 70LLDPE/30EG$_{Fe}$ and 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$, but no peak of NO$_3$ is observed. The above results indicate that Fe$_2$O$_3$ and APP decomposition products are active in the solid phase of burning materials.

**Figure 6: The Combustion Residues FTIR Spectra of the70LLDPE/30EG, 70LLDPE/30EG$_{Fe}$ and 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$ Composites**
Thermal Property of the Flame Retarded LLDPE Composites

Thermal stability of flame retarded LLDPE is related to the addition of additives. TG/DTG analysis under N₂ atmosphere is used to evaluate the thermal degradation properties of 70LLDPE/30EG, 70LLDPE/30EGFe, 70LLDPE/30APP, 70LLDPE/15APP/15EGFe composites and FRs including EGFe, APP, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, mixture of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and APP, the results are showed in Figure 7 and Table 3.

![TG/DTG Analysis](image)

**Table 3: Thermoanalysis Data for Additives and the Flame Retarded LLDPE in N₂ Atmosphere**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; °C</th>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; wt%/°C</th>
<th>Residual Char Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70LLDPE/30EG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70LLDPE/30EGFe</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>-13.3 N.D.</td>
<td>31.2 N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70LLDPE/30APP</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>-24.4 N.D.</td>
<td>33.5 N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70LLDPE/15APP/15EGFe</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>-1.3 483 -24.3 N.D.</td>
<td>12.3 N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APP</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>-18.0 N.D.</td>
<td>31.9 22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFe</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>-1.7 655 -7.0 N.D.</td>
<td>24.3 N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>-0.8 N.D.</td>
<td>75.2 N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O /APP</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>-37.4 N.D.</td>
<td>25.9 N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O /APP</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>-0.06 323 -1.2 611 -9.9</td>
<td>31.4 24.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **T<sub>max</sub>:** temperature corresponding to the maximum mass loss rate, °C;
- **R<sub>max</sub>:** the maximum mass loss rate, wt%/°C;
- **R<sub>exp</sub>:** the residual char yield detected in TG/DTG analysis;
- **R<sub>the</sub>:** the residual char yield calculated according to the FRs wt % and their R<sub>exp</sub> value of independent system.

In the mixture of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and APP, the mass ratio of APP to Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O is 15:2.55 (calculated according to the dosage of APP in 70LLDPE/15APP/15EGFe and Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O in EGFe). The R<sub>the</sub> of 70LLDPE/30EGFe is calculated according to the R<sub>exp</sub> and the dosage of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and 70LLDPE/30EG respectively.

70LLDPE/30EG and 70LLDPE/30EGFe composites indicate an obvious one-stage degradation profile. When the temperature is below 400 °C, the weight loss is slight and less than 10%. While, about a 60%
value occurs in the range of 400-500 °C, caused by the decomposition of LLDPE and sufficient oxidation of EG and EG$_{Fe}$. Although, 70LLDPE/30EG$_{Fe}$ holds a higher R$_{max}$ (the maximum decomposition rate) than the 70LLDPE/30EG, it also keeps a higher T$_{max}$ (temperature corresponding to the maximum decomposition rate) and residual char yield (at 800 °C) of 478 °C, 33.5% than the latter of 468 °C and 31.2% respectively. Furthermore, the detected residual char yield (R$_{exp}$) of 70LLDPE/30EG$_{Fe}$ is higher than the theoretic calculated result (R$_{cal}$), calculated according to the residue yield of 70LLDPE/30EG, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and their mass ratio in 70LLDPE/30EG$_{Fe}$. The above results testified the synergistic effect between EG and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$, which is caused by the interstitial effect of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ produced in Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$ decomposition reaction (Chen et al., 2007).

The 70LLDPE/30APP composite shows a two-step degradation profile above 300 °C. In the first step of 300-400 °C, a less than 10% weight loss occurs mainly due to the decomposition of APP and the release of NH$_3$ and H$_2$O. Then, an accelerating mass loss takes place due to further decomposition of LLDPE and APP at second step of 500-800 °C. The yield of residue at 800 °C is only 12.3%. Temperatures corresponding to the maximum mass loss rate are 355 °C and 483 °C, respectively. 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$ composite shows a similar one-step weight loss as the EG and EG$_{Fe}$ flame retarded system, and presents a middle R$_{max}$, T$_{max}$ and R$_{exp}$. On the one hand, 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$ always holds a higher thermal stability and more residue than the 70LLDPE/30EG. However, it possesses a less residue than 70LLDPE/30EG$_{Fe}$ below 480 °C. Whereas, the residue mass become higher in the range of 480-585 °C, and then lower than that of 70LLDPE/30EG$_{Fe}$ above 585°C. To illuminate the TG results of 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$, TG/DTG tests severally for EG$_{Fe}$, APP, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O, mixture of APP and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O at a mass ratio of about 15:2.55 (calculated according to the dosage of APP and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O in 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$) were carried out.

The mass loss of EG$_{Fe}$ mainly occurs among 200-500 °C, wherein CO$_2$, H$_2$O and SO$_2$ gas released during GIC redox reaction, which leads to the generation of “worm like” expanded graphite. At the same time, the intercalated Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$ completely decomposes and turns into Fe$_2$O$_3$. EG$_{Fe}$ keeps a high residual char yield of 75.2% at 800 °C.

APP shows a two-step degradation profile above 300 °C. In the first step of 300-500 °C, the evolution products are mainly NH$_3$, H$_2$O gases and polyphosphoric acids (Zhou et al., 2013), which cause a mass decrease of about 20% with a slow rate. Then, an accelerating mass loss takes place due to the release of the volatile P$_2$O$_5$ at second step of 500-800 °C, and then reserves a residue yield of 24.3% at 800 °C. Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O shows poor thermal stability below 300 °C, and the decomposition reaction will finish when the temperature is above 300 °C. The residue yield is detected as 25.9%.

As for the mixture of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and APP, it presents a three-step degradation profile. The first stage is caused by the weight loss of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and with a T$_{max,1}$ of 211 °C. The second and third stages are mainly caused by the decomposition and weight loss of APP with a T$_{max,II}$ of 323 °C and T$_{max,III}$ of 611 °C, which shift to the lower temperature than the independent APP of 360 °C and 655 °C. But once the decomposition finished, this mixture will keep a higher thermal stability, reflected by the improved residue yield of 31.6% at 800 °C, which is higher than the R$_{inf}$ of 24.5% calculated according to R$_{exp}$ of APP, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and their mass ratio. The lower T$_{max,II}$, T$_{max,III}$ and high final residual yield should be caused by the reaction between Fe$_2$O$_3$ and polyphosphoric acids. It has been testified that Fe$_2$O$_3$ can accelerate the decomposition reaction of APP, and then form stable polyphosphate (Zhou et al., 2013). These results can provide evidence for the 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$ holding more residues in the range of 480-585 °C due to the formation of polyphosphate, and then it’s lower than that of 70LLDPE/30EG$_{Fe}$ due to the addition of EG$_{Fe}$ with high R$_{exp}$ and wt %.

**Possible Flame Retardant Mechanism**

Combining the LOI and vertical combustion UL 94 results and associating with the residue macrostructures, micromorphology and FTIR, TG/DTG characteristics of the flame retarded LLDPE composites, it can be described as Figure 8. When contacting with flame source, EG$_{Fe}$ will instantly expand and turn into swollen multi cellular “graphite worms” covering on the matrix surface, which is in favor of slowing down the heat and mass transfer and interrupting polymer degradation. Moreover,
expansion of EG_{Fe} and decomposition of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$ will consume an enormous amount of heat, which is helpful to decrease the combustion temperature and rate. Furthermore, oxidation reaction between graphite and H$_2$SO$_4$ releases CO$_2$, H$_2$O and SO$_2$, which can reduce combustible gas concentration and then enhance the char formation. The intervention of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and APP are active in the solid phase of burning materials. When heated, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$ will produce Fe$_2$O$_3$, which will then accelerate APP release NH$_3$, H$_2$O and produce polyphosphoric acid at relative low temperature. The produced polyphosphoric acid can further react with Fe$_2$O$_3$ and lead to the formation of stable polyphosphate. It is the interstitial filling of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and polyphosphate, together with the conglutination between the loose “graphite worms” by polyphosphoric acid that further enhance the continuous and compact of char layers, suppress the “popcorn effect” and avoid the direct contact between substrate and flame. All these make 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$ composite show better flame retardation, and there is not only physical synergy, but also chemical reaction between the additives.

**Figure 8: The Flame Retardant Mechanism of LLDPE/APP/EG$_{Fe}$ System**

**Conclusion**
Ferric nitrate modified EG$_{Fe}$ was successfully prepared with H$_2$SO$_4$ and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O as intercalator and assistant intercalator in graphite oxidation and intercalation reaction. Compared with the normal EG, EG$_{Fe}$ possessed a better dilatability, flame retardancy and environment-friendly property. SEM, EDS, FTIR and XRD confirmed the oxidation and intercalation reaction between the reactants. EG$_{Fe}$ exhibited better LOI and UL-94 level for LLDPE than the normal EG. Moreover, the combination of EG$_{Fe}$ with APP showed more excellent flame retardancy. The generated Fe$_2$O$_3$ could not only impel APP to release NH$_3$, H$_2$O, produce polyphosphoric acid at relative low temperature, but also form stable polyphosphate and then improve LLDPE composites thermal stability at high temperature due to the continuous and compact char layers. The interstitial filling of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and polyphosphate together with the conglutination between the loose “graphite worms” by polyphosphoric acid suppressed the “popcorn effect” and avoided the direct contact between substrate and flame at some extent. The physical synergy and chemical interaction between the used FRs made 70LLDPE/15APP/15EG$_{Fe}$ composite show excellent flame...
retardation.
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